)

NEW CIVIL LIBERTIES ALLIANCE

KARA ROLLINS (Attorney ID 107002014) Litigation Counsel HARRIET HAGEMAN (*Pro Hac Vice* Pending) Senior Litigation Counsel JARED MCCLAIN (*Pro Hac Vice* Pending) Litigation Counsel 1225 19th Street NW, Suite 450 Washington, DC 20036 (202) 869-5210 Counsel to Plaintiffs

ZIMOLONG, LLC

Walter S. Zimolong (Attorney ID 025262002) 16 North Center Street Merchantville, NJ 08109 (609) 932-8836 wally@zimolonglaw.com

CHARLES KRAVITZ, DAWN
JOHANSON-KRAVITZ, and LITTLE
HARRY'S LLC; MARGARITA
JOHNSON, JOHN JOHNSON, and
TWO BEARS PROPERTY
MANAGEMENT; and ANDREW VAN
HOOK and UNION LAKE
ENTERPRISES, LLC,

Plaintiffs,

v.

PHILIP D. MURPHY, in his official capacity as Governor of New Jersey; GURBIR S. GREWAL, in his official capacity as New Jersey Attorney General; and JUDITH M. PERSICHILLI, in her official capacity as Commissioner of the New Jersey Department of Health,

Defendants.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY CUMBERLAND COUNTY LAW DIVISION

Docket No.

CIVIL ACTION

COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND

Plaintiffs, Charles Kravitz, Dawn Johanson-Kravitz, and Little Harry's LLC; Margarita Johnson, John Johnson, and Two Bears Property Management; and Andrew Van Hook and Union Lake Enterprises, LLC, by way of Complaint against Governor Philip D. Murphy, in his official capacity as Governor of New Jersey, Gurbir S. Grewal, in his official capacity as New Jersey Attorney General, and Judith M. Perischilli, in her official capacity as Commissioner of the New Jersey Department of Health (collectively "Defendants") states as follows:

INTRODUCTORY STATEMENT

- 1. The outbreak of COVID-19 hit New Jersey especially hard. To date, Governor Murphy has responded to the COVID-19 virus by issuing over 90 executive orders related to the pandemic. This action challenges one of them.
- 2. More specifically, this lawsuit challenges Governor Murphy's April 24, 2020, Executive Order 128 ("EO 128"), which purports to waive laws governing security deposits for residential leasehold contracts. In addition to unlawfully suspending the applicability of duly enacted laws, the Governor's order modified the rights and obligations of residential landlords and tenants who had mutually and voluntarily entered into contracts that required deposits to secure rental properties against the risk of damage. To make matters worse, Executive Order 128 also *criminalized* adhering to the terms of landlords' then-existing leasehold contracts and compliance with those lawfully adopted statutes governing such contracts. In issuing EO 128, the Governor purportedly waived these contracts and the statutory requirements contained therein without the consent of the contracting parties or the state legislature.
- 3. Put simply, this case is about the Governor's abuse of power. Exceeding any authority granted by the citizens of New Jersey, the New Jersey Legislature, or the New Jersey Constitution, Governor Murphy has interfered with the contractual rights and obligations of private citizens.
- 4. The question at hand is not whether one agrees or disagrees with the Governor's policy prescriptions, or whether they are effective or ineffective in addressing some of the impacts of COVID-19. This case instead goes to the heart of our constitutional form of government and the separation of powers. This case focuses explicitly on whether the New Jersey Governor can rely on his own declared public-health emergency to assume authority neither the state Constitution nor the legislature ever granted to waive or amend provisions in private contracts, as well as to override and amend explicit statutory provisions as he chooses.

- 5. Plaintiffs own residential rental properties in Southern New Jersey. When the Plaintiffs leased those properties, they negotiated with their tenants to ensure that their tenants each paid a security deposit that would secure and protect the Plaintiffs' rental properties against any damage during the tenancies. Executive Order 128 interferes with these agreements and nullifies the Plaintiffs' rights and entitlements under the leases that the Plaintiffs privately and voluntarily negotiated with their tenants.
- 6. In a time of nationwide economic insecurity, Governor Murphy has unilaterally singled out one type of property owner—residential landlords—and canceled the security that protects their property from physical damage.
- 7. Adherence to the rule of law provides New Jerseyans with security during a crisis. Governor Murphy's Executive Order 128 does not advance or protect the rule of law; it instead undermines property rights and faith in the duly enacted laws by which we are governed.
- 8. We have been taught since our very first civics courses in elementary school that our form of government is unique and that no one branch of our government can assume the authority and responsibility of another. We know that we have the right to contract with our fellow man, and that courts will enforce such contractual terms as agreed to by the parties. These foundational truths have held fast during numerous crises throughout our history. We cannot abandon them now.
- 9. All legitimate authority in New Jersey flows from the people, as it is the people who vested the legislative power in the Senate and General Assembly. The people have never imbued the Governor with the constitutional authority to enact, waive, amend, or repeal laws, and a state of emergency cannot and does not increase the Governor's authority beyond the scope of his power as granted by the Constitutions of the United States and New Jersey and duly enacted state statutes.
 - 10. Plaintiffs ask this Court to carry out and protect our constitutional framework.

- 11. Plaintiffs seek an order from this Court declaring that Governor Murphy does not have the power to issue Executive Order 128 and that he does not have the power to interfere with leasehold contracts or to waive statutory law.
- 12. A ruling in the Plaintiffs' favor will restore and protect the rule of law on which New Jersey landlords, tenants, and all New Jerseyans depend.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 13. Plaintiffs file this action pursuant to the Constitution, laws, statutes, administrative codes, rules and regulations promulgated by the State of New Jersey and its agencies.
- 14. Venue is proper in Cumberland County pursuant to <u>R.</u> 4:3-2(a)(1). Plaintiffs Margarita and John Johnson, Two Bears Property Management, Andrew Van Hook, and Union Lake Enterprises, LLC, own real property in Cumberland County and the possessory interests in that real property has been affected by Defendants' actions.

PARTIES

- 15. Plaintiffs Charles Kravitz and Dawn Johanson-Kravitz are residents of Mullica Hill, New Jersey. They own and operate Little Harry's LLC, which leases a residential property that the Kravitzes own in Glassboro in Gloucester County, New Jersey. The Kravitzes rented the property pursuant to the terms of a written lease agreement entered into on August 3, 2019. (A copy of the Deed dated February 11, 2019, is attached as Exhibit 1; and, a copy of the 2019 Lease Agreement is attached as Exhibit 2).
- 16. Plaintiffs Margarita Johnson and John Johnson are residents of Vineland, New Jersey. They own and operate Two Bears Property Management and are co-trustees of the Johnson Trust, which owns a residential property in Vineland in Cumberland County, New Jersey. The Johnsons rent the property pursuant to the terms of a written lease agreement entered into on July 31, 2017. (A

copy of the Deed dated October 16, 2019, is attached as Exhibit 3; and a copy of the 2017 Lease Agreement is attached as Exhibit 4).

- 17. Plaintiff Andrew Van Hook is a resident of Millville in Cumberland County, New Jersey. He is the managing member of Union Lake Enterprises, LLC, which owns a residential property in Millville, New Jersey. Union Lake Enterprises, LLC rents such residential property pursuant to the terms of a written lease agreement entered into on June 22, 2020, which replaced the terms of the prior leases the parties had agreed to on June 26, 2018. (A copy of the Deed dated October 20, 2006, is attached as Exhibit 5; a copy of the 2018 Lease Agreement and 2020 Addendum are attached as Exhibits 6 and 7, respectively).
- 18. Defendant Philip D. Murphy is the Governor of the State of New Jersey. He is sued in his official capacity.
- 19. Defendant Gurbir S. Grewal is the Attorney General of the State of New Jersey. He is sued in his official capacity.
- 20. Defendant Judith M. Persichilli is the Commissioner of the New Jersey Department of Health. She is sued in her official capacity.

STATEMENT OF FACTS

- A. Plaintiffs and Their Tenants Voluntarily Contracted for Residential Leases that Required Security Deposits
 - 1. Facts Specific to the Kravitzes
- 21. The Kravitzes own a residential property located at 611 Heston Road in Glassboro, Gloucester County, New Jersey (the "Glassboro Property"). (See Exhibit 1). The Glassboro Property is located near Rowan University.

- 22. On August 3, 2019, the Kravitzes rented the Glassboro Property to four students at Rowan University, the "Rowan Tenants," pursuant to a residential lease agreement (the "Glassboro Lease"). (See Exhibit 2).
- 23. According to the terms of the Glassboro Lease, the parties agreed to certain covenants and obligations:
 - a. The Rowan Tenants agreed to lease the Glassboro Property from August 15, 2019 through June 1, 2020. (<u>Id.</u> at 3).
 - b. The Rowan Tenants would pay \$2,000 per month in rent. (<u>Id.</u>).
 - c. The lease required the Rowan Tenants to pay a security deposit of \$2,000 on the execution of the lease. The Kravitzes would "hold the Security Deposit in an interest bearing account" and "return the Security deposit at the end of th[e] tenancy, less such deductions as provided in th[e] Lease" except that "no deduction w[ould] be made for damage due to reasonable wear and tear nor for any deduction prohibited by law." (Id. at 4).
 - d. The Glassboro Lease also specified that the Kravitzes may charge their tenants "or make deductions from the Security Deposit" to cover the following costs, see generally, id. at 4-5:
 - i. Repair of walls due to plugs, large nails or any unreasonable number of holes in the walls including the repainting of such damaged walls;
 - ii. Repainting requires to repair the results of any other improper or excessive damage by the Tenant;
 - iii. Unplugging toilets, sinks, and drains;

¹ To protect the privacy of the Kravitzes tenants, who are not parties to this lawsuit, this Complaint will refer to them collectively as the "Rowan Tenants" and individually as "Mr. Doe(s) 1-4."

- iv. Replacing damaged or missing doors, windows, screens, mirrors, or light fixtures;
 - v. Repairing cuts, burns, or water damage to floors, rugs, or other areas;
- vi. Any other repairs or cleaning due to any damage beyond normal wear and tear;
- vii. The cost of extermination if the tenants brought or allowed insects into the property;
- viii. Repairs and replacements required because the tenants left open windows and allowed rain or water damage; and
- ix. Replacement of locks and/or lost keys if the tenants misplaced their keys;
- x. Professional carpet cleaning if the tenants have not made arrangements for professional cleaning and repairs
- e. The Glassboro Lease also specified that the Rowan Tenants "may not use the Security Deposit as payment for Rent." (Id. at 5).
- f. The Kravitzes return the Security Deposit "less any proper deductions" "[w]ithin the time period required by law and after termination" of the Glassboro Lease. (Id. at 4).
- g. The Glassboro Lease made the Rowan Tenants jointly and severally liable "for each other's acts, omission and liabilities" under the lease. (Id. at 10).
- h. The Glassboro Lease also provided that "[a]ny waiver by the Landlord of any failure by the Tenant(s) to perform or observe the provisions of this Lease will not operate as a waiver of the Landlord's rights under the lease ... and will not defeat or affect in any way the Landlord's rights in respect [to] any subsequent default or breach." (Id.).

2. Facts Specific to the Johnsons

- 24. In the early 2000s, the Johnsons purchased a residential property located at 728 South 6th Street, Unit A in the City of Vineland, Cumberland County, New Jersey (the "Sixth Street Property"). (See Exhibit 3).² The Sixth Street Property is a duplex.
- 25. At the time of purchase, the Sixth Street Property was occupied by a tenant, the "Sixth Street Tenant," who has continued to occupy the unit since that time.
- 26. On July 31, 2017, the Johnsons continued the rental relationship with the Sixth Street Tenant and executed a new residential lease agreement (the "Sixth Street Lease"). See (Exhibit 4).
- 27. According to the terms of the Sixth Street Lease, the parties agreed to certain covenants and obligations, see generally, id.:
 - a. The Sixth Street Tenant agreed to lease the Sixth Street Property from August 1, 2017, through July 31, 2019. The Johnsons and the Sixth Street Tenant continue to operate under the terms of the S. 6th St. Lease on a month-to-month tenancy. (<u>Id.</u> at 1).
 - b. The Sixth Street Tenant would pay \$820 per month in rent. (<u>Id.</u> at ¶ 1)
 - c. The lease required the Sixth Street Tenant to pay a security deposit of \$1,230 on the execution of the lease. (Id. at \P 5).
 - d. The Sixth Street Lease also specified that the Johnsons may charge their tenant for "[t]he cost of all damages; to include materials, labor and any applicable taxes." (Id. at ¶¶ 16A, 20A).

² On October 16, 2019, the Johnsons transferred their ownership interest in the Sixth Street Property into the Johnson Family Trust and retained for themselves a life estate in the property. The Johnsons are co-trustees of the Johnson Family Trust.

³ To protect the privacy of the Johnsons' tenant, who is not a party to this lawsuit, this Complaint will refer to her as the "Sixth Street Tenant."

- e. If the Sixth Street Tenant failed to comply with any material provision of the Sixth Street Lease or any statutorily imposed duties, the lease specified that the Johnsons could terminate the lease after providing seven days' notice of the tenant's non-compliance. (Id. at ¶ 20).
- f. If the Sixth Street Tenant failed to pay rent in full by the 20th day of the month, the Sixth Street Lease "[would] be considered terminated, unless a prior written agreement is signed by" the parties. In the instance of default, the Sixth Street Lease includes provisions making the Sixth Street Tenant responsible for certain costs related to any legal action arising out of a default. (Id. at ¶ 36.1.)
- 28. The Sixth Street Lease also set out the terms governing the parties' rights and obligations with respect to the security deposit due under the lease. Specifically, Paragraph 5 provides as follows:

SECURITY DEPOSIT. On execution of this lease, Lessee deposits with Lessor One Thousand Two Hundred Thirty Dollars (\$1230.00), the sum equal to one and one-half (1.5) months rent, receipt of which is acknowledged by Lessor, as security for the faithful performance by Lessee of the terms hereof, to be returned to Lessee, with interest except where required by law, on the full and faithful performance by them of the provisions hereof.

(Id. at \P 5).

29. The Sixth Street Lease provides that the security deposit "has been placed in a savings account gaining interest." (Id. at ¶ 19).

3. Facts Specific to Mr. Van Hook

30. Mr. Van Hook is the managing member of Union Lake Enterprises, LLC, which owns a residential propriety located at 726 Whitaker Avenue in Millville, Cumberland County, New Jersey (the "Millville Property"). (See Exhibit 5).

- 31. On June 22, 2018, Union Lake by and through Mr. Van Hook rented the Millville Property to the "Millville Tenant" pursuant to a residential lease agreement (the "Millville Lease"). 5 (See Exhibit 6).
- 32. According to the terms of the Millville Lease, the parties agreed to certain covenants and obligations, see generally, id.:
 - i. The Millville Tenant agreed to initially lease the Millville Property from August 1, 2018, through June 30, 2020. (<u>Id.</u> at ¶ 3).
 - j. The Millville Tenant would pay \$1,450 per month in rent. ($\underline{\text{Id.}}$ at ¶ 4).
 - k. The lease required the Millville Tenant to pay a security deposit of \$2,175 on the execution of the lease "to assure that the [Millville] Tenant performs all of the Tenant's obligations under [the] Lease." (Id. at 5).
 - l. The Millville Lease also specified that the tenant must, see generally, id. at ¶
 15:
 - i. maintain the lawn;
 - ii. conduct ordinary maintenance;
 - iii. replace the carpet if such action is a necessary result of the Millville Tenant or her pets;
 - iv. pay "for all repairs, replacements and damages caused by the act or neglect of the Tenant;"
 - v. clean the property prior to vacating;
 - vi. repair any damage prior to vacating; and,

⁴ To protect the privacy of Mr. Van Hook's tenant, who is not a party to this lawsuit, this Complaint will refer to her as the "Millville Tenant."

⁵ The Millville Lease is a "New Jersey Realtors® Standard Form of Residential Lease."

- vii. return the property "in the same condition as it was at the beginning of the Term, except for normal wear and tear."
- m. After the initial term elapsed, the Union Lake Enterprises by and through Mr. Van Hook and the Millville Tenant executed an addendum to the Millville Lease which extends the terms of the Millville Lease "for an additional twelve (12) months until June 30, 2021." (Exhibit 7).
- n. If the Millville Tenant violated the terms of the Millville Lease, Union Lake Enterprises could terminate the lease through eviction proceedings. (Exhibit 6 at ¶ 12).
- o. If the Millville Tenant failed to pay by the 5th of the month, Union Lake Enterprises could charge a \$45 + \$5/day late fee. (Id. at ¶ 7).
- p. The Millville Lease also includes a provision stating that the "[l]ease can only be changed in writing by an agreement signed" by both parties. (Id. at ¶ 32).
- 33. The Millville Lease also set out the terms governing the parties' rights and obligations with respect to the security deposit due under the lease. Specifically, Paragraph 6 provides as follows:

SECURITY DEPOSIT: Tenant shall pay to the Landlord the sum of \$2,175.00 (the "Security Deposit" which cannot exceed one and one-half months rent) to assure that the Tenant performs all of the Tenant's obligations under this Lease. If the Landlord collects any additional Security Deposit, the additional security collected annually shall not be greater than 10 percent of the current Security Deposit. Landlord shall comply with the Rent Security Deposit Act, N.J.S.A. 46:8-19 et seq. (the "Act"), unless this Lease is for owner occupied Property with not more than two rental units or is a seasonal tenancy of not more than 125 consecutive days. Any attempt to waive the requirements of the Act is prohibited and void as a matter of law.

The Act requires depositing the Security Deposit into a banking institution or investment company in New Jersey and notifying the Tenant in writing of the name and address of the banking institution or investment company, the type of account in which the Security Deposit is deposited or invested (for example, interest bearing or money market), the amount of the Security Deposit, and the current rate of interest for the account within 30 days of each of the following: (a) the Landlord's receipt of the Security Deposit from the Tenant; (b) the Landlord moving the deposit from one institution or fund to another (unless the move is due to a merger, in which case a notice to the Tenant must be within 30 days of receipt of notice by the Landlord of the merger if the merger occurs more than 60 days prior to the annual interest

payment); or (c) the transfer or conveyance of ownership or control of the Property. Such notice also must be provided at the time of each annual interest payment. All interest earned on the Security Deposit shall be paid to the Tenant in cash or be credited toward the payment of rent due under this Lease upon the anniversary date of this Lease, the renewal of the Term or on January 31, if the Landlord gives the Tenant written notice that interest will be paid on January 31.

The Act also provides that, if the Landlord sells or conveys the Property during the Term of this Lease, the Landlord will transfer the Security Deposit plus the undistributed interest to the new owner. The Landlord shall notify the Tenant of the sale or conveyance, as well as the name and address of the new owner. The notice shall be given by registered or certified mail within five days after conveyance of title. After acquisition of the Property, the new owner shall be liable for investing the Security Deposit, making all interest payments, giving all notices and returning the Security Deposit as required under the Act, even if the Landlord fails to transfer the Security Deposit.

The Landlord shall inspect the Property after the Tenant vacates at the end of the Term. Within 30 days of the termination of this Lease, the Landlord shall return the Security Deposit plus the undistributed interest to the Tenant, less any charges expended by the Landlord for damages to the Property resulting from the Tenant's occupancy. The interest and deductions shall be itemized in a statement by the Landlord, and shall be forwarded to the Tenant with the balance of the Security Deposit by personal delivery, or registered or certified mail. The Security Deposit may not be used by the Tenant for the payment of rent without the written consent of the Landlord.

($\underline{\text{Id.}}$ at \P 6) (emphasis added).

B. COVID-19 Is a Threat to the Health and Welfare of New Jersey Residents

- 34. The novel coronavirus COVID-19 is a serious and contagious viral disease spread mainly through close contact from person-to-person. How to Protect Yourself & Others, Ctrs. For Disease Ctrl. and Prevention (Apr. 24, 2020), available at https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/prevent-getting-sick/prevention.html.
- 35. The first case of COVID-19 in New Jersey was confirmed on March 4, 2020. <u>COVID-19 Confirmed Case Summary</u>, N.J. Dep't of Health 5 (May 27, 2020), <u>available at https://www.nj.gov/health/cd/documents/topics/NCOV/COVID_Confirmed_Case_Summary.pdf</u>.

- 36. By March 9, 2020, there were 35 confirmed and presumptive cases of COVID-19 in New Jersey. Murphy Exec. Order No. 103 (Mar. 9, 2020), <u>available at https://nj.gov/infobank/eo/056murphy/pdf/EO-103.pdf.</u>
- 37. As of December 14, 2020, New Jersey had [number] lab-confirmed cases of COVID-19. NJ COVID-19 Data Dashboard, Official Site of the State of New Jersey (Dec. 14, 2020), available at https://covid19.nj.gov/#live-updates.

C. Governor Murphy Declares a State of Emergency in Response to COVID-19

- 38. On March 9, 2020, Governor Murphy issued Executive Order No. 103, declaring a public health emergency and state of emergency in New Jersey. Murphy Exec. Order No. 103.
- 39. The stated purpose of the Governor's order was "to protect the health, safety and welfare of the people of the State of New Jersey[.]" <u>Id.</u> at 4.
- 40. As authority to declare a state of emergency through Executive Order 103, Governor Murphy relied on "the Constitution and statutes of the State of New Jersey, particularly the provisions of N.J.S.A. 26:13-1 et seq., N.J.S.A. 38A:3-6.1, and N.J.S.A. 38A:2-4 and all amendments and supplements thereto[.]" Id.
- 41. The Governor's stated justification for the first state of emergency declaration was N.J.S.A. 26:13-1 et seq., the "Emergency Health Powers Act."
- 42. The Emergency Health Powers Act permits the Governor, "in consultation with the [Commissioner of Health] and the Director of the State Office of Emergency Management" to "declare a public health emergency." N.J.S.A. 26:13-3.
- 43. A "public health emergency" is "an occurrence or imminent threat of an occurrence" that "is caused or is reasonably believed to be caused by" several biological threats, including "the appearance of a novel or previously controlled or eradicated biological agent[,]" and "poses a high probability of … a large number of deaths, illness, or injury" or "a large number of serious or long-

term impairments" or that "poses a significant risk of substantial future harm to a large number of people[.]" N.J.S.A. 26:13-2.

- 44. Section 13-3 of Title 26 requires any order by the Governor declaring a public health emergency to specify: "(1) the nature of the public health emergency; (2) the geographic area subject to the declaration; (3) the conditions that have brought about the public health emergency to the extent known; and (4) the expected duration of the public health emergency, if less than 30 days."
- 45. Any public health emergency "terminate[s] automatically after 30 days unless renewed by the Governor under the same standards and procedures" set out in ¶ 44 of this Complaint. N.J.S.A. 26:13-3(b).
- 46. Once the Governor has declared a public health emergency under 26:13-1 et seq., the Act grants certain specific, health-related authority to the Governor and the Commissioner of the New Jersey Department of Health, see N.J.S.A. 26:13-2, including the authority to: (1) investigate the health event, N.J.S.A. 26:13-4, 13-5; (2) establish a registry of available health-care workers, N.J.S.A. 26:13-6; (3) provide for the safe disposition of human remains, N.J.S.A. 26:13-7; (4) "close, compel the evacuation of, or denominate" facilities that "may endanger the public health," N.J.S.A. 26:13-8; (5) dispose of infectious waste, N.J.S.A. 26:13-10; (6) control the supply and distribution of pharmaceutical agents, N.J.S.A. 26:13-11; (7) prevent transmission of the disease, N.J.S.A. 26:13-12; (8) require persons to submit to testing, N.J.S.A. 26:13-13; (9) require the vaccination, treatment, decontamination, isolation, or quarantine of persons, N.J.S.A. 26:13-14, -15; (10) educate the public about the efficacy of vaccines, N.J.S.A. 26:13-23; (11) reinstate the employment of persons who were isolated or quarantined, N.J.S.A. 26:13-16; (12) access and disclose medical records in certain circumstances, N.J.S.A. 26:13-17; (13) disseminate information about food-access programs, N.J.S.A. 26:13-17:1; (14) require the assistance of health-care workers, N.J.S.A. 26:13-18; (15) provide for

potassium iodine in case of a radiological emergency, <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 26:13-20; and (16) administer a Biological Agent registry, <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 26:13-22.

- 47. In addition to certain powers to control health-care facilities, the Governor or the commissioner may also "procure, by condemnation or otherwise, subject to the payment of reasonable costs" to "construct, lease, transport, store, maintain, renovate or distribute property and facilities as may be reasonable and necessary to respond to the public health emergency[.]" N.J.S.A. 26:13-9. "Such property and facilities include, but are not limited to, communication devices, carriers, real estate, food and clothing." Id.
- 48. The Governor or the commissioner may also "inspect, control, restrict, and regulate by rationing and using quotas, prohibitions on shipments, allocation or other means, the use, sale, dispensing, distribution or transportation of food, clothing and other commodities, as may be reasonable and necessary to the public health emergency." <u>Id.</u>
- 49. The Governor or the commissioner also has the authority to restrict the movement of persons "if such action is reasonable and necessary to respond to the public health emergency." <u>Id.</u>
- 50. Governor Murphy's second justification for issuing the Executive Order is N.J.S.A. 38A:3-6.1, which governs "[a]id to localities in circumstances which threaten or endanger public health, safety, or welfare." This provision authorizes the Governor "to order active duty, with or without pay, in State service, such members of the New Jersey National Guard ... to provide aid to localities in circumstances which threaten or are a danger to public health, safety or welfare." <u>Id.</u>
- 51. Governor Murphy's third justification for issuing the Executive Order is N.J.S.A. 38A:2-4, which authorizes the Governor, "in case of insurrection, invasion, tumult, riot, breach of peace, natural disaster, or imminent danger to public safety," to "order to active duty all or any part of the militia that he may deem necessary."

D. Governor Murphy Issued Scores of Executive Orders and Extended the Public Health Emergency Several Times

- 52. Between March 9, 2020, when Governor Murphy declared a state of emergency, and December 14, 2020, Governor Murphy issued another 90 executive orders relating to COVID-19.

 See Executive Orders Phil Murphy (last visited Dec. 14, 2020), available at https://nj.gov/infobank/eo/056murphy/.
- 53. In one such order, Executive Order 106, Governor Murphy stayed foreclosures and evictions. Murphy Exec. Order No. 106 (March 19, 2020), <u>available at https://nj.gov/infobank/eo/056murphy/pdf/EO-106.pdf</u>. Specifically, Executive Order 106 stayed enforcement of all judgments for possession, warrants of removal, and writs of possession, except when a court determines that enforcement is necessary in the interest of justice. <u>Id.</u>
- 54. Executive Order 106 remains in effect until two months "following the end of the Public Health Emergency or State of Emergency established by Executive Order No. 103 (2020), whichever ends later[.]" <u>Id.</u> at 4.
- 55. Since March, Governor Murphy has on nine separate occasions declared that the Public Health Emergency established by Executive Order 103 continued to exist. See Murphy Exec. Order No. 119 (Apr. 7, 2020), <u>available</u> at https://nj.gov/infobank/eo/056murphy/pdf/EO-6, 2020), 119.pdf; Murphy Exec. Order No. 138 (May available <u>at</u> https://nj.gov/infobank/eo/056murphy/pdf/EO-138.pdf, Murphy Exec. Order No. 151 (June 4, 2020), available at https://nj.gov/infobank/eo/056murphy/pdf/EO-151.pdf; Murphy Exec. Order No. 162 (July 2, 2020), available at https://nj.gov/infobank/eo/056murphy/pdf/EO-162.pdf; Murphy Exec. Order No. 171 (Aug. 1. 2020), available <u>at</u> https://nj.gov/infobank/eo/056murphy/pdf/EO-171.pdf; Murphy Exec. Order No. 181 (Aug. 27, 2020), available at https://nj.gov/infobank/eo/056murphy/pdf/EO-181.pdf; Murphy Exec. Order

No. 186 (Sept. 25, 2020), <u>available at https://nj.gov/infobank/eo/056murphy/pdf/EO-186.pdf;</u>
Murphy Exec. Order No. 191 (Oct. 24, 2020), <u>available at https://nj.gov/infobank/eo/056murphy/pdf/EO-191.pdf;</u> Murphy Exec. Order No. 200 (Nov. 22, 2020), <u>available at https://nj.gov/infobank/eo/056murphy/pdf/EO-200.pdf.</u>

56. Executive Order 200, issued on November 22, 2020, renewed the public health emergency for 30 days ending on December 22, 2020, unless renewed.

E. The Gubernatorial Power to Issue Executive Orders Does Not Include Power to Interfere with Contract Obligations or to Waive or Amend Laws

- 57. The Governor may issue an executive order only when acting within his authority. <u>See</u> Michael S. Herman, Gubernatorial Executive Orders, 30 Rutgers. L.J. 987, 989-90 (1999).
- 58. An executive action that goes beyond the Governor's grant of statutory or constitutional authority, such that it is "fundamentally incompatible" with "existing laws and statutes as to impair the 'essential integrity' of the constitutional powers of the Legislature" is invalid. Commc'ns Workers of Am., AFL-CIO v. Christie, 413 N.J. Super. 229, 274–75 (App. Div. 2010).
- 59. The New Jersey Constitution vests executive power in the Governor. N.J. Const. art. V, § 1, ¶ 1.
- 60. "[P]lenary law-making authority" is vested in "the State Senate and General Assembly." Comme'ns Workers of Am., 413 N.J. Super. at 255 (citing N.J. Const. art. IV, § 1, ¶ 1).
- 61. Through Article IV, § 1, ¶ 1, "the people vested full sovereign authority in the Legislature, save as otherwise therein provided." <u>Gangemi v. Berry</u>, 25 N.J. 1, 8 (1957).
- 62. The legislative authority includes the power to amend or repeal duly enacted laws. See Commc'ns Workers of Am., 413 N.J. Super. at 274. The Presentment Clause of the New Jersey Constitution, art. V, § 1, ¶ 14(a), requires not only that the Legislature be part of the law-making process, but sets forth how laws must be passed, amended, and repealed.

- 63. The Governor has no authority to waive duly enacted statutes. <u>Cf. Commc'ns</u> Workers of Am., 413 N.J. Super. at 274 ("It is well settled that administrative regulations adopted by the Executive Branch cannot amend or repeal statutes.").
- 64. The New Jersey Constitution provides explicitly for the separation of powers: "The powers of the government shall be divided among three distinct branches, the legislative, executive, and judicial. No person or persons belonging to or constituting one branch shall exercise any of the powers properly belonging to either of the others except as expressly provided in this Constitution." N.J. Const. art. III, ¶ 1 (emphasis added).
- 65. The Governor's authority to execute the laws and the Legislature's authority to make, amend, and repeal laws must remain separate.
- One "main objective" of the separation of powers "is to prevent the concentration of 'unchecked power' in one branch of government." <u>Commc'ns Workers of Am.</u>, 413 N.J. Super. at 257 (quoting *David v. Vesta Co.*, 45 N.J. 301, 326 (1965)). The separation-of-powers doctrine prevents "one branch of government from claiming power reserved to another[.]" <u>Ironbound Health Rights Advisory Comm'n v. Diamond Shamrock Chem. Co.</u>, 216 N.J. Super. 166, 175 (App. Div. 1987). "[N]o deviation from ... the doctrine of separation of powers will be tolerated" if the deviation "impairs the essential integrity of one of the great branches of government." <u>Massett Bldg. Co. v. Bennett</u>, 4 N.J. 53, 57 (1950).
- 67. The separation of powers guards against one branch aggrandizing its own power unilaterally—including when the Governor does so through an executive order. <u>Commc'ns Workers of Am.</u>, 413 N.J. Super. at 258-59.

- F. Governor Murphy Purported to Unilaterally Alter Private Contractual Relationships and Waive Statutory Law by Executive Decree
 - i. The Purpose of Executive Order 128 Is to Interfere with Contractual Obligations and Waive Statutory Law
- 68. On April 11, 2020, Governor Murphy stated at a press conference that his office had not considered a "rental freeze" because rental contracts are private contracts and "[t]here are thousands, maybe hundreds of thousands, if not millions of contracts between landlords and renters." "At least in New Jersey," the Governor concluded, "putting a freeze in place is impractical as a legal matter." NJ.com, Corona Virus in New Jersey: Update April 11, 2020, YouTube, at 47:54, available at https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=vvDPSxxP65E&feature=youtu.be.
- 69. Governor Murphy also encouraged tenants to report their landlords to the state if they are "getting screwed by their landlord." <u>Id.</u> at 49:50.
- 70. Governor Murphy also acknowledged that the legislature was in session and that his office "continue[s] to have very good communication with the legislature." <u>Id.</u> at 49:00. Despite the legislature being in session, Governor Murphy decided to act unilaterally, and he continues to do so. <u>Cf. Cty. of Gloucester v. State</u>, 132 N.J. 141, 152 (1993) (holding that long-term problems are appropriately addressed by "an executive and legislative solution rather than an executive order under the Civilian Defense and Disaster Control Act"). <u>See also id.</u> (refusing to infer the legislature's acquiescence from the legislature's failure to act).
- 71. On April 24, 2020, Governor Murphy issued Executive Order 128, which purported to "waive provisions of statutory law that prohibit the use of security deposits for rental payments, enabling tenants to instruct landlords to use their security deposits to offset rent or back rent." Press Release, Governor Murphy Signs Executive Order Providing Critical Short-Term Support for Renters, Official Site 2020), of the State of New Jersey (Apr. 24, <u>available</u> <u>at</u> https://www.nj.gov/governor/news/news/562020/20200424c.shtml.

- 72. In Executive Order 128, Governor Murphy explained that "many New Jerseyans [are] experiencing substantial loss of income as a result of business closures, reduction in hours, or layoffs related to COVID-19," and that "tenants may be suffering from one or more financial hardships that are caused by or related to the COVID-19 pandemic, including but not limited to a substantial loss of or drop in income, and additional expenses such as those relating to necessary health care[.]" Murphy Exec. Order 128 (April 24, 2020), <u>available at https://nj.gov/infobank/eo/056murphy/pdf/EO-128.pdf.</u>
- 73. Governor Murphy reasoned that it was "plainly in the public interest" to "enabl[e] individuals to pay portions of their rent with the security deposit they own" to "allow those individuals to mitigate the consequences regarding evictions and accumulation of interest and late fees upon termination of Executive Order No. 106 (2020)" because tenants may face "consequences from a late payment of rent, including interest and late fees, which they may be unable to satisfy in light of their substantial loss of income[.]" <u>Id.</u> at 3.
- 74. Specifically, Governor Murphy ordered that a tenant may request in writing that his or her "security deposit governed by the provisions of N.J.S.A. 46:8-19 et seq., as well as the tenant's portions of interest and/or earnings accumulated thereon, shall be applied to or credited towards rent payments due or to become due from the tenant during the Public Health Emergency established in Executive Order No. 103 (2020) or up to 60 days after the Public Health Emergency terminates." Id. at 3-4 ¶ 1.
- 75. The statutes that Governor Murphy waived apply to leases of residential units used for dwelling purposes. See N.J.S.A. 46:8-26, -27.
- 76. According to Executive Order 103, "When a tenant applies or credits such deposit, interest, or earnings to pay rent pursuant to Paragraph 1 of this Order, the following additional provisions shall apply *for the duration* of the tenant's current contract, lease, or license agreement:"

- g. The landlord may recoup from the tenant any monies the landlord expected that would have been reimbursable by the security deposit and interest or earnings thereon, at the time that such reimbursement from the deposit and interest or earnings thereon would have taken place; and
- h. The tenant shall otherwise be without obligation to make any further security deposit relating to the current contract, lease, or license agreement. If, however, the tenant and landlord extend or renew their contract, lease, or license agreement [after April 24, 2020], then the tenant shall be obligated to replenish the security deposit in full either on the date six months following the end of the Public Health Emergency established by Executive Order No. 103 (2020), which was extended by Executive Order No. 119 (2020), or on the date on which the current contract, lease, or license agreement is extended or renewed, whichever is later.

Id. at $4 \$ 2 (emphasis added).

- 77. Under the terms of Executive Order 128, a tenant's "[u]se of a security deposit for the purposes outlined in [Executive Order 128] shall not be considered a violation of N.J.S.A. 46:8-19 et seq." <u>Id.</u> at 5 ¶ 3.
- 78. Governor Murphy noted in Executive Order 128 that "pursuant to N.J.S.A. 46:8-19, a security deposit and the accumulated interest and earnings on the investment of such deposit remain the property of the tenant[.]" <u>Id.</u> at 3.
- 79. By so noting, Governor Murphy made clear that he did not consider Executive Order 128 to be authorizing a public taking.
- 80. Remarkably, Governor Murphy declared, "Any provisions of N.J.S.A. 46:8-19 et seq. that are not inconsistent with [Executive Order 128] remain in full force and effect." <u>Id.</u> at 5 ¶ 3.
- 81. By inverse implication, Governor Murphy declared that any provision of N.J.S.A. 46:8-19 et seq. that *are* inconsistent with Executive Order 128 are no longer in force and effect until Executive Order 128 terminates. As a result, not only is Governor Murphy arrogating the power to suspend a statute, but also the power to reimpose the statute's effects at a future point in time.
- 82. Executive Order 128 "remain[s] in effect until 60 days following the end of the Public Health Emergency established by Executive Order No. 103 (2020), which was extended by Executive Order No. 119 (2020)." Id. at 5 ¶ 5.

83. Governor Murphy also created criminal penalties for violations of Executive Order 128: "Penalties for violations of [Executive Order 128] may be imposed under, among other statutes, N.J.S.A. App. A:9-49 and -50." <u>Id.</u> at 5 ¶ 4.

ii. New Jersey Law Requires Landlords to Comply with the Statutes that Governor Murphy Waived

- 84. Leaseholds in New Jersey are highly regulated by statute. In fact, Title 46, Chapter 8, which governs "Leasehold Estates; Landlord and Tenant," contains over 50 separate statutory provisions that set out the rights of landlords and tenants in a leasehold contract. See N.J.S.A. 46:8-1 et seq.
- 85. Security deposits, specifically, are regulated by N.J.S.A. 46:8-19 et seq., the provisions of which Executive Order 128 purported to suspend to the extent those provisions are inconsistent with the Governor's order. Murphy Exec. Order 128.
- 86. Statutes governing security deposits regulate everything from how a security deposit is paid, maintained, and returned, N.J.S.A. 46:8-19, -21.1; how large of a security deposit a landlord may require, N.J.S.A. 46:8-21.2; how and with whom the security deposit must be invested and accrue interest, N.J.S.A. 46:8-19; how and when the depositor must pay interest on the deposit, N.J.S.A. 46:8-19, -21.1; how a security deposit should be handled during a foreclosure, bankruptcy, or conveyance of the property, N.J.S.A. 46:8-20, -21; and how the parties can adjudicate their rights regarding security deposits, N.J.S.A. 46:8-21.4, -31, -35, & -41. Parties to residential leases in New Jersey necessarily account for and rely on these statutory provisions when crafting their contracts—including other provisions of their contracts.
- 87. Notably, two separate statutes treat as void and unenforceable any attempt by a landlord or tenant to voluntarily agree to a contract that waives the applicability of any statutory provisions that govern leasehold security deposits. See N.I.S.A. 46:8-24, -36.

- 88. Governor Murphy, however, has attempted to do precisely what the New Jersey Statutes prohibit: waive the applicability of these statutory provisions that govern leasehold security deposits. Murphy Exec. Order No. 128.
- 89. Governor Murphy has no authority to waive state law governing the landlord-tenant relationship.

iii. Executive Order 128 Interfered with the Plaintiffs' Contractual Relationships a. Facts Specific to the Kravitzes' Glassboro Lease

- 90. The Kravitzes negotiated the Glassboro Lease to require the Rowan Tenants to pay a security deposit of \$2,000 that would cover the cost of "such deductions as provided in th[e] Lease."
- 91. The Glassboro Lease listed at least 10 categories of damages for which the Kravitzes could use the security deposit.
- 92. The Rowan Tenants vacated the Glassboro Property, and the Kravitzes regained possession on June 1, 2020.
- 93. On June 1, 2020, Mr. Doe 1, Mr. Doe 2, and Mr. Doe 3 each handed Mr. Kravitz a letter requesting to use their respective portions of the security deposit (\$500 each) to pay rent owed under the Glassboro Lease. (A copy of the letters are attached as Exhibits 8, 9, and 10, respectively).
 - 94. The Rowan Tenants caused \$1,854.94 in damage to the Glassboro Property.
- 95. The purpose of the security deposit that the Kravitzes' bargained for and contractually agreed upon with the Rowan Tenants was to inure to the Kravitzes the benefit of avoiding the cost and time associated with repairing any damage that the Rowan Tenants may have caused to the Glassboro Property during the tenancy.
- 96. Had Governor Murphy not unilaterally and unlawfully changed the terms of the Kravitzes' Glassboro Lease, the Rowan Tenants' \$2,000 security deposit would have covered the \$1,854.94 in damage that the Rowan Tenants caused to the Glassboro Property.

- 97. As a direct result of Governor Murphy's unlawful order, the Kravitzes are *still* struggling over six months later to track down their former tenants to recover funds needed to repair their damaged property.
- 98. Through Executive Order 128, Governor Murphy unilaterally altered the rights and obligations of the Kravitzes and the Rowan Tenants under the Glassboro Lease.

b. Facts Specific to the Johnsons' Sixth Street Lease

- 99. The Johnsons negotiated the Sixth Street Lease to include a provision requiring a deposit "as security for the faithful performance by Lessee of the terms" of the Sixth Street Lease.
- 100. Despite the terms of the Johnsons' lease, Executive Order 128 allows the Sixth Street Tenant, at any time, to choose to apply her security deposit to the rent owed on the Sixth Street Lease.
- 101. If the Sixth Street Tenant chooses to use the security deposit to pay rent owed on the Sixth Street Lease, the security deposit will necessarily be unavailable "as security for the faithful performance of the terms" of the Sixth Street Lease.
- 102. Consequently, Executive Order 128 substantially altered the terms of Sixth Street Lease and the parties' rights and obligations thereunder.
- 103. The Sixth Street Tenant has been behind on rent since April. She owed \$6799.50 in unpaid rent as of June, and that number has now grown to almost \$10000. (A copy of the balance sheet for the Sixth Street Property dated June 20, 2020, is attached as Exhibit 11).
- 104. Given that the Sixth Street Tenant's unpaid rent is well in excess of the security deposit, the Sixth Street Tenant is increasingly likely to take advantage of the changes Governor Murphy made unilaterally to the terms of the Sixth Street Lease.
- 105. Without a security deposit to insure against any damage the Sixth Street Property may incur during the nearly 20 years of the Sixth Street Tenant's tenancy, the Johnsons will be forced to

cover the cost of any damage out of their own pocket or bring a costly and timely small-claims action against the Sixth Street Tenant.

- 106. The purpose of the security deposit that the Johnsons bargained for and that the Johnsons and the Sixth Street Tenant contractually agreed to in the 2018 Lease was to inure to the Johnsons the benefit of avoiding the cost and time associated with repairing any damage that the Sixth Street Tenant may cause to the Sixth Street Property during the tenancy.
- 107. Through Executive Order 128, Governor Murphy unilaterally altered the rights and obligations of the Johnsons and the Sixth Street Tenant under the Sixth Street Lease.

c. Facts Specific to Mr. Van Hook's Millville Lease

- 108. Mr. Van Hook negotiated the Millville Lease to include a provision requiring a security deposit that would cover "damages to the Property resulting from the Tenant's occupancy." (Exhibit 6 at \P 6).
- 109. The Millville Lease, which the parties agreed to freely, also specified that "[t]he Security Deposit may not be used by the Tenant for the payment of rent without the written consent of the Landlord." (Id.).
- 110. Despite the terms of Mr. Van Hook's lease, Executive Order 128 allows the Millville Tenant, at any time, to choose to apply her security deposit to the rent owed on the Millville Lease.
- 111. If the Millville Tenant chooses to use the security deposit to pay rent owed on the Millville Lease, the security deposit will necessarily be unavailable to cover "damages to the Property resulting from the Tenant's occupancy." (Id.).
- 112. And absent Executive Order 128, the terms of the Millville Lease expressly forbid the Millville Tenant from using the security deposit "for the payment of rent without the written consent" of Mr. Van Hook. (Id.).

- 113. Consequently, Executive Order 128 substantially altered the terms of the Millville Lease and the parties' rights and obligations thereunder.
- 114. Under Executive Order 128, Mr. Van Hook would now face criminal consequences for enforcing the terms of his lease. Such criminal charges would jeopardize Mr. Van Hook's professional licenses as a Certified Public Accountant and Real Estate Broker.

iv. Executive Order 128 Is Beyond Governor Murphy's Authority

- 115. As authority for Executive Order 128, Governor Murphy invoked "certain emergency powers" conferred on the Governor of New Jersey by "the Constitution and statutes of the State of New Jersey, particularly the provisions of N.J.S.A. 26:13-1 et seq., N.J.S.A. App. A:9-33 et seq., N.J.S.A. 38A:3-6.1, and N.J.S.A. 38A:2-4[.]"
- 116. As described above in ¶¶ 41 49, N.J.S.A. 26:13-1 et seq. gives the Governor certain authority relating to the spread of pathogens and medical treatment in response to a Public Health Emergency.
- 117. The specific, enumerated powers granted by N.J.S.A. 26:13-1 et seq. do not vest in the Governor the authority to alter the terms of residential leases. None of these provisions permits the Governor to waive statutory requirements relating to residential leases based on financial hardship, regardless of whether that financial hardship may result from a public health emergency.
- 118. As described in ¶ 50 above, <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 38A:3-6.1 pertains to the Governor's authority to control the New Jersey National Guard.
- 119. Governor Murphy's authority to control the New Jersey National Guard has nothing to do with his claimed authority to alter the terms of residential leases or any authority to waive statutory provisions relating to residential leases.
- 120. As described in ¶ 51 above, the specific power granted by <u>N.J.S.A.</u> 38A:2-4 pertains to the Governor's authority over the state militia.

- 121. The New Jersey Governor's authority to control the state militia has nothing to do with Governor Murphy's claimed authority to waive statutory provisions relating to residential leases or any authority to alter the terms of residential leases.
- 122. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> App. A:9-33 <u>et seq.</u>, enacted during World Word II, encompasses the Civilian Defense and Disaster Control Act. <u>N.J.S.A.</u> App. A:9-33.
 - 123. The purpose of the Civilian Defense and Disaster Control Act is

to provide for the health, safety and welfare of the people of the State of New Jersey and to aid in the prevention of damage to and the destruction of property during any emergency herein defined by prescribing a course of conduct for the civilian population of this State during such emergency and by centralizing control of all civilian activities having to do with such emergency under the Governor and for that purpose to give the Governor control over such resources of the State Government and of each and every political subdivision thereof as may be necessary to cope with any condition that shall arise out of such emergency and to invest the Governor with all other power convenient or necessary to effectuate such purpose.

Id. (emphasis added).

- 124. Assuming the Civilian Defense and Disaster Control Act even applies to the current pandemic, security deposits provided for in residential leasehold contracts *also* exist to aid in the prevention of damage to and the destruction of property. Yet, contrary to the purpose of the Civilian Defense and Disaster Control Act, Governor Murphy decided unilaterally to cancel those measures that New Jersey landlords and the Legislature have put in place to protect the landlord's property.
- 125. Appendix A:9-34 authorizes the Governor "to utilize and employ all the available resources of the State Government and of each and every political subdivision of [New Jersey], whether of men, properties or instrumentalities, and to commandeer and utilize any personal services and any privately owned property necessary to avoid or protect against any emergency subject to the future repayment of the reasonable value of such services and privately owned property" as provided in the subsequent provisions of the Civilian Defense and Disaster Control Act (N.J.S.A. App. A:9-33 et seq.). N.J.S.A. App. A:9-34.

- 126. But as mentioned in ¶ 79, Governor Murphy did not consider Executive Order 128 to authorize commandeering or utilizing privately owned property; and there is no indication he intends the State to compensate landlords for any property value lost as a result of Executive Order 128.
- 127. Appendix A:9-51(a), which Governor Murphy explicitly referenced in Executive Order 128, authorizes the Governor, whenever the Governor believes that control of a disaster "is beyond the capabilities of local authorities":
 - a. To "assume control of all emergency management operations;"
 - b. to "proclaim an emergency;" and
- c. to temporarily "employ, take or use the personal services, or real or personal property, of any citizen or resident of [New Jersey], or of any firm, partnership or unincorporated association doing business or domiciled in this State, or of any corporation incorporated in or doing business in this State, or the real property of a nonresident located in this State, for the purpose of securing the defense of the State or of protecting or promoting the public health, safety or welfare; provided, that such personal services or property shall not be employed or used beyond the borders of this State unless otherwise authorized by law."

 N.J.S.A. App. A:9-51(a).
- 128. If the Governor takes private property or demands personal services pursuant to N.J.S.A. App. A:9-51(a), the State must pay compensation at the prevailing rate. N.J.S.A. App. A:9-51(b)-(d).
- 129. Again, Executive Order 128 makes clear that Governor Murphy does not consider the reallocation of deposits paid as security on residential leases to be a taking that would require compensation. He is wrong.
- 130. Other provisions outlining the Governor's authority under the Civilian Defense and Disaster Control Act are similarly inapplicable to Executive Order 128, most of which deal with the

coordination of defense and disaster response between the State and Federal governments and between the State and local, municipal governments. See, e.g., N.J.S.A. App. A:9-35, -40 through - 43.6, -51.6, -51.7, -59, -62. The Governor may also "require any public official, citizen or resident ... to furnish him any information reasonably necessary to enable [the Governor] to carry out the purposes of this act[,]" N.J.S.A. App. A:9-36; and the Governor may appoint deputies or other persons to assist with the purposes of the act. N.J.S.A. App. A:9-38, -54.

- 131. Consistent with the subject matter of the Civilian Defense and Disaster Control Act, the other powers that the Act vests in the Governor relate to military defense. See, e.g., N.J.S.A. App. A:9-35, -37. These powers pertain to the issuance of rules associated with blackouts, air raids, recruiting and training emergency response crews, the conduct of civilians "during the threat of an imminence of danger," counteracting sabotage and subversive activities, evacuating residents of threatened districts, and any other matter "that may be necessary to protect the health, safety and welfare of the people or that will aid in the prevention of loss to and destruction of property." N.J.S.A. App. A:9-45. The Governor may also issue rules regulating vehicles and traffic relating to "any blackout, air raid, threatened air raid, preparations for emergencies or during the threat or imminences of danger or emergency.]" N.I.S.A. App. A:9-47.
- 132. None of the authority granted to Governor Murphy by the Civilian Defense and Disaster Control Act, N.J.S.A. App. A:9-34 et seq., encompasses any authority even remotely connected to a power to modify the terms of residential leasehold contracts or to waive the statutory provisions relating to those leases.
- 133. Governor Murphy also claimed authority for Executive Order 128 under the New Jersey Constitution. The New Jersey Constitution mentions the power to waive duly enacted laws only in the context of habeas corpus: "The privilege of the writ of habeas corpus shall not be

suspended, unless in case of rebellion or invasion the public safety may require it." N.J. Const. art. I, ¶ 14.

- 134. State constitutions that provide for the suspension of habeas corpus in emergencies are understood to have vested that authority in the legislature. See Philip Hamburger, Beyond Protection, 109 COLUM. L. REV. 1823, 1919 (2009); see also Amanda L. Tyler, Habeas Corpus in Wartime: From the Tower of London to Guantanamo Bay (2017) (chronicling the original meaning of the federal Habeas Corpus Suspension Clause). In contrast to the legislature, the executive "could not, even during an emergency, seize property" or "constrain the natural liberty of persons who were within the protection of the law, unless [the executive] had legislative authorization." Hamburger, supra ¶ 134 at 1919.
- 135. The New Jersey Constitution prohibits interference with contractual obligations: "The Legislature shall not pass any bill of attainder, ex post facto law, or law impairing the obligation of contracts, or depriving a party of any remedy for enforcing a contract which existed when the contract was made." N.J. Const. article IV, § VII, ¶ 3.
- 136. The New Jersey Constitution protects the rights of all persons to acquire, possess, and *protect* property. N.J. Const. art. I, ¶ 1.
- 137. As with the other sources of authority that Governor Murphy invoked, the New Jersey Constitution does not authorize the Governor to interfere with contracts. In fact, the Constitution explicitly forbids governmental interference with private contracts. Moreover, the Constitution does not authorize the Governor to waive or suspend statutes or other legal rights.
 - G. Plaintiffs Have Experienced, and Will Continue to Experience, Concrete and Particularized Harm as a Direct Result of Governor Murphy's Unilateral Executive Decree
- 138. As a direct result of Governor Murphy's Executive Order 128, the Plaintiffs have suffered harm and are threatened with additional future harm.

- 139. Governor Murphy has unilaterally altered the rights, entitlements, and protections of the Plaintiffs under the terms of their respective leases, to which the Plaintiffs and their tenants voluntarily agreed.
- 140. Plaintiffs relied on the terms of their leases and the security deposits due thereunder to ensure that they could protect their properties against damage during the tenancies. Governor Murphy's ultra vires Executive Order 128 has caused the Plaintiffs to suffer actual harm.
- 141. The Governor's interference with the Plaintiffs' contractual rights is a substantial impairment.
- Order 128 was to alter the terms of residential leases like the Plaintiffs' respective leases. The right to hold a deposit as security against damage was provided for and protected by their contracts, as well as by New Jersey statutory law at the time they each executed their respective leases. But, as of April 24, 2020, when Governor Murphy entered Executive Order 128 without any lawful authority, the Plaintiffs no longer have the security and certainty for which they rightfully contracted. Plaintiffs are now in a worse position than they were before, due solely to an executive order the Governor had no legal power to issue.
- 143. Plaintiffs, like all other New Jerseyans, have a right to be governed by laws that are duly enacted through their elected representatives in the New Jersey Legislature. These laws, of course, are subject to the Contracts Clauses of the New Jersey Constitution.
- 144. Governor Murphy's unilateral Executive Order 128 violated the due process rights protected by the New Jersey Constitution by altering the Plaintiffs' private contracts and the laws that govern their leasehold without being adopted pursuant to the proper legislative channels of government.

145. Plaintiffs have suffered a violation of their procedural and substantive due process rights as a result of the Governor's actions in issuing Executive Order 128.

COUNT I: UNLAWFUL WAIVER OF LAW NEITHER STATUTE NOR CONSTITUTION AUTHORIZES GOVERNOR MURPHY TO WAIVE STATUTORY PROVISIONS

- 146. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference the allegations contained in their Introductory Statement and paragraphs 1 through 145, as if fully set forth herein.
- 147. Governor Murphy has no authority to waive duly enacted statutes. <u>Commc'ns</u> Workers of Am., 413 N.J. Super. at 274 ("It is well settled that administrative regulations adopted by the Executive Branch cannot amend or repeal statutes.").
- 148. The Presentment Clause, N.J. Const. art. V, § 1, ¶ 14(a), requires that "[t]he Legislature, given its constitutionally delegated realm of authority ... ha[s] to be part of th[e] law-making process." Commc'ns Works of Am., 413 N.J. Super. at 272.
- 149. Executive Order 128 is predicated on Governor Murphy's assertion that he has statutory authority under the Emergency Health Powers Act, N.J.S.A. 26:13-1 et seq.; the Civilian Defense and Disaster Control Act, N.J.S.A App. A:9-33 et seq.; and the New Jersey Constitution to do what he has done. He does not.
- 150. As explained above, the Emergency Health Powers Act gives the Governor certain, specific, enumerated powers relating to health care and stopping the spread of pathogens. These powers have nothing to do with the waiver of security deposits for residential leases. See supra ¶¶ 41 49.
- 151. Similarly, the statutory provisions authorizing the Governor to control the New Jersey National Guard and state militia have nothing to do with the authority Governor Murphy claims in Executive Order 128 to alter the term of residential leases, nor do they authorize the Governor to waive statutory provisions relating to residential leases. See supra ¶¶ 50 51.

- 152. The Civilian Defense and Disaster Control Act vests no power in Governor Murphy to issue Executive Order 128.
- 153. The specifically enumerated powers granted by the Civilian Defense and Disaster Control Act deal with the military defense, coordination between governments, and the taking of private property. See supra ¶¶ 122 − 132.
- 154. To "prevent[] harm to life and property," the Civilian Defense and Disaster Control Act permits the Governor "to provide for the health, safety and welfare" of the public during an emergency through the "authority to centralize control over the resources of the State government and its subdivisions, including counties[.]" Worthington v. Fauver, 88 N.J. 183, 193-94 (1982).
- 155. No provision of the Civilian Defense and Disaster Control Act comes close to encompassing the authority to alter the obligations of parties to residential leases or to suspend the applicability of laws. Unilaterally rewriting all residential leases in New Jersey is wholly unrelated to any authority with which the Act vests the Governor to exercise central control over local governments.
- 156. Nor does the Act's purpose include such wide-ranging economic considerations. The Act's purpose is protecting property—a goal undermined by an executive order criminalizing the use of security deposits by housing providers. <u>Id.</u>
- 157. And any authority the Act does grant is on a temporary, limited basis—not to be renewed every 30 days in perpetuity.
- 158. Without a statutory grant of authority, Governor Murphy fares no better in relying on the New Jersey Constitution. No constitutional provision empowers the Governor to suspend the statutory provisions regulating security deposits. <u>Cf. Commc'ns Workers of Am.</u>, 413 N.J. Super. at 272.
 - 159. To the contrary, the New Jersey Constitution forbids the Governor from doing so.

- 160. The New Jersey Constitution forbids the suspension of habeas corpus, which indicates that the Governor is without power to suspend laws.
- 161. State constitutions, like the New Jersey Constitution, that provide for the suspension of habeas corpus in emergencies are understood to have vested that authority in the legislature. See Hamburger, supra ¶ 134 at 1919; see also Tyler, supra ¶ 134. In contrast to the legislature, the executive "could not, even during an emergency, seize property" or "constrain the natural liberty of persons who were within the protection of the law, unless [the executive] had legislative authorization." Hamburger, supra ¶ 134 at 1919.
- 162. The New Jersey Constitution forbids the Legislature from passing any "law impairing the obligation of contract[] or depriving a party of any remedy for enforcing a contract which existed when the contract was made." N.J. Const. art. IV, § VII, ¶ 3. The Governor, who has no legislative authority, cannot use an executive fiat to accomplish legislative ends that the duly elected members of the Senate and General Assembly are constitutionally forbidden from enacting.
- obligations, Governor Murphy cannot invoke a general constitutional authority to do that which he is otherwise without authority to do. See Home Bldg & Loan Ass'n v. Blaisdell, 290 U.S. 398, 425 (1934) ("Emergency does not create power. Emergency does not increase granted power or remove or diminish the restrictions imposed upon power granted or reserved.").
- 164. Because Governor Murphy could not lawfully waive the application of N.J.S.A. 46:8-19 or the private leasehold contracts negotiated in reliance on those provisions, Executive Order 128 is void ab initio and must fail.
- 165. Plaintiffs are entitled to a declaratory judgment and injunctive relief invalidating and restraining enforcement of Executive Order 128.

COUNT II: VIOLATION OF THE SEPARATION OF POWERS EXECUTIVE ORDER 128 VIOLATES N.J. CONST. ART. III, § 1

- 166. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference the allegations contained in their Introductory Statement and paragraphs 1 through 145, as if fully set forth herein.
- 167. The New Jersey Constitution vests executive power in the Governor, N.J. Const. art. V, § 1, ¶ 1., and vests "plenary law-making authority" in "the State Senate and General Assembly." Commc'ns Workers of Am., 413 N.J. Super. at 255 (citing N.J. Const. art. IV, § 1, ¶ 1). Through this constitutional provision, "the people vested full sovereign authority in the Legislature, save as otherwise therein provided." Gangemi, 25 N.J. at 8-9.
- 168. One legislative authority is the power to amend or repeal duly enacted laws. <u>See Comme'ns Workers of Am.</u>, 413 N.J. Super. at 274.
- 169. The New Jersey Constitution provides explicitly for the separation of powers. N.J. Const. art. III, ¶ 1.
- 170. When one branch aggrandizes its own power unilaterally—including when the Governor does so through an executive order—New Jersey courts apply a strict standard of review.

 Commc'ns Workers of Am., 413 N.J. Super. at 258-59.
- 171. Resolving long-term crises is a legislative function, not something to be done through the Civilian Defense and Disaster Control Act. See Cty. of Gloucester, 132 N.J. at 152. "The Legislature, given its constitutionally delegated realm of authority, would have to be part of the law-making process." Commc'ns Workers of Am., 413 N.J. Super. at 272 (citing N.J. Const. art. V, § 1, ¶ 14(a) (the Presentment Clause)).
- 172. Courts will not infer from the legislature's inaction that the legislature intended to delegate broader authority to the Governor than such authority for which the text of the Disaster Act explicitly provides. <u>Id.</u>

- 173. A clear indication that the Governor has acted beyond his constitutional and statutory grant of authority is when the statutory schemes that he invokes as the source of his authority are detailed and numerous but omit the specific type of action the Governor attempts to take. See id. at 271 (reasoning that the Legislature's "omission of labor organizations and collective bargaining agreements" was "self-evident" from the statutory scheme).
- 174. The courts must hold invalid an executive action that goes beyond the Governor's grant of authority, such that it is "fundamentally incompatible" with "existing laws and statutes as to impair the 'essential integrity' of the constitutional powers of the Legislature." <u>Id.</u> at 274.
- 175. Governor Murphy has unilaterally waived or modified numerous validly enacted laws without legislative authorization.
- 176. Thus, Governor Murphy's unilaterally waiving or amending of valid legislative enactments violated the separation of powers, so his actions are void ab initio and must fail.
- 177. Plaintiffs are entitled to a declaratory judgment and injunctive relief invalidating and restraining enforcement of Executive Order 128.

COUNT III: VIOLATION OF THE CONTRACTS CLAUSE OF THE NEW JERSEY CONSTITUTION EXECUTIVE ORDER 128 IMPERMISSIBLY INTERFERES WITH CONTRACTUAL OBLIGATIONS

- 178. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference the allegations contained in their Introductory Statement and paragraphs 1 through 145, as if fully set forth herein.
- 179. The New Jersey Constitution forbids the state from passing any "law impairing the obligation of contract[] or depriving a party of any remedy for enforcing a contract which existed when the contract was made." N.J. Const. art. IV, § VII, ¶ 3.
- 180. The Contracts Clause of the New Jersey Constitution provides a "similar, parallel prohibition" as its counterpart in the United States Constitution. <u>In re Recycling & Salvage Corp.</u>,

246 N.J. Super. 79, 100 (App. Div. 1991). "These two constitutional provisions are construed and

applied in the same way to provide the same protection." Id.

181. The Contracts Clause protects "against retroactive legislation impairing contractual

relations." Nobergg v. Edison Glen Assoc., 167 N.J. 520, 537 (1999). A law violates the Contracts

Clause if it (1) "substantially impair[s] a contractual relations;" (2) "lack[s] a significant and legitimate

public purpose;" and (3) imposes "unreasonable conditions," "unrelated to appropriate governmental

objectives." State Farm Mut. Auto Ins. Co. v. State, 124 N.J. 32, 57 (1991). An impairment is likely

substantial if "one of the parties reasonably relied on the contractual terms" and "the legislation was

an unexpected modification of those terms." In re Pub. Serv. Elec. & Gas Co.'s Rate Unbundling,

330 N.J. Super. 65, 93-94 (App. Div. 2000), <u>aff'd</u> 167 N.J. 377 (2001).

182. The Governor may never legislate through executive fiat. See Commc'ns Workers of

Am., 413 N.J. Super. at 265–66, 272. Moreover, the Governor certainly cannot use executive fiat to

decree laws that the Legislature is not itself competent to enact.

183. Executive Order 128 violates the Contracts Clause of the New Jersey Constitution and

is void.

184. Plaintiffs have been damaged and continue to be damaged by the Defendants'

conduct. There is no adequate remedy at law, as no damages could compensate the Plaintiffs for the

deprivation of their constitutional rights, and they will suffer serious and irreparable harm to their

constitutional rights unless defendants are enjoined from enforcing Executive Order 128.

185. Plaintiffs are entitled to a declaratory judgment and injunctive relief invalidating and

restraining enforcement of Executive Order 128.

COUNT IV: VIOLATION OF PROCEDURAL DUE PROCESS

DENIAL OF RIGHT TO DUE PROCESS | N.J. CONST. ART. I

37

- 186. Plaintiffs reallege and incorporate by reference the allegations contained in their Introductory Statement and paragraphs 1 through 145, as if fully set forth herein.
- 187. The first paragraph of the first article of the New Jersey Constitution guarantees that all persons "have certain natural and unalienable rights" including the right of "acquiring, possessing, and protecting property[.]" N.J. Const. art. I, ¶ 1.
- 188. "Established procedures lie at the heart of due process and are as important to the attainment of ultimate justice as the factual merits of a cause." <u>Band's Refuse Removal, Inc. v.</u> <u>Borough of Fair Lawn</u>, 62 N.J. Super. 522, 553 (App. Div. 1960), <u>supplemented</u>, 64 N.J. Super. 1 (App. Div. 1960).
- 189. Governor Murphy's Executive Order 128, which nullifies contractual terms, makes law, and criminalizes otherwise lawful behavior through executive decree violates the Plaintiffs' right to due process under the New Jersey Constitution.
- 190. Governor Murphy has also violated the Plaintiffs' right to due process by interfering with their right to protect their property through a private contract.
- 191. Executive Order 128 violates the Plaintiffs' right to due process because it deprives them of their right to protect their property. N.J. Const. art. I, ¶ 1.
- 192. Plaintiffs have been damaged and continue to be damaged by the Defendants' conduct. There is no adequate remedy at law, as no damages could compensate the Plaintiffs for the deprivation of their constitutional rights, and they will suffer serious and irreparable harm to their constitutional rights unless defendants are enjoined from enforcing Executive Order 128.
- 193. Plaintiffs are entitled to a declaratory judgment and injunctive relief invalidating and restraining enforcement of Executive Order 128.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, Plaintiffs pray for the following relief against Defendants:

A. Issuance of a declaratory judgment that Executive Order 128 violates the Contracts Clause of the New Jersey Constitution by interfering with the contractual rights and obligations of residential landlords and tenants in New Jersey.

B. Issuance of a declaratory judgment that Executive Order 128 violates the separation of powers by waiving or amending law.

C. Issuance of a declaratory judgment that Executive Order 128 is void ab initio.

D. Issuance of permanent injunctive relief prohibiting Governor Murphy, Attorney General Grewal, and Commissioner Persichilli from enforcing Executive Order 128.

E. Attorneys' fees and costs associated with this action, pursuant to the New Jersey Civil Rights Act, N.J.S.A. 10:6-2(f).

F. For such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

Dated: December 15, 2020 Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Walter S. Zimolong

Walter S. Zimolong (Attorney ID 025262002

ZIMOLONG, LLC

16 North Center Street

Merchantville, NJ 08109

(609) 932-8836

wally@zimolonglaw.com

Kara Rollins

KARA ROLLINS (Attorney ID 107002014)

Litigation Counsel

HARRIET HAGEMAN (*Pro Hac Vice* Pending)

Senior Litigation Counsel

JARED McCLAIN (Pro Hac Vice Pending)

Litigation Counsel

NEW CIVIL LIBERTIES ALLIANCE

1225 19th Street NW, Suite 450

Washington, DC 20036

Telephone: (202) 869-5210

Facsimile: (202) 869-5238

Kara.Rollins@ncla.legal

Counsel to Plaintiffs

CUM-L-000774-20 12/15/2020 6:56:40 PM Pg 40 of 40 Trans ID: LCV20202283972

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiffs Charles Kravitz, Dawn Johanson-Kravitz, and Little Harry's LLC; Margarita

Johnson, John Johnson, and Two Bears Property Management; and Andrew Van Hook and Union

Lake Enterprises, LLC hereby demand a trial by jury on all issues triable by jury.

DESIGNATION OF TRIAL COUNSEL

Plaintiffs designate Kara Rollins as trial counsel.

CERTIFICATION PURSUANT TO R. 4:5-1

Plaintiffs, via counsel, herby certify that the matter in controversy is the subject of a related

matter pending in the United States District Court for the District of New Jersey, Matthew Johnson,

et al. v. Philp D. Murphy, et al., Docket No. 1:20-cv-06750-NLH-JS. That matter is limited to a

challenge of Executive Order 128 under the Constitution of the United States, not at issue here.

Other than the parties set forth in this Complaint, the undersigned knows of no other parties

that should be made a part of this lawsuit. In addition, the undersigned recognizes the continuing

obligation to file and serve on all parties and the court an amended certification is there is a change in

the facts states in this original certification.

Dated: December 15, 2020

/s/ Walter S. Zimolong