Assigned for all purposes to: Stanley Mosk Courthouse, Judicial Officer: Rupert Byrdsong

1 Bruce Kokozian, Esq. (SBN No. 195723) KOKOZIAN LAW FIRM, APC 9440 South Santa Monica Boulevard, Suite 510 2 Beverly Hills, California 90210 Telephone Number: (323) 857-5900 3 Fax Number: (310) 275-6301 bkokozian@kokozianlawfirm.com 4 5 Attorneys for Plaintiff JOE ROSE 6 7 8 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 9 FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CENTRAL 10 208TCV29505 JOE ROSE, an individual CASE NO.: 11 COMPLAINT FOR: 12 Plaintiff, (1) EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION BECAUSE OF DISABILITY (Cal. Govt. 13 Code §12900, et seq.); v. 14 (2) FAILURE TO REASONABLY ACCOMMODATE DISABILITY (Cal. Govt. 15 Code §12900, et seq.); ONNI PROPERTIES LLC, an Arizona 16 Limited Liability Company; APRIANO (3) FAILURE TO ENGAGE IN A TIMELY. GOOD FAITH, INTERACTIVE PROCESS MEOLA, an Individual and DOES 1 through 17 WITH EMPLOYEE WITH A DISABILITY 100. Inclusive (Cal. Govt. Code §12900, et seq.); 18 (4) WRONGFUL TERMINATION (Cal. Govt. 19 Defendants. Code §12900, et seq.); 20 RETALIATION (Cal. Govt. Code §12900, et (5) seq.); 21 (6) WRONGFUL TERMINATION IN 22 VIOLATION OF PUBLIC POLICY; 23 RETALIATION FOR (7) REQUESTING/TAKING CFRA LEAVE 24 (Cal. Govt. Code §12900, et seq.); 25 FAILURE TO PROVIDE CFRA LEAVE (Cal. Govt. Code §12900, et seq.); 26 27 **COMPLAINT** 28

1) (9) INTERFERENCE WITH CFRA LEAVE) (Cal. Govt. Code §12900, et seq.)				
2)) (10) DEFAMATION				
3)) (11) VIOLATION OF LABOR CODE § 6310-11				
5) (12) HOUSING DISCRIMINATION ON THE BASIS OF DISABILITY (Cal. Govt. Code §12900, et seq.);				
6	(13) BREACH OF WRITTEN CONTRACT				
7) (14) FAILURE TO PAY WAGES				
8 9) (15) VIOLATION OF LABOR CODE § 203				
10	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL				
11					
12	COMES NOW plaintiff JOE ROSE (hereinafter "ROSE" or "Plaintiff") who complains and				
13	alleges as follows:				
14	ALLEGATIONS COMMON TO ALL CAUSES OF ACTION				
15	1. Plaintiff, ROSE is and was, at all times relevant herein, an individual residing in				
16	the County of Los Angeles, State of California. This complaint seeks redress because Defendant Onni				
17	Properties LLC ("ONNI") terminated a long-term exemplary employee solely because he wanted a safe				
18	working environment and because his wife has a disability. As the world is dealing with an				
19	unprecedented pandemic, Onni recklessly and maliciously placed the health and safety of their				
20	employees, as well as their employees' families at risk. This is not tolerated under any standard of				
21	human decency but also not under California law.				
22	2. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and thereon alleges, that, at all times herein				
23	mentioned, defendant ONNI was an Arizona Limited Liability Company doing business in the County				
24	of Los Angeles, State of California. ONNI regularly employs five or more employees within the state of				
25	California and falls within the requirement of FEHA and Government Code §12900, et. seq.				
26	3. Plaintiff ROSE is and was, at all times relevant hereto an employee of defendants ONNI				
27	2 COMPLAINT				
	1 COMIT LAIN I				

and DOES 1-100 [hereinafter referred to collectively as Defendants] until Plaintiff's termination. Defendants ONNI and DOES 1-100 were joint employers of Plaintiff in that they were operating as a joint enterprise and both suffered and permitted Plaintiff to work for each and both of them; controlled Plaintiff's hours and working conditions; Controlled Plaintiff's wages; Both ONNI and DOES 1-100 made the decision to hire and fire Plaintiff and therefore jointly employed PLAINTIFF.

- 4. Defendant APRIANO MEOLA is an individual who, on information and belief, is and was an employee and/or managing agent of Defendants. Defendant APRIANO MEOLA, on information and belief, resides in the County of Los Angeles, is a citizen of the state of California. Plaintiff ROSE was associated through his wife with a disabled person. Due to Plaintiff's association, Plaintiff is entitled to protection under California Department Fair Employment and Housing Act under California Government Code §12900 et seq. (hereinafter "FEHA") and California common law.
- 5. DEFENDANTS employed Plaintiff during the time period of Plaintiff's association with a disabled person until Defendants terminated Plaintiff's employment due to Plaintiff's association with a disabled person, request for an accommodation, Plaintiff's report/complaint of unsafe working conditions, the fact that he notified DEFENDANTS of a California Family Rights Act (hereinafter "CFRA") qualifying event and to avoid engaging in the interactive process with Plaintiff.
- 6. The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, or associate, or otherwise, of the Defendants named herein as DOES 1 through 100, inclusive, are unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore sues said Defendants by such fictitious names pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 474, and Plaintiff will amend this complaint to show their true names and capacities when the same have been ascertained. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based upon such information and belief, alleges that all defendants sued herein as DOES are in some manner responsible for the acts herein alleged and that Plaintiff's damages were proximately caused by their conduct.
- 7. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that at all times mentioned herein, each of the Defendants was the agent, principal, employee, employer, representative, joint venture or co-conspirator of each of the other Defendants, either actually or ostensibly, and in doing the

things alleged herein acted within the course and scope of such agency, employment, joint venture and conspiracy.

- 8. All of the acts and conduct herein and below described of each and every corporate defendant was duly authorized, ordered, and directed by the respective and collective defendant corporate employers, and the officers and management-level employees of said corporate employers. In addition thereto, said corporate employers participated in the aforementioned acts and conduct of their said employees, agents and representatives, the defendant corporation respectively and collectively ratified, accepted the benefits of, condoned, lauded, acquiesced, authorized and otherwise approved of each and all of the said acts and conduct of the aforementioned corporate employers, agents, and representatives.
 - 9 Plaintiff began to work for Defendants on or around May 01, 2019.
- 10. At all times herein, mentioned Plaintiff was qualified for and was able to perform the essential job duties. Indeed, Plaintiff performed exemplary during his employment with Defendants. Plaintiff was highly sought after in the job market. The terms and conditions of Plaintiff's employment included being able to live in an apartment in downtown Los Angeles owned by Defendants.
- 11. Defendants wished to reopen their offices after initially closing their businesses due to the Covid-19 pandemic. Plaintiff informed Defendants that they would have to follow state and federal guidelines. Plaintiff made it clear that failure to follow these guidelines could be illegal and/or would result in a space unsafe for employees. Defendants did not appreciate this, and these report(s)/complaint(s) were a substantial motiving reason for Plaintiff's termination.
- 12. At all times relevant, Plaintiff's wife is and was a type 1 diabetic. This was known to Defendants. Plaintiff requested a reasonable accommodation to continue working from home in order to keep his wife safe from possible exposure to Covid-19. Further, Plaintiff was assisting his wife with his medical care/treatment for her disability. To literally add insult to injury, during Plaintiff's employment, Plaintiff was Defamed by Defendants including Defendant APRIANO MEOLA, while he was acting within the course and scope of his employment with Defendants. MEOLA stated Plaintiff

was not performing competently in his employment; that Plaintiff was not working from home at all and that Plaintiff did not legitimately need to work from home but in essence wanted a paid vacation.

- 13. Plaintiff's report(s)/complaint(s) of unsafe working conditions, request for an accommodation and his notifying Defendants of a CFRA qualifying event led Defendants to label Plaintiff "not a team player" and that his requests/complaints were a problem. Plaintiff was explicitly told Defendants would not want to accommodate him. His employment was summarily terminated on or around June 05, 2020.
- 14. Plaintiff timely filed complaint(s) with the Department of Fair Employment and Housing against Defendants and obtained the Notice(s) of Right to Sue.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION BECAUSE OF DISABILITY AGAINST ONNI AND DOES 1-100)

- 15. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 16. Defendants, and each of them, discriminated against Plaintiff by treating Plaintiff differently and terminating Plaintiff's employment because of Plaintiff's association with a disabled person.
- 17. Defendants actions were in violation of the FEHA, California Government Code \$12900, et seq.
- 18. At all times prior to his termination Plaintiff was an employee within the meaning of California Government Code §12926 and at all times during Plaintiff 's employment Plaintiff performed in a competent, satisfactory manner.
- 19. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that in addition to the practices enumerated above, Defendants may have engaged in other discriminatory practices against Plaintiff which are not yet fully known. At such time as such discriminatory practices become known, Plaintiff will seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint in that regard.

- 20. Plaintiff filed Charges of Discrimination with the California Department of Fair Employment and Housing (hereinafter "DFEH"). Plaintiff has exhausted his administrative remedies, received his Notices of Right to Sue letter, and timely files this action.
- 21. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' willful, knowing and intentional discrimination against Plaintiff, Plaintiff has sustained, and continues to sustain, loss of earnings, the full nature and extent of which are presently unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore, will seek leave of court to amend Plaintiff's complaint at such time as these damages are fully ascertained.
- 22. As a further direct and proximate result of Defendants' discriminatory conduct and actions against Plaintiff in violation of Government Code § 12900 et. seq. as heretofore described, Plaintiff has been damaged and deprived of the security, solace and peace of mind for which Plaintiff entered the employment relationship with Defendants, and each of them, thereby causing Plaintiff to suffer emotional and mental distress, anguish, embarrassment and humiliation, all to Plaintiff's general damages in an amount according to proof at trial, but in excess of the jurisdictional amount of this court.
- 23. Plaintiff further requests attorney fees be awarded to Plaintiff pursuant to California Government Code §12965.
- 24. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that the outrageous conduct of Defendants described above was done with malice, fraud and oppression and with conscious disregard for Plaintiff's rights and with the intent, design and purpose of injuring Plaintiff. Defendants, through their officers, managing agents and/or supervisors, authorized, condoned and/or ratified the unlawful conduct of all of the other Defendants named in this action. By reason thereof, Plaintiff is entitled to punitive or exemplary damages from all Defendants in a sum according to proof at trial.

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(FAILURE TO REASONABLY ACCOMMODATE

DISABILITY AGAINST ONNI AND DOES 1-100)

25. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations

contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

- 26. Defendants, and each of them, discriminated against Plaintiff by failing to reasonably accommodate Plaintiff despite Plaintiff's request for reasonable accommodations, in violation of the FEHA.
- 27. At all times Plaintiff was an employee within the meaning of Cal. Govt. Code §12926 and at all times during Plaintiff's employment Plaintiff performed in a competent, satisfactory manner. Plaintiff is informed and believes that the reasonable accommodation which Plaintiff requested from Defendants would not have created an undue burden for Defendants.
- 28. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that in addition to the practices enumerated above, Defendants may have engaged in other discriminatory practices against Plaintiff which are not yet fully known. At such time as such discriminatory practices become known to Plaintiff, Plaintiff will seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint in that regard.
- 29. Plaintiff filed Charges of Discrimination with the DFEH. Plaintiff has exhausted the administrative remedies, received the Notice of Right to Sue letter and timely files this action.
- 30. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' willful, knowing and intentional discrimination against him, Plaintiff has sustained, and continues to sustain, loss of earnings, the full nature and extent of which are presently unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore, will seek leave of court to amend the complaint at such time as these damages are fully ascertained.
- 31. As a further direct and proximate result of Defendants' discriminatory conduct and actions against Plaintiff in violation of Government Code § 12900 et. seq. as heretofore described, Plaintiff has been damaged and deprived of the security, solace and peace of mind for which Plaintiff entered the employment relationship with Defendants, and each of them, thereby causing Plaintiff to suffer emotional and mental distress, anguish, embarrassment and humiliation, all to Plaintiff's general damages in an amount according to proof at trial, but in excess of the jurisdictional amount of this court.
 - 32. Plaintiff further requests attorney fees be awarded pursuant to California Government

33. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that the outrageous conduct of Defendants described above was done with malice, fraud and oppression and with conscious disregard for Plaintiff's rights and with the intent, design and purpose of injuring Plaintiff. Defendants, through their officers, managing agents and/or supervisors, authorized, condoned and/or ratified the unlawful conduct of all of the other Defendants named in this action. By reason thereof, Plaintiff is entitled to punitive or exemplary damages from all Defendants in a sum according to proof at trial.

THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION

(FAILURE TO ENGAGE IN A TIMELY, GOOD FAITH, INTERACTIVE PROCESS WITH AN EMPLOYEE WITH A DISABILITY AGAINST ONNI AND DOES 1-100)

- 34. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 35. Defendants, and each of them, discriminated against Plaintiff by failing to engage in a timely, good faith, interactive process with Plaintiff to determine reasonable accommodations in response to Plaintiff's request in violation of California Government Code §12940(n).
- 36. At all times Plaintiff was an employee within the meaning of California Govt. Code §12926 and at all times during employment performed in a competent, satisfactory manner.
- 37. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that in addition to the practices enumerated above, Defendants may have engaged in other discriminatory practices against Plaintiff which are not yet fully known. At such time as such discriminatory practices become known, Plaintiff will seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint in that regard.
- 38. Plaintiff filed Charges of Discrimination with the DFEH. Plaintiff has exhausted administrative remedies, received the Notice of Right to Sue letter and timely files this action.
- 39. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' willful, knowing and intentional discrimination against Plaintiff, Plaintiff has sustained, and continues to sustain, loss of earnings and

benefits, the full nature and extent of which are presently unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore, will seek leave of court to amend Plaintiff's complaint at such time as these damages are fully ascertained.

- 40. As a further direct and proximate result of Defendants' discriminatory conduct and actions against Plaintiff in violation of Government Code § 12900 et. seq. as heretofore described, Plaintiff has been damaged and deprived of the security, solace and peace of mind for which Plaintiff entered the employment relationship with Defendants, and each of them, thereby causing Plaintiff to suffer emotional and mental distress, anguish, embarrassment and humiliation, all to Plaintiff's general damages in an amount according to proof at trial, but in excess of the jurisdictional amount of this court.
- 41. Plaintiff further requests attorney fees be awarded pursuant to California Government Code §12965.
- 42. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that the outrageous conduct of Defendants described above was done with malice, fraud and oppression and with conscious disregard for Plaintiff's rights and with the intent, design and purpose of injuring Plaintiff. Defendants, through their officers, managing agents and/or supervisors, authorized, condoned and/or ratified the unlawful conduct of all of the other Defendants named in this action. By reason thereof, Plaintiff is entitled to punitive or exemplary damages from all Defendants in a sum according to proof at trial.

FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(WRONGFUL TERMINATION IN VIOLATION OF CALIFORNIA GOVERNMENT CODE SECTION 12900 ET SEQ.

AGAINST ONNI AND DOES 1-100))

- 43. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 44. Plaintiff is informed and believes that Plaintiff's employment was terminated as a result of Plaintiff's association with a person with or perceived to have a disability, and/or his request/taking his rights under CFRA in violation of Government Code §12900, et seq.

- 45. At all times Plaintiff was an employee within the meaning of Cal. Govt. Code §12926 and at all times during Plaintiff employment Plaintiff performed in a competent, satisfactory manner.
- 46. Plaintiff filed Charges of Discrimination with the DFEH. Plaintiff has exhausted administrative remedies, received the Notice of Right to Sue letter and timely files this action.
- 47. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's termination of Plaintiff in violation of Government Code §12900, et seq., Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer pain and suffering, and extreme and severe mental anguish and emotional distress and has suffered and will continue to suffer a loss of earnings and other employment benefits and job opportunities. Plaintiff is thereby entitled to general and compensatory damages in amounts to be proven at trial.
- 48. As a further direct and proximate result of Defendants' discriminatory conduct and actions against Plaintiff in violation of Government Code § 12900 et. seq. as heretofore described, Plaintiff has been damaged and deprived of the security, solace and peace of mind for which Plaintiff entered the employment relationship with Defendants, and each of them, thereby causing Plaintiff to suffer emotional and mental distress, anguish, embarrassment and humiliation, all to Plaintiff's general damages in an amount according to proof at trial, but in excess of the jurisdictional amount of this court.
- 49. Plaintiff further requests attorney fees be awarded pursuant to California Government Code §12965.
- 50. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that the outrageous conduct of Defendants described above was done with malice, fraud and oppression and with conscious disregard for Plaintiff's rights and with the intent, design and purpose of injuring Plaintiff. Defendants, through their officers, managing agents and/or supervisors, authorized, condoned and/or ratified the unlawful conduct of all of the other Defendants named in this action. By reason thereof, Plaintiff is entitled to punitive or exemplary damages from all Defendants in a sum according to proof at trial.

FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(RETALIATION AGAINST ONNI AND DOES 1-100))

COMPLAINT

- 51. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 52. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that Defendants subjected Plaintiff to retaliation as set forth above for taking disability leave and for requesting reasonable accommodations for disability, which is a protected activity under California Government Code §12900 et seq.
- 53. Plaintiff is informed and believes, and based thereon alleges, that in addition to the practices enumerated above, Defendants, and each of them, have engaged in other discriminatory practices against Plaintiff which are not yet fully known. At such time as said discriminatory practices become known to Plaintiff, Plaintiff will seek leave of Court to amend this complaint in that regard.
- 54. Plaintiff filed Charges of Discrimination with the DFEH. Plaintiff has exhausted the administrative remedies, received the Notice of Right to Sue letter and timely files this action.
- 55. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' willful, knowing and intentional discrimination against Plaintiff, Plaintiff has sustained, and continues to sustain, loss of earnings, the full nature and extent of which are presently unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore, will seek leave of court to amend this complaint at such time as these damages are fully ascertained.
- 56. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' willful, knowing and intentional discrimination against Plaintiff, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer pain and suffering, and extreme and severe mental anguish and emotional distress; Plaintiff has incurred and will continue to incur medical expenses and for other incidental expenses; and has suffered and will continue to suffer a loss of earnings and other employment benefits and job opportunities Plaintiff is hereby entitled to general and compensatory damages in amounts to be proven at trial.
- 57. Plaintiff further requests attorney fees be awarded pursuant to California Government Code §12965.
- 58. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that the outrageous conduct of Defendants described above was done with malice, fraud and oppression and with conscious

disregard for Plaintiff's rights and with the intent, design and purpose of injuring Plaintiff. Defendants, through their officers, managing agents and/or supervisors, authorized, condoned and/or ratified the unlawful conduct of all of the other Defendants named in this action. By reason thereof, Plaintiff is entitled to punitive or exemplary damages from all Defendants in a sum according to proof at trial.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(WRONGFUL TERMINATION IN VIOLATION

OF PUBLIC POLICY AGAINST ONNI AND DOES 1-100))

- 59. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 60. Plaintiff's employment was terminated by Defendants as a result of Plaintiff's association with a disabled person and his report(s)/complaint(s) of unsafe working conditions.
- 61. It is the substantial and fundamental public policy of the State of California as expressed in Americans with Disabilities Act, Federal Statutes, FEHA, CFRA, California Labor Code §§ 6310-11 and California Constitution that individuals shall not be terminated from their employment on the basis of their association with disabled persons and/or reporting/complaining unsafe working conditions.
- 62. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' termination of Plaintiff in violation of the public policy of the State of California, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer pain and suffering, and extreme and severe mental anguish and emotional distress and has suffered and will continue to suffer a loss of earnings and other employment benefits and job opportunities. Plaintiff is thereby entitled to general and compensatory damages in amounts to be proven at trial.
- 63. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' willful, knowing and intentional discrimination against Plaintiff, Plaintiff has sustained, and continues to sustain, loss of earnings, the full nature and extent of which are presently unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore, will seek leave of court to amend Plaintiff's complaint at such time as these damages are fully ascertained.
- 64. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that the outrageous conduct of Defendants described above was done with malice, fraud and oppression and with conscious

disregard for Plaintiff's rights and with the intent, design and purpose of injuring Plaintiff. Defendants, through their officers, managing agents and/or supervisors, authorized, condoned and/or ratified the unlawful conduct of all of the other Defendants named in this action. By reason thereof, Plaintiff is entitled to punitive or exemplary damages from all Defendants in a sum according to proof at trial.

SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(RETALIATION FOR TAKING/REQUESTING CFRA LEAVE AGAINST ONNI AND DOES 1-100).

- 65. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 66. Plaintiff had been employed by Defendants for at least 12 months as of the date he requested leave and had at least 1250 hours of service to Defendants during the 12-month period immediately preceding the request for leave and employed at a worksite of Defendants where Defendants employed at least 50 employees within 75 miles.
- 67. Plaintiff requested CFRA leave from Defendant or to care for a spouse who has a serious health condition. Defendants terminated Plaintiff's employment after he notified them of a CFRA qualifying event in violation of California Government Code §12945.2.
- 68. Defendants terminated Plaintiff for taking CFRA leave under CFRA, failed to provide him with CFRA leave and interfered with his statutory right to do so and retaliated against him for taking and/or requesting CFRA leave.
- 69. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that in addition to the practices enumerated above, Defendants may have engaged in other discriminatory practices against Plaintiff which are not yet fully known. At such time as such discriminatory practices become known, Plaintiff will seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint in that regard. Plaintiff filed Charges of Discrimination with the DFEH. Plaintiff has exhausted administrative remedies, received the Notice of Right to Sue letter and timely files this action.
 - 70. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' willful, knowing and intentional

discrimination against Plaintiff, Plaintiff has sustained, and continues to sustain, loss of earnings and benefits, the full nature and extent of which are presently unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore, will seek leave of court to amend Plaintiff's complaint at such time as these damages are fully ascertained.

- 71. As a further direct and proximate result of Defendants' discriminatory conduct and actions against Plaintiff in violation of Government Code § 12900 et. seq. as heretofore described, Plaintiff has been damaged and deprived of the security, solace and peace of mind for which Plaintiff entered the employment relationship with Defendants, and each of them, thereby causing Plaintiff to suffer emotional and mental distress, anguish, embarrassment and humiliation, all to Plaintiff's general damages in an amount according to proof at trial, but in excess of the jurisdictional amount of this court. Plaintiff further suffered special damages of past and future medical expenses in an amount according to proof at trial.
- 72. Plaintiff further requests attorney fees be awarded pursuant to California Government Code §12965.
- 73. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that the outrageous conduct of Defendants described above was done with malice, fraud and oppression and with conscious disregard for Plaintiff's rights and with the intent, design and purpose of injuring Plaintiff. Defendants, through their officers, managing agents and/or supervisors, authorized, condoned and/or ratified the unlawful conduct of all of the other Defendants named in this action. By reason thereof, Plaintiff is entitled to punitive or exemplary damages from all Defendants in a sum according to proof at trial.

EIGHTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(FAILURE TO PROVIDE CFRA LEAVE AGAINST ONNI AND DOES 1-100)

- 74. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 75. Plaintiff had been employed by Defendants for at least 12 months as of the date he requested leave and had at least 1250 hours of service to Defendants during the 12 month period immediately preceding the request for leave and employed at a worksite of Defendants where

Defendants employed at least 50 employees within 75 miles. Plaintiff requested CFRA leave from Defendant to care for a spouse who has a serious health condition. Defendants terminated Plaintiff's employment after he notified them of a CFRA qualifying event in violation of California Government Code §12945.2.

- 76. Defendants terminated Plaintiff for taking CFRA leave under CFRA, failed to provide him with CFRA leave and interfered with his statutory right to do so and retaliated against his for taking and/or requesting CFRA leave.
- 77. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that in addition to the practices enumerated above, Defendants may have engaged in other discriminatory practices against Plaintiff which are not yet fully known. At such time as such discriminatory practices become known, Plaintiff will seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint in that regard. Plaintiff filed Charges of Discrimination with the DFEH. Plaintiff has exhausted administrative remedies, received the Notice of Right to Sue letter and timely files this action.
- 78. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' willful, knowing and intentional discrimination against Plaintiff, Plaintiff has sustained, and continues to sustain, loss of earnings and benefits, the full nature and extent of which are presently unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore, will seek leave of court to amend Plaintiff's complaint at such time as these damages are fully ascertained.
- 79. As a further direct and proximate result of Defendants' discriminatory conduct and actions against Plaintiff in violation of Government Code § 12900 et. seq. as heretofore described, Plaintiff has been damaged and deprived of the security, solace and peace of mind for which Plaintiff entered the employment relationship with Defendants, and each of them, thereby causing Plaintiff to suffer emotional and mental distress, anguish, embarrassment and humiliation, all to Plaintiff's general damages in an amount according to proof at trial, but in excess of the jurisdictional amount of this court. Plaintiff further suffered special damages of past and future medical expenses in an amount according to proof at trial.
 - 80. Plaintiff further requests attorney fees be awarded pursuant to California Government

81. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that the outrageous conduct of Defendants described above was done with malice, fraud and oppression and with conscious disregard for Plaintiff's rights and with the intent, design and purpose of injuring Plaintiff. Defendants, through their officers, managing agents and/or supervisors, authorized, condoned and/or ratified the unlawful conduct of all of the other Defendants named in this action. By reason thereof, Plaintiff is entitled to punitive or exemplary damages from all Defendants in a sum according to proof at trial.

NINTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(INTERFERENCE WITH CFRA LEAVE

AGAINST ONNI AND DOES 1-100)

- 82. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein. Plaintiff had been employed by Defendants for at least 12 months as of the date he requested leave and had at least 1250 hours of service to Defendants during the 12 month period immediately preceding the request for leave and employed at a worksite of Defendants where Defendants employed at least 50 employees within 75 miles.
- 83. Plaintiff requested CFRA leave from Defendant to care for a spouse who has a serious health condition. Defendants terminated Plaintiff's employment after he notified them of a CFRA qualifying event in violation of California Government Code §12945.2.
- 84. Defendants terminated Plaintiff for taking CFRA leave under CFRA, failed to provide him with CFRA leave and interfered with his statutory right to do so and retaliated against him for taking and/or requesting CFRA leave. Rather than providing Plaintiff a protected leave of absence, Plaintiff was instead terminated.
- 85. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that in addition to the practices enumerated above, Defendants may have engaged in other discriminatory practices against Plaintiff which are not yet fully known. At such time as such discriminatory practices become known,

Plaintiff will seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint in that regard. Plaintiff filed Charges of Discrimination with the DFEH. Plaintiff has exhausted administrative remedies, received the Notice of Right to Sue letter and timely files this action. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' willful, knowing and intentional discrimination against Plaintiff, Plaintiff has sustained, and continues to sustain, loss of earnings and benefits, the full nature and extent of which are presently unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore, will seek leave of court to amend Plaintiff's complaint at such time as these damages are fully ascertained.

- 86. As a further direct and proximate result of Defendants' discriminatory conduct and actions against Plaintiff in violation of Government Code § 12900 et. seq. as heretofore described, Plaintiff has been damaged and deprived of the security, solace and peace of mind for which Plaintiff entered the employment relationship with Defendants, and each of them, thereby causing Plaintiff to suffer emotional and mental distress, anguish, embarrassment and humiliation, all to Plaintiff's general damages in an amount according to proof at trial, but in excess of the jurisdictional amount of this court. Plaintiff further suffered special damages of past and future medical expenses in an amount according to proof at trial.
- 87. Plaintiff further requests attorney fees be awarded pursuant to California Government Code §12965.
- 88. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that the outrageous conduct of Defendants described above was done with malice, fraud and oppression and with conscious disregard for Plaintiff's rights and with the intent, design and purpose of injuring Plaintiff. Defendants, through their officers, managing agents and/or supervisors, authorized, condoned and/or ratified the unlawful conduct of all of the other Defendants named in this action. By reason thereof, Plaintiff is entitled to punitive or exemplary damages from all Defendants in a sum according to proof at trial.

TENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(DEFAMATION

AGAINST ALL DEFENDANTS AND DOES 1-100)

- 89. Plaintiff hereby re-allege each and every allegation set forth in paragraphs above and incorporate each by reference as though set forth in full herein.
- 90. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that Defendant APRIANO MEOLA, in addition to other employees, managing agents, directors and/or executives of ONNI, while acting in the course and scope of their employment with ONNI and/or on ONNI's behalf and in the furtherance of ONNI's business interests and with ONNI's knowledge, consent, authority, encouragement and/or ratification, intentionally, willfully, purposely and maliciously published and republished certain slanderous and defamatory statements and/or insinuations of fact about Plaintiff that were untrue, as here alleged. Said untrue slanderous and defamatory publications stated, insinuated and/or suggested that Plaintiff was dishonest, of low moral character and/or had acted in a fraudulent manner. MEOLA also stated that Plaintiff had abandoned his job and that Plaintiff did not need to work from home but was rather fraudulently requesting paid vacation. MEOLA further falsely stated Plaintiff was not working from home. This insinuated Plaintiff behaved dishonorably in his profession. ONNI and MEOLA and their agents or representatives, thereafter continued publishing and republishing the same or similar defamatory and false statements and/or insinuations of fact, as herein alleged.
- 91. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that ONNI and MEOLA, knew, had reason to know, must have known, or should have known that the subject publications were untrue each and every time that they published and republished them. Plaintiff is further informed and believes and thereon alleges that ONNI and MEOLA had no reasonable basis for believing said publications to be true when they made them. ONNI and MEOLA published these statements internally at ONNI with malice and/or on information and belief, to third parties currently unknown to Plaintiff.
- 92. The subject slanderous and defamatory statements and/or insinuations of fact that were published and republished about Plaintiff, were and continue to be false and unprivileged and tended to directly injure Plaintiff with respect to his occupation and/or profession by imputing dishonesty and low moral character to him in those areas that his occupation peculiarly requires. Likewise, the subject false and unprivileged slanderous and defamatory publications further injured Plaintiff with respect to his

occupation and/or profession by exposing him to contempt, ridicule, or obloquy and/or caused him to be shunned, avoided and even terminated.

- 93. As a direct, foreseeable, legal and proximate result of the herein described and alleged publications and republications of the aforesaid false and unprivileged slanderous and defamatory statements and/or insinuations of fact, Plaintiff has suffered substantial losses in earnings and job benefits, as well as loss of his reputation, shame, mortification, humiliation, embarrassment, emotional distress, mental anguish and discomfort, anxiety, trepidation, apprehension, panic, dread, fear, worry, and hurt feelings all to his general damage in an amount not yet ascertained but to be proven at the time of trial. As a further direct, foreseeable, legal and proximate result of the herein described and alleged publications and republications Plaintiff has suffered special damages in his profession, occupation, office and/or trade, all to his injury and damage in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 94. Said false and unprivileged slanderous and defamatory statements and/or insinuations of fact were published and republished by ONNI and MEOLA, alleged, willfully, deliberately, intentionally maliciously, oppressively and with ONNI's tacit and/or express authority, consent, approval and/or ratification. Thus, the acts committed by ONNI and MEOLA, as herein alleged, were and continue to be oppressive, deliberate, intentional and malicious. Said acts and conduct were also carried out deliberately, intentionally and in conscious disregard of their probable outcome. Thus, ONNI and MEOLA acted in a willful, deliberate and intentional manner and their conduct was and continues to be despicable, malicious and outrageous in that it has caused and continues to cause Plaintiff to needlessly suffer cruel and unjust hardship, thereby justifying an award of punitive damages in an amount sufficient to deter them from ever engaging in such conduct again.

ELEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(VIOLATION OF LABOR CODE §§ 6310-11 AGAINST ONNI AND DOES

<u>1-100)</u>

95. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

- 96. Defendants, and each of them, violated Plaintiff's rights under California Labor Code §§ 6310-11 by terminating Plaintiff's employment because he reported/complained/opposed unsafe working conditions for the employees of Defendants.
 - 97. Defendants actions were in violation of the California Labor Code § 6310-11.
- 98. At all times prior to his termination Plaintiff was an employee and at all times during Plaintiff's employment Plaintiff performed in a competent, satisfactory manner.
- 99. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' willful, knowing and intentional violations of the law against Plaintiff, Plaintiff has sustained, and continues to sustain, loss of earnings, the full nature and extent of which are presently unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore, will seek leave of court to amend Plaintiff's complaint at such time as these damages are fully ascertained.
- 100. As a further direct and proximate result of Defendants' tortious conduct, Plaintiff has been damaged and deprived of the security, solace and peace of mind for which Plaintiff entered the employment relationship with Defendants, and each of them, thereby causing Plaintiff to suffer emotional and mental distress, anguish, embarrassment and humiliation, all to Plaintiff's general damages in an amount according to proof at trial, but in excess of the jurisdictional amount of this court.
- 101. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that the outrageous conduct of Defendants described above was done with malice, fraud and oppression and with conscious disregard for Plaintiff's rights and with the intent, design and purpose of injuring Plaintiff. Defendants, through their officers, managing agents and/or supervisors, authorized, condoned and/or ratified the unlawful conduct of all of the other Defendants named in this action. By reason thereof, Plaintiff is entitled to punitive or exemplary damages from all Defendants in a sum according to proof at trial.

TWELFTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(HOUSING DISCRIMINATION ON THE BASIS OF DISABILITY AGAINST ONNI AND DOES 1-100)

102. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations

contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

- 103. Defendants, and each of them, discriminated against Plaintiff by treating Plaintiff differently and terminating Plaintiff's lease with Plaintiff because of Plaintiff's association with a disabled person.
- 104. Defendants' actions were in violation of the FEHA, California Government Code §12900, et seq.
 - 105. At all times prior to his termination Plaintiff was a tenant within the meaning of FEHA.
- 106. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that in addition to the practices enumerated above, Defendants may have engaged in other discriminatory practices against Plaintiff which are not yet fully known. At such time as such discriminatory practices become known, Plaintiff will seek leave of Court to amend this Complaint in that regard.
- 107. Plaintiff filed Charges of Discrimination with the DFEH. Plaintiff has exhausted his administrative remedies, received his Notices of Right to Sue letter, and timely files this action.
- 108. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' willful, knowing and intentional discrimination against Plaintiff, Plaintiff has sustained, and continues to sustain, loss of earnings, the full nature and extent of which are presently unknown to Plaintiff, who therefore, will seek leave of court to amend Plaintiff's complaint at such time as these damages are fully ascertained.
- 109. As a further direct and proximate result of Defendants' discriminatory conduct and actions against Plaintiff in violation of Government Code § 12900 et. seq. as heretofore described, Plaintiff has been damaged and deprived of the security, solace and peace of mind for which Plaintiff entered the employment relationship with Defendants, and each of them, thereby causing Plaintiff to suffer emotional and mental distress, anguish, embarrassment and humiliation, all to Plaintiff's general damages in an amount according to proof at trial, but in excess of the jurisdictional amount of this court.
- 110. Plaintiff further requests attorney fees be awarded to Plaintiff pursuant to California Government Code §12965.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27

111. Plaintiff is informed and believes and based thereon alleges that the outrageous conduct of Defendants described above was done with malice, fraud and oppression and with conscious disregard for Plaintiff's rights and with the intent, design and purpose of injuring Plaintiff. Defendants, through their officers, managing agents and/or supervisors, authorized, condoned and/or ratified the unlawful conduct of all of the other Defendants named in this action. By reason thereof, Plaintiff is entitled to punitive or exemplary damages from all Defendants in a sum according to proof at trial.

THIRTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

BREACH OF WRITTEN CONTRACT

AGAINST ONNI DOES 1-100

- 112. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.
- 113. Plaintiff and Onni entered a written contract. The material terms of which are that Plaintiff would provide services to Onni as an employee in exchange for renumeration which included a bonus of not less than twenty percent (20%) of Plaintiff's base salary, or forty-five thousand dollars (\$45,000). The bonus was to vest/be granted to Plaintiff in the Calendar Month of May.
 - 114. Plaintiff did all and/or substantially all that the contract required him to do.
 - 115. There are no conditions that prevented Defendants' performance.
 - 116. Defendants breached the written contract by not paying Plaintiff his bonus.
- 117. Because of Defendants' breach, Plaintiff has suffered damages and Defendants' conduct was a substantial factor in causing Plaintiff's damages.

FOURTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

FAILURE TO PAY WAGES

AGAINST ONNI DOES 1-100

118. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates by reference all of the allegations contained in the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully set forth herein.

- 119. Plaintiff performed work for Onni.
- 120. Onni owes Plaintiff wages under the terms and conditions of his employment with Onni.
- 121. Under the terms and conditions of Plaintiff's employment with Onni, Plaintiff's bonus vested and/or was owed to him in the calendar month of May. The calendar month of May in the year 2020 has come and gone and Plaintiff has still not received his bonus.
- 122. The bonus was to be not less than twenty percent (20%) of Plaintiff's base salary, or forty-five thousand dollars (\$45,000). Therefore, Onni owes Plaintiff at least forty-five thousand dollars (\$45,000) of unpaid wages.
 - 123. Plaintiff seeks attorney fees under Labor Code § 218.5 on this cause of action.

FIFTEENTH CAUSE OF ACTION

VIOLATION OF LABOR CODE §203

AGAINST ONNI DOES 1-100

- 124. Plaintiff incorporates by reference and realleges each and every one of the allegations contained in the preceding and foregoing paragraphs of this Complaint as if fully set forth herein.
- 125. <u>Labor Code</u> §203 provides that if an employer willfully fails to pay, without abatement or reduction, in accordance with <u>Labor Code</u> §§201 and 202, any wages of an employee who is discharged or who quits, the wages of the employee shall continue at the same rate for up to thirty (30) days from the due date thereof, until paid or until action therefore is commenced.
 - 126. Plaintiff is no longer employed by Defendants.
- 127. Defendants willfully failed to pay Plaintiff the entire wages due and owing at the time of his termination and failed to pay those sums for thirty (30) days thereafter.
- 128. Defendants' willful failure to pay wages to Plaintiff violates <u>Labor Code</u> §203 because Defendants knew or should have known wages were due to Plaintiff but failed to pay them.
- 129. Plaintiff is entitled to recovery pursuant to <u>Labor Code</u> §203, in the amount of his daily wage multiplied by thirty (30) days.
 - 130. Pursuant to CC §3287, Plaintiff seeks recovery of prejudgment interest on all amounts

1	recovered herein.				
2	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for Judgment against Defendants as follows:				
3	1.	For general, special and compensatory damages according to proof for all causes of			
4		action;			
5	2.	For lost salary, both front and back pay, bonuses, benefits and any other benefits to			
6		which Plaintiff would have been entitled to by reason of Plaintiff's employment with			
7		Defendants, according to proof for all causes of action;			
8	3.	Punitive and exemplary damages against Defendants for all causes of action;			
9	4.	For prejudgment interest at the maximum rate allowed by law for all causes of action;			
10	5.	For attorneys' and expert fees pursuant to California Government Code §12965(b) for			
11		the 1 st , 2 nd , 3 rd , 4 th , 5 th , 7 th 8 th , 9 th and 12 th causes of action; and <u>Labor Code § 218.5</u> for			
12		the 14 th cause of action.			
13	6.	For costs of suit incurred herein for all causes of action; and			
14	7.	For such other and further relief as the Court seems just and proper.			
15					
16	DATED: Au	gust 5, 2020	KOKOZIAN LAW FIRM, APC		
17		By:			
18		By.	Bruce Kokozian, Esq.		
19			Attorneys for Plaintiff		
20					
21					
22					
23					
24					
25					
26					
27	24				
	I		COMPLAINT		