```
DISTRICT COURT, EL PASO COUNTY
   STATE OF COLORADO
   270 South Tejon
   Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903)
 3
 4
   PEOPLE OF THE STATE OF COLORADO,
5
   Plaintiff,
 6
                                      ) FOR COURT USE ONLY
   VS.
   MARSHALL SCOTT BEAVER,
                                      ) Case No. 19CR3081
8
   Defendant.
                                      )Division 12
9
   For the People:
10
   MS. NICOLE TRIOLA #051455
11
   State District Attorney's Office)
   105 E. Vermijo Avenue, Suite 500)
12
   Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903)
   (719) 520-6000
13
   For the Defendant:
1 4
   MR. ADAM STEIGERWALD #040092
15
   (Appeared via WebEx)
   State Public Defender's Office
   30 E. Pikes Peak Ave., Suite 200)
16
   Colorado Springs, Colorado 80903)
17
   (719) 475-1235
18
              COURT REPORTER'S TRANSCRIPT
19
2.0
21
              The matter came on for Trial on
22
   Tuesday, October 27, 2020, before the
23
   HONORABLE ROBERT LOWREY, District Court Judge.
2 4
25
   Reported by Sandra Henderer, CSR, RPR, CRCR, CRR
```

## PROCEEDINGS

2

3

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

1

## (Court convened at 8:33 a.m.)

THE COURT: Good morning. Please be seated. We're on the record this morning on 19CR3081, Marshall Beaver. Mr. Beaver is in the back.

Mr. Beaver, do you know where your attorney is this morning?

THE DEFENDANT: I believe he's calling in.

THE COURT: All right. That was not allowed by the Court. He's supposed to be here in person this morning.

Is Mr. Steigerwald on the phone? All right.

Is there anybody from the Public Defender's Office on the phone on behalf of Mr. Steigerwald this morning?

MS. O'RILEY: Your Honor,

Deana O'Riley from the Public Defender's Office.

22 I'm not -- I'm not handling Adam's matter today.

I was just stopping in to see if there's

anything that the Court or Adam needed from our

25 office. So I'm texting him right now. I'm

```
pretty sure he's having problems logging in.
1
2
   think he used a different link than I used.
 3
              THE COURT: I couldn't hear that.
 4
             MR. STEIGERWALD: This is
5
   Adam Steigerwald on the phone.
6
              THE COURT: Good morning,
 7
   Mr. Steigerwald. We're all present in court
8
   today.
9
             Mr. Steigerwald, why are you not here
10
   this morning?
             MR. STEIGERWALD: That's pursuant to
11
12
   CJO 2027 and 2029, and I'm appearing via WebEx
13
   because I have informed the Court, pursuant to
   policy of our office, I wouldn't be proceeding
1 4
   to trial today. We're asking for a continuance.
15
              THE COURT: It doesn't matter what the
16
17
   CJO said. I ordered everyone to be here this
18
   morning to talk about this case. The last thing
19
   I said is we will meet here in Division 12
20
   tomorrow morning at 8:30. There's no allowance
21
   for appearing by phone.
22
             MR. STEIGERWALD: Your Honor, the CJO
23
   says shall appear via WebEx.
2 4
             THE COURT: What CJO are you talking
```

25

about?

```
1
             MR. STEIGERWALD: 2029. Referencing
 2
   2027.
 3
             THE COURT: Read to me what exactly
 4
   you're relying upon.
 5
             MR. STEIGERWALD: Any criminal
 6
   proceedings that can be conducted remotely shall
 7
   be conducted remotely either by phone, WebEx or
   some other audio/visual device.
 8
 9
              THE COURT: That doesn't apply. We're
10
   set for trial this morning. This is not any
11
   other proceeding. This is trial. You are
12
   ordered to be here this morning.
13
   Nevertheless --
1 4
             MR. STEIGERWALD: I understand.
15
              THE COURT: -- you've chosen not to
16
   appear. I understand that. Nevertheless, we're
17
   planning to proceed to trial this morning.
18
             Yesterday, if I understood you
19
   correctly, after your Motion to Continue was
20
   denied, you said that it didn't really matter.
21
   You weren't going to appear for trial anyway.
22
   Is that still your position this morning?
23
             MR. STEIGERWALD: No, I would not
24
   characterize it that way, Your Honor. I did not
```

say it doesn't really matter.

THE COURT: Tell me -- tell me how you characterize it then.

1 4

MR. STEIGERWALD: I'm trying to do so. I apologize.

It is our office policy since we have a client who is willing to waive speedy trial and the numbers are such that we have a good faith belief it is not safe to proceed to trial, and we are not required to proceed to trial, and I'm -- and that's how I feel. I laid it out in the motion. I understand the Court didn't see the motion until we appeared in court. I understand the Court doesn't believe what the media is reporting or parts of it, you said on the record yesterday, and that's our position.

THE COURT: Well, I think you're mischaracterizing what I said. I don't know what the media is reporting exactly nor do I have any reason to believe or disbelieve it.

What I do believe is the procedures
we've put in place in El Paso County here at the
courthouse are safe to proceed to trial. That's
what I continued to express yesterday. The
procedures we have have been approved by the
El Paso County Health Department. We've been

approved by the Chief Justice of the Colorado Supreme Court.

2 4

So if I'm understanding what your position is, you have an office policy that says you don't have to come to trial basically if you think it's unsafe. Is that what it amounts to?

MR. STEIGERWALD: Pursuant to the current data, yes.

THE COURT: When was this office policy developed?

MR. STEIGERWALD: It's been in place during the pandemic, Your Honor. We've just never come to this. The numbers in El Paso County and the state of Colorado has never been what they are today. Yesterday was the worst day in the state of Colorado. The numbers in El Paso County is at a severe rate, and the hospitalization rate is the worst it has ever been.

THE COURT: So your position is as long as you think it's unsafe, you don't have to do any trials in El Paso County; is that correct?

MR. STEIGERWALD: If our client is willing to waive speedy trial, that's correct.

```
1
              THE COURT: If your client is not
2
   willing to waive speedy trial, then you think
 3
   it's safe enough to proceed to trial. Is that
   what you are telling me?
 4
5
              MR. STEIGERWALD: If our client is not
6
   willing to waive speedy trial, then we have to
 7
   balance a number of other factors and make a
   decision in connection with our office and the
8
9
   State office. That's not the case in
10
   Mr. Beaver's case, Judge.
11
              THE COURT: Is this a written policy
   you're talking about?
12
13
              MR. STEIGERWALD: It is not.
1 4
              THE COURT: Well, who developed this
15
   policy?
16
              MR. STEIGERWALD: A supervisor at the
17
   Public Defender's Office.
18
              THE COURT: Are you talking about the
19
   El Paso County -- Colorado Springs Public
2.0
   Defender's Office?
21
              MR. STEIGERWALD: Yes, sir.
22
              THE COURT: So if I'm understanding
23
   this position then, these trials can be
24
```

continued indefinitely as long as your client

waives speedy trial. You would never have to go

to trial as long as you deem it unsafe and your client is willing to waive speedy. Is that also correct?

1 4

2 4

MR. STEIGERWALD: I don't believe that's the case, Judge. As long as the numbers are such, as long as the Health Department is not acting safely, then that would be the case. This pandemic continues to worsen, and the County Health Department is nonresponsive to those issues, then that would be the case, but I don't believe that will be the case.

THE COURT: Well, COVID is not going away. We all understand that. It's going to be around probably for years. So at what point do you think it's going to be safe?

MR. STEIGERWALD: When we're not in the middle of the worst spike in our community that we have.

I've done a trial. I know that

Your Honor and Division 12 has not. I've done a

trial during the COVID pandemic on August 26,

and the numbers were dramatically different than
they are now.

This is not a position I take lightly.

It's not a position our office takes lightly,

but it is our position.

1 4

2.0

THE COURT: Do you have any empirical evidence that we are unsafe here in El Paso County with what you told me here today about these numbers you're referring to?

MR. STEIGERWALD: I -- I understand the Court does not believe or disbelieve the numbers. I can't -- I can't do anything other than provide the Court the information that the county and the state and the national health organizations are providing to us.

The governor has restricted groups of 10 people from two households in response to these numbers. Basically a trial would require in the neighborhood of 15 to 20 people in a room at a time, and that's after jury selection; all from different households.

THE COURT: So if your client is not willing to waive speedy, you would balance the interest and find it safe enough to proceed to trial, but if your client is waiving speedy, you find it is not safe. Is that the bottom line?

MR. STEIGERWALD: I think the bottom line is is if my client is not willing to waive speedy trial, which is not the situation with

Mr. Beaver, then that right of his would be 1 2 balanced with a number of other factors to include an attorney's willingness to do the 4 trial, how unsafe we believe the trial is, and 5 what policies and procedures are in place with the Health Department and the courthouse.

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

1 4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: All right. Ms. Triola, do you have anything to say this morning that hasn't already been said?

MS. TRIOLA: Your Honor, I think the People, given this, may be filing a written motion to possibly look to disqualify the PD's office from continued representation of Mr. Beaver, but we can file a written motion to that effect.

THE COURT: Well, we were set for trial today. As far as the Court is concerned, we were ready to proceed to trial this morning. Mr. Steigerwald, of course, has put this Court a position of having no choice but to order Mr. Steigerwald to appear for trial this morning. That order is effective immediately right now. We will plan to proceed this morning we were scheduled to proceed.

I don't have any empirical numbers to

suggest it's unsafe. I understand if I believe
the numbers, if I accept them, that

Mr. Steigerwald has presented that numbers
have gone up in El Paso County over the last
couple of weeks, but that alone doesn't suggest
to me that it's unsafe to conduct a trial in

El Paso County.

1 4

The procedures have been in place.

They have worked well as I understand it. I have no information whatsoever to suggest anyone has become ill or suffered as a result of being a participant in a trial in El Paso County, and trials have been going on now for about two and a half months, as I recall.

This division has not come up for a trial yet. The results that I've heard have been very good. The juries have been pleased.

No one has been ill. So I believe it is safe to proceed to trial. So that will be the order of the Court. We plan to proceed to trial.

MR. STEIGERWALD: Your Honor, essentially I have provided the Court with empirical evidence to support. It's just that, again, the Court does not believe. I -- I understand the Court's position. I'm not going

to trial.

1 4

THE COURT: First of all, I didn't say
I didn't believe your numbers. I said I don't
believe or disbelieve because I have no
evidence. I have no medical expert here telling
me those numbers.

What I said was if I accepted it as true, I still believe it's safe to proceed to trial in El Paso County. All the evidence I have here is from conversations in the courthouse and the responses from other judges that say it's safe to proceed.

I also know our policy has been approved by the chief justice as well as the deputy director of the El Paso County Health Department who's also our elected coroner in El Paso County. That's the empirical evidence I rely on that says it is safe to proceed.

So what is it you just said there at the end, Mr. Steigerwald? You regarded the last -- very last statement you made was regarding what?

MR. STEIGERWALD: I apologize. I understand the Court's position. I will not be proceeding to trial.

1 THE COURT: So you're refusing to 2 represent your client here today. Is that what 3 you are telling me? 4 MR. STEIGERWALD: I believe I am 5 representing my client, Your Honor. I'm 6 refusing to proceed to trial. 7 THE COURT: Well, let's rephrase. 8 You're refusing to appear and represent your 9 client in trial today; is that correct? 10 MR. STEIGERWALD: I'm refusing to 1 1 appear in trial. 12 THE COURT: You're violating a direct 13 order of this court. The Court has no choice 14 but to find you are in contempt of court at this 15 point in time. There's a direct contempt of 16 court. We have to deal with sentencing that is 17 appropriate under these kinds of conditions. 18 Mr. Steigerwald, we can proceed to --19 I'll let you have some leeway in how you want to 20 proceed with respect to sentencing. If you want 21 some time to deal with that, we can set it for 22 that -- for a citizen factor. 23 MR. STEIGERWALD: That would be fine. 2 4 THE COURT: When would you like to

Obviously we have some time this week

25

appear?

```
now that we're not going to trial. I'm not
1
 2
   going to require your client to go to trial by
 3
   himself. That certainly violates his
   constitutional rights.
 4
5
             MR. STEIGERWALD: I -- if the Court is
6
   willing to give me time, I would prefer towards
 7
   the end of the week so I can -- so I can speak
8
   to the higher-ups in my office, and then the
9
   State Public Defender's Office.
              THE COURT: All right. What's the
10
11
   People's position?
12
             MS. TRIOLA: Your Honor, we would
13
   defer to the Court. That's fine. We don't have
1 4
   a preference on that.
15
              THE COURT: All right. We can do
16
   Thursday afternoon about 1:30 or two o'clock or
17
   we can set it first thing Friday morning.
18
             Do we have anything already set, Dana?
19
              COURT CLERK: No.
20
              THE COURT: Okay.
21
             MR. STEIGERWALD: I'm available.
22
              THE COURT: How about two o'clock
23
   Thursday afternoon?
24
             MR. STEIGERWALD: That's fine.
```

THE COURT: I want to make it clear

for the record, the Court does not do something like this very lightly. Certainly never in the history of this division has this ever happened. I've never had an attorney engage in what I believe is only inexplicable behavior in refusing to appear for trial.

1 4

2 4

It is the job of the Court to manage dockets and set trials; not the job of the public defender or any other defense counsel.

To adopt Mr. Steigerwald's position, seems to me, it would create chaos. Any time someone who's out of custody who presents the issue and they want a continuance would simply be given it. That cannot be a proper way for any sort of management of the criminal justice system anywhere as far as this Court is concerned.

I note this trial was set back in May for July. It continued in July because of the pandemic. We set this date which was accepted by the Defense at that time, and here we are ready for trial today.

I don't have any belief that it would be safer to do this trial four months from now or six months from now as it is today. If you look at the numbers, I don't know if they are

going to get any better. We have no way of knowing that. I don't believe it's appropriate that a trial continue forever when we do have safe procedures in place as far as this Court knows.

So that's the thinking of the Court today. I find this unfortunate for both the client and Mr. Steigerwald and the court system. Nevertheless, that is the position the Court has been placed in, and that's the way we'll proceed.

We'll see everyone back here on
Thursday afternoon at two o'clock.
Mr. Steigerwald, it is a personal appearance.

You don't call in for that. That is a sentencing so you need to be here in person.

MR. STEIGERWALD: I understand.

COURT CLERK: Judge, speaking about the trial, does Mr. Beaver need to be here as well, and we'll reset him at that time?

THE COURT: That will probably be the smartest thing to do. Can you be here Thursday afternoon at two o'clock? You were set for trial this week.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes. I just -- I'm

supposed to pick up my kids that day. 1 2 THE COURT: What were you planning to 3 do while you were in trial? 4 THE DEFENDANT: We -- I'm not sure. 5 hadn't figured that part out. THE COURT: You'll have a chance to 6 7 talk with your attorney between now and then to 8 discuss resetting this case, and -- if he's 9 still your attorney. I don't know if he will be 10 not -- but we'll talk about resetting your case as well on Thursday afternoon. All right. 1 1 So be here at two o'clock Thursday afternoon. 12 13 THE DEFENDANT: Okay. 1 4 THE COURT: All right. Anything else 15 from either party? 16 MS. TRIOLA: No, Your Honor. 17 MR. STEIGERWALD: No, Your Honor. 18 THE COURT: We'll be in recess. 19 20 (Proceedings adjourned at 8:52 a.m.) 21 22 23

2 4

## COURT REPORTER'S CERTIFICATE

I, Sandra Henderer, CSR, RPR, CRCR,

CRR, within the State of Colorado, do hereby

certify that the within and foregoing Court

Reporter's Transcript is a true and complete

transcription of my shorthand notes taken during

a WebEx hearing in my capacity as Official

Reporter, within and for the County of El Paso,

Fourth Judicial District, State of Colorado.

In witness hereof, I have hereunto set my hand this 27th day of October, 2020.

1 4

1 7

2 4

/s/ Sandra Henderer

Sandra Henderer, CSR, RPR, CRCR, CRR Certified Realtime Court Reporter