c	ase 8:20-cv-00683 Document 1 F	-iled 04/08/20	Page 1 of 27	Page ID #:1
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	THE TU FIRM, APLC HOANG HUY TU, ESQ. ttflawyers@gmail.com CA Bar # 200842 Walter Emil Teague III, Esq. walterteague@thetufirm.com CA Bar #68548 10810 Warner Avenue, #12 Fountain Valley, CA 92708 Tel.: (714) 636-6030 Fax.: (714) 363-6048	Filed 04/08/20	Page 1 of 27	Page ID #:1
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs			
10				
11				_
12	UNITED ST CENTRAL D	FATES DIST DISTRICT OI		
13				
14				
15				
16	CARDIFF PRESTIGE PROPER' INCORPORATED, a California	TY,		
17	corporation, FIRST PREMIER X	· .	se No.:	
18	LLC, a California limited liability		ASS ACTION	N COMPLAINT
19	company, LITTLE SAIGON CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, I	-	A55 AC 110	
20	a California limited liability com	pany, JU	RY TRIAL R	EQUESTED
21	VIETNAMESE AMERICAN CULTURE AND EDUCATION			
22	FOUNDATION ("VACEF"), a			
23	California corporation,			
24	PLAINTIFFS,			
25	VO			
26	VS.			
27	THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF			
28	CHINA; NATIONAL HEALTH			

c	ase 8:20-cv-00683 Document 1 Filed 04/08/20 Page 2 of 27 Page ID #:2
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSION OF THE REPUBLIC OF CHINA; MINISTRY OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT OF THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; MINISTRY OF COMMUNITY AFFAIRS OF THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA; THE PEOPLE'S GOVERNMENT OF HUBEI PROVINCE; AND THE PEOPLE'S GOVERNMENT OF THE CITY OF WUHAN, CHINA. DEFENDANTS.
9	
10	
11	
12	CARDIFF PRESTIGE PROPERTY, INCORPORATED, a California corporation,
13	FIRST PREMIER X, LLC, a California limited liability company, LITTLE
14	SAIGON CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, LLC, a California limited liability
15	company, VIETNAMESE AMERICAN CULTURE AND EDUCATION
16	FOUNDATION ("VACEF"), a California corporation, (collectively, "Named
17	Plaintiffs"), on behalf of themselves and on behalf of all those similarly situated,
18	by and through their undersigned counsel, THE TU FIRM, APLC, hereby bring
19	this class action against the People's Republic of China ("the PRC"); National
20	Health Commission of the People's Republic of China; Ministry of Emergency
21	Management of the People's Republic of China; Ministry of Civil Affairs of the
22	People's Republic of China; The People's Government of Hubei Province; and the
23	People's Government of the City of Wuhan, China (collectively the "Defendants"),
24	for damages and other relief, and hereby allege as follows:
25	/////
26	/////
27	/////
28	/////
	2

1

2

INTRODUCTION

This class action complaint is brought by the Named Plaintiffs, which 1. 3 consist of "small businesses" in the United States, including the State of California, 4 and as defined by the U.S. Small Business Administration, for monetary and 5 related damages sustained as a result of the coronavirus pandemic, against the 6 7 Defendants, the PRC and its various government entities, which handled and managed the response to the discovery of the coronavirus, and, upon information 8 and belief, engaged in a cover-up of the coronavirus pandemic in China generally, 9 and within Hubei Province and the City of Wuhan, thereby causing and/or 10 contributing to the subsequent spread of the coronavirus all over the world, 11 including to the United States of America ("US") and the State of California. 12 The PRC is the world's most populated country with over 1.3 Billion people. 2. 13 The PRC is also the world's second largest economy. As a result, the PRC has 14 substantial economic and trading relationships with almost every country in the 15 world, including the US. 16 In 2019, the US trade with the PRC was approximately \$555 Billion. 3. 17 In 2018, approximately 3 Million tourists visited the US from the PRC. In 4. 18 that same year, approximately 2 Million US tourists visited the PRC. 19 5. The extensive business, trade and tourism relationships between the PRC 20 and the US require honesty, accurate disclosure and transparency between the two 21 counties, especially on any health issue or condition, which can cause a pandemic. 22 The world, including the US and the State of California, has been devastated 6. 23 in recent months by the new strain of the coronavirus, more commonly known as 24 COVID-19, and the mutations that have occurred with this "new" virus. 25 7. Upon information and belief, this "new" coronavirus began in Wuhan, 26 Hubei Province, China on or about November 17, 2019, and subsequently spread 27 throughout the world, including to the US and the State of California. Reported 28

information suggests that the first case occurred in the Human Wholesale Market,
 in Wuhan, China. Therefore, the virus has zoonotic origin.

8. Shortly after November 17, 2019, the PRC and the other Defendants knew,
or should have known, that COVID-19 was a "new" dangerous, contagious, and
deadly virus because many Chinese citizens who contracted the virus were getting
very sick, and some were dying. Moreover, DNA samples taken from these very
sick and dying people confirmed that this was a "new" virus for which there was
no vaccine or cure.

9
9. Shortly after November 17, 2019, the PRC and the other Defendants
10 received credible scientific evidence confirming that this "new" virus, which first
11 emerged in Wuhan, China was very contagious, deadly and capable of causing a
12 pandemic.

13 10. Upon receiving this disturbing scientific evidence and data, the PRC and the
other Defendants had a responsibility to its own citizens, the World Health
Organization ('WHO'), and the international community, including the citizens
and businesses of the US and those in the State of California, to immediately
disclose this evidence.

18 11. Instead of disclosing this evidence, the PRC and the other Defendants
engaged in a campaign of misinformation and lies. Upon information and belief,
they engaged in a campaign of intimidating and arresting any Chinese doctors,
scientists, attorneys and/or reporters who tried to alert the public about this
dangerous "new" coronavirus.

12. As a result of the actions and inactions of the PRC and the other Defendants,
the international community, including the named Plaintiffs, did not know about
the severity and dangers of this "new" virus.

26
13. When the coronavirus reached the US it quickly led to an unprecedented
health crisis. This virus has infected many thousands of Americans, though it is
suspected that there are exponentially more Americans unknowingly carrying the

virus, and has made many of those Americans very sick. As of April 6, 2020, over 1 330,891 people in the US have been infected with this new coronavirus, and over 2 1,342,775 in the world have been infected. Significantly, this virus is so contagious 3 it took several weeks for the first 100,000 cases (most of which were in China) to 4 occur; the next 100,000 global cases occurred in the following 12 days; and it took 5 just 3 days for the documented cases to go from 200,000 to 300,000 cases. 6 The conduct and misconduct of the Defendants has caused substantial 7 14. monetary and related damages to the Named Plaintiffs and Class Members. These 8 damages exceed hundreds of billions of dollars, and such damages will only 9 increase in the future because many of these small businesses have been ordered 10 closed or are working at reduced capacity. The Defendants' conduct and 11 misconduct has caused and will continue to cause the named Plaintiffs and Class 12 Members, to suffer, among other things, reduced revenues, reduced profits and/or 13 the closure of many US "small businesses." 14 15 PARTIES 16 17 Plaintiff CARDIFF PRESTIGE PROPERTY, INCORPORATED, is a 15. 18 California corporation, which operates a "small business" real estate investor in 19 California. Currently this company is closed because of the coronavirus pandemic. 20 Plaintiff FIRST PREMIER X, LLC, a California limited liability 16. 21 company, operates a "small business" that manages commercial real estate in 22 California. Currently this company's business is closed because of the coronavirus. 23 Plaintiff LITTLE SAIGON CHAMBER OF COMMERCE, LLC, 17. 24 a California limited liability company, which is a advocate and representative of 25 "small business." Currently, this company is experiencing a substantial reduction 26 in income and profits because of the coronavirus. 27 18. Plaintiff VIETNAMESE AMERICAN CULTURE AND EDUCATION 28

FOUNDATION ("VACEF"), is a California corporation, which is a "small 1 business" that provides funds to various charitable organizations. Currently, this 2 company is experiencing a substantial reduction in income and profits because of 3 the coronavirus. 4

Plaintiff Class Members are all "small businesses" in the United States 19. 5 which are similarly situated to Named Plaintiffs. According to the United States 6 Small Business Administration ("SBA") these "small businesses" number over 32 7 Million businesses, which each have fewer than 500 employees. Moreover, in the 8 State of California there are over 177,000 small businesses alone. 9

The People's Republic of China ("the PRC") is a foreign nation. 20. 10

The National Health Commission of the People's Republic of China is the 21. 11 administrative government body and executive department under the PRC which is 12 responsible for monitoring and formulating health policies in Mainland China. 13

The Ministry of Emergency Management of the People's Republic of China 22. 14 is the administrative government body that coordinates emergency management, 15 including health issues, within the PRC. 16

The Ministry of Civil Affairs of the People's Republic of China is the 23. 17 administrative government body responsible for social and administrative affairs. 18

24. The People's Government of Hubei Province ("Hubei Province") is a 19 foreign province and administrative head of Hubei Province in the PRC. 20

25. The People's Government of City of Wuhan, China ("Wuhan") is a foreign 21 city and administrative head of the City of Wuhan, China.

23

22

24

25

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

26. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this class action pursuant to 26 the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 (CAFA) and 28 U.S.C. § 1332(d). The 27

matter in controversy, exclusive of interest and costs, exceeds the sum or value of 28

1

2

\$5,000,000; there exists minimal diversity between parties; and there are over 32 Million US "small businesses" which are putative class members.

27. This Court further has jurisdiction under the Foreign Sovereign Immunities 3 Act (FSIA) of 1976, 28 U.S.C. §§ 1602 et seq., and particularly the exceptions of § 4 1605(a) (2) (for acts outside the territory of the United States in connection with a 5 commercial activity of the Defendants, that cause a direct effect in the United 6 States), and § 1605(a) (5) (for money damages for loss of property, occurring in 7 the United States and caused by the tortious acts or omissions of Defendants, or of 8 any official or employee of Defendants while acting within the scope of his office 9 or employment). 10

There is exception to jurisdiction under the FSIA for "discretionary acts" 28. 11 because the Defendants have acted clearly contrary to the precepts of humanity, 12 transparency, and/or their conduct is prohibited by the internal laws of the PRC 13 and its provincial and municipal governments. Moreover, on March 19, 2020 the 14 PRC admitted that the Wuhan police acted improperly when they intimidated and 15 forced Dr. Li Wenliang, who was a whistle blower that exposed the existence and 16 dangers of this "new" virus, to sign a false statement. This coerced false statement 17 in effect had Dr. Wenliang deny that a "new" virus had been discovered and was 18 killing people in China. Then, the Defendants used this false statement to mislead 19 the international community, including the US, regarding the seriousness of this 20 "new" virus and the immense catastrophe that it could cause to countries, people 21 and businesses all over the world. 22

23 29. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendants because they have
24 caused tortious harm to the Named Plaintiffs and Class Members, throughout the
25 United States, and in this District, and have sufficient contacts in California and the
26 rest of the US to render the exercise of jurisdiction by this Court permissible.

- 27
- 28

30. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) (2) and (c)
 because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to Named
 Plaintiffs' and Class Members' claims occurred in this District.
 All conditions precedent to the filing of this lawsuit have been met and/or
 waived by the conduct of Defendants.

GENERAL ALLEGATIONS

The Outbreak of COVID-19

32. According to the US Center for Disease Control ("CDC"), as of March 22,
2020, there are over 330,000 confirmed worldwide cases, over 14,000 deaths, and
an exponentially large quantity of undiagnosed cases. These numbers are expected
to increase exponentially in the coming weeks and months.

14 33. According to the CDC as of April 6, 2020, over 330,891 confirmed cases in
15 the US and over 74,564 deaths. In the state of California alone there are over
16 14,336 COVID-19 related illnesses and 343 deaths. These numbers are expected to
17 rise exponentially in the coming hours, days and weeks as more people get tested
18 in the US.

34. Over 1 Million US "small businesses" have been forced to close or have
been forced to substantially reduce their operations. These numbers are expected to
rise as Governors, County Commissioners and City Mayors all over the US are
ordering small Businesses to close or reduce their operations.

35. This "new" virus causes cold and flu like symptoms that often lead to
pneumonia and severe respiratory distress that can be fatal. It is several times more
deadly than the seasonal flu virus. Significantly, this "new" virus has already
mutated several times. So, currently we have two strains of this virus- strain S and
Stain L.

28

6

7

8

Between November 17, 2019 - when the first case of this new virus was first 36. 1 detected until February 11, 2020, when the WHO declared the COVID-19 outbreak 2 a pandemic, the PRC and the other Defendants intentionally mislead the 3 international community, including the named Plaintiffs, about the coronavirus and 4 its devastating medical and economic effects. Moreover, the Defendants covered-5 up the severity of this heath pandemic. In order to effectuate this cover-up, it is 6 7 believed that Defendants intimidated doctors, scientists, journalists, and lawyers and ordered the destruction of medical testing and data, which would have exposed 8 Defendants' attempted cover-up to the public. While Defendants took theses 9 actions, the Defendants told the public that "everything was under control". In 10 truth, the medical crisis was out of control. 11

- 12
- 13

14

The Effects of the COVID-19 Outbreak and China's Role

37. Because of the rising threats, the United States has barred plane travel from 15 China, the European Union, and most countries in the world. The New York Stock 16 Exchange has suffered its worst losses since the great depression. US stocks have 17 lost almost 28% of their value, resulting in almost 5 Trillion Dollars in lost wealth. 18 Conventions, functions and events have been cancelled. The playing seasons for all 19 professional sports in the US have been suspended indefinitely. Hotels and casinos 20 in most states, including in California, have been closed. Shows and cinemas have 21 been closed. Many restaurants have been closed. Tourism has been decimated. 22 Millions of small businesses are closed. For the businesses that remain open, many 23 of them cannot get supplies or equipment, and/or they have few customers. Many 24 supplies like toilet paper, hand sanitizers, face masks, and medicines are difficult to 25 find. 26

38. The PRC and other Defendants, are part of a totalitarian governmental
system. Part of this system involves exaggerating good news, while suppressing

bad news. The discovery of a "new" contagious and deadly virus that started in
Wuhan, China was bad news. International treaties, agreements and common
decency required the PRC and the other defendants to inform the international
community shortly after November 17, 2019 about this "new" dangerous,
contagious and deadly virus. They did not. Instead, they engaged in a campaign of
falsehoods, misinformation, cover-up and destruction of evidence.

7 39. The PRC and the other Defendants failed to timely report the outbreak,
8 under reported the severity of the virus, underreported the deaths caused by
9 COVID-19, and failed to contain the outbreak despite knowing the seriousness of
10 the situation.

40. President Trump issued an Executive Order banning "banning foreign 11 nationals other than the immediate family of US citizens and permanent residents 12 who have travelled in China in the past 14 days" from entering the US effective 13 February 2, 2020. However, the PRC and Defendants, from November 17, 2019 to 14 February 2, 2020, allowed thousands of people from Wuhan to travel to the US, 15 although they knew, or should have known, that there was a high likelihood that 16 many of these people carried the very contagious "new" virus and would 17 contaminate many people living in the US. 18

The Defendants also committed the following acts and omissions:
 a. People in Wuhan started to die from the virus in December, 2019 and the

21 Defendants suppressed and/or under-reported this information;

b. On December 27, 2019, Dr. Zhang Jixian, at the Hubei Provincial Hospital
of Integrated Chinese and Western Medicine, sounded the alarm about a "new"
disease that had already affected 180 patients, and the Defendants suppressed this
information;

c. From December 26-30, 2019, the first evidence of the new virus was
revealed through Wuhan patient data, which had been sent to multiple Chinese
genomics companies. During this time period, the Hubei Health Commission

ordered one of the genomics companies to stop testing on the new virus and to
 destroy all the data. Simultaneously, the Defendants pressured the press not to
 report these facts;

d. On December 30, 2020, Dr. Li Wenliang ("Dr. Li") sent a message to his
former classmates about a "new" virus infecting Wuhan residents and urged them
to be careful.

e. On December 31, 2019, which was almost 1 1/2 months after the first
reported case, Chinese officials finally alerted the WHO about pneumonia with an
"unknown cause" affecting the health of people in Wuhan. This disclosure was
misleading because the destroyed data showed that the real cause was a newly
discovered virus and it was far too late;

f. On December 31, 2019, the Chinese Internet authorities started to censor all
social media references to this new disease and the government's response;

g. On December 31, 2019, the Wuhan seafood market, where the virus
allegedly first broke out, was finally closed. Under the guise of "disinfecting" the
area, governmental authorities intentionally failed to have doctors inspect the area
and failed to swab individual animal cages or to draw blood from the workers in
order to determine the "real" source of the virus;

h. On January 1, 2020, eight doctors, including Dr. Li, who had been disclosing
the "new" virus, were detained and questioned by the police, who condemned them
for "making false statements on the internet" about a new virus;

i. On January 3, 2020, China's National Health Commission issued a gag order
on matters regarding the "new" virus, and ordered pneumonia samples from
affected people to be moved to designated facilities or destroyed. The same
Commission ordered medical institutions not to publish anything about this
"unknown disease";

27

On January 5, 2020, Professor Zhang Yongzhen of the Shanghai Public į. 1 Health Clinical Center provided the genomic sequence of the "new" virus to 2 Chinese authorities 3 On January 9, 2020, the WHO released a statement about the cluster of k. 4 pneumonia cases in Wuhan, suggesting the cases were attributable to a new 5 coronavirus, because they ruled out SARS, MERS, influenza, bird flu, and other 6 7 known pathogens; On January 9, 2020, after being outed by the WHO, the Defendants finally 1. 8 announced the first "official death" of a coronavirus patient and that 59 additional 9 people had coronavirus in Wuhan; 10 On January 10, 2020, the Defendants' agent and health expert, Wang 11 m. Guangfa, told the Chinese state's China Central Television that the pneumonia was 12 "under control" and downplayed the virus as a "mild condition"; 13 On January 14, 2020, the WHO disclosed that there may have been human n. 14 to human transmission of the "new" virus; 15 On January 14, 2020, Chinese police started detaining journalists trying to 16 0. report the outbreak at the Wuhan Jinyintan Hospital. These journalists were also 17 forced to delete any footages taken, and they were forced to give their phones to 18 the police; 19 On January 15, 2020, Li Qun, the head of the Chinese CDC (Center for p. 20 Disease Control) mislead the public by stating that the risk of human to human 21 transmission "was low"; 22 On January 18, 2020, despite the known COVID-19 crisis and its high level 23 q. of contamination, the City of Wuhan held a "potluck" banquet for 40,000 people to 24 try and break a world record; 25 r. On January 20, 2020, Dr. Zhong Nanshan, a top Chinese doctor who 26 previously helped fight the SARS epidemic, stated during a television interview 27 that COVID-19 was spreading from person to person. This same doctor later stated 28

that if the Defendant PRC had acted in December 2019 or early January 2020 to 1 contain the virus, "the number of sick would have been greatly reduced"; 2 On January 20, 2020, the Mayor of Wuhan Zhou Xianwang admitted that s. 3 Beijing's rules kept him from disclosing information about COVID-19. Therefore, 4 the Defendants' failure to be transparent with the public, and failure to confront the 5 COVID-19 crisis by ordering a quarantine for almost two months, was central to a 6 7 pandemic occurring because the infection spread in an exponential manner. According to scientists almost 99% of the world's infections could have been 8 avoided if the Defendants had acted properly in early December, 2019; 9 On January 22, 2020, the President of PRC Xi Jinping stated that he had t. 10 ordered local officials to contain the virus as early as January 3, 2020. However, 11 President Jinping's position on January 22, 2020 is directly contradicted by the 12 statements of the local Wuhan governmental officials; 13 On January 23, 2020, the City of Wuhan was ordered locked down. u. 14 However, 5 million people had already left the city without being screened by that 15 time, and nobody knows where these 5 million people travelled to; 16 On January 30, 2020, the first person to person case in the US is reported. v. 17 This involved the husband of a Chicago woman who brought the infection back 18 from Wuhan, China; 19 On February 6, 2020, to further control the narrative of "everything is under w. 20 control", President Jinping orders China's Internet watch dog to further control 21 social media platforms. On that same day, journalist and attorney Chen Qiushi, 22 disappeared in Wuhan after posting footage from overcrowded hospitals and 23 panicking families; 24 On February 7, 2020, Dr. Li Wenliang, who was one of the first whistle 25 x. blowers regarding CORVID-19, died. The PRC disclosed that Dr. Wenliang 26 allegedly died after contracting coronavirus from a patient that he was treating. 27 28

Several civil rights groups have alleged that Dr. Wenliang was killed in order to
 silence him;

y. On February 9, 2020, journalist Fang Bin mysteriously disappeared after
posting videos about the CORVID-19 crisis in Wuhan.

z. On February 15, 2020, President Jinping further tightened control over the
internet. On that same day Chinese activist Xu Zhiyong was arrested for writing an
essay that called upon President Jinping to resign for his poor handling of the
coronavirus pandemic;

9 aa. On February 16, 2020, Chinese Professor Xu Zhangrun was arrested and
10 banned from using the internet after he published an article stating that: "That the
11 coronavirus epidemic has revealed the rotten core of Chinese governance";

bb. On March 11, 2020, the WHO declared that CORVID- 19 was a pandemic
after it had spread to over 100 countries;

14 cc. On March 14, 2020, another Chinese reporter, Ren Zhiqiang, disappeared
 15 after he criticized President Jinping's handling of the coronavirus epidemic;

dd. On March 17, 2020, the PRC ordered journalists from the New York Times,
Wall Street Journal and Washington Post to leave the country after they continued
to report the out of control pandemic in China and that country's citizens'
complaints;

ee. On March 19, 2020, after there was wide spread unrest in the PRC, the
government publically announced that the Wuhan police had acted improperly

22 when they had previously stated that whistle blower Dr. Li Wenliang was

23 "spreading rumors" about the coronavirus in early January, 2020. However, even

this 'admission' was misleading because Dr. Wenliang first complained in late
December, 2019 and his statements pertained to the existence of a "new" and

26 deadly virus; and

27 [ff. As of March 22, 2020, the PRC's narrative is that the pandemic is under
28 [control in China and there are very few new deaths in China related to COVID-19.]

However, this PRC narrative is contradicted by Chinese Billionaire Guo Wengui
who has stated that the Chinese government continues to underreport illnesses and
deaths because they are currently using portable incubators to cremate up to 1,200
bodies a day. Significantly, Mr. Wengui's claims are supported by many scientists,
who seriously doubt that the PRC, which has over 1.3 Billion people, and had over
100,000 infected people only a few weeks ago, suddenly experienced a miracle
that has resulted in "no new deaths".

42. It is reported that there are only two known Chinese government bio-weapon 8 research labs in the PRC and one of them --- the National Biosafety Laboratory at 9 the Wuhan Institute of Virology — is located in Wuhan, and is close in proximity 10 to the Human Seafood Wholesale Market, where COVID-19 allegedly originated. 11 This lab is considered China's only "level 4" microbiology lab – meaning it deals 12 with the deadliest viruses. A plausible alternative theory is that COVID-19 escaped 13 from the Wuhan lab because of lax controls, or that Chinese researchers sold lab 14 animals to the marketplace in question, something researchers have been known to 15 do in China, instead of cremating them as PRC law requires. 16

17

43. The Defendants' conduct has set off an unprecedented world-wide
pandemic which has caused panic, illnesses, deaths, and a global recession
financial meltdown that will result in a global recession worse than the great
depression. This global recession will also impact the US and the Plaintiff Class
Members.

44. The Defendants' conduct and misconduct has been egregious, contrary to the
precepts of humanity, and/or is prohibited by the internal laws of the PRC and its
provincial and municipal governments, and/or international laws and treaties.
45. Because of the Defendants' conduct and misconduct, as described herein,
the Named Plaintiffs and Class Members, have sustained, and they will continue to
sustain, substantial damages, including monetary loss in the trillions of dollars.

46. This pandemic has already adversely affected the Plaintiffs and Class
 Plaintiffs in the United States, including in the State of California.

47. All condition precedents to the filing of this class action lawsuit has been
satisfied, met, and/or waived.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGTIONS

5

6

7 48. The Named Plaintiffs assert National and California Non-Commercial Tort 8 Classes against Defendants pursuant to Rules 23(a), (b) (1), (b) (2), (b) (3) and/or 9 23(c)(4) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on behalf of themselves and all 10 those similarly situated. The Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs define the 11 National Non-Commercial Tort Class as follows: 12 All small businesses in the United States, including the State of California, which 13 have sustained, among other things, financial/monetary damages and/or losses 14 related to the outbreak of the COVID-19 virus. 15 The Named Plaintiffs further assert National and California Commercial 49. 16

17 Classes, pursuant to Rules 23(a), (b) (1), (b) (2), (b) (3) and/or 23(c) (4) of the

18 Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, on behalf of themselves and all those similarly

situated. The Named Plaintiffs and Class Plaintiffs define the National Commercial
Class as follows:

All small businesses in the United States, including the State of California, which
sustained, among other things, financial/monetary damages and/or losses related to
the outbreak of the COVID-19.

24 50. Excluded from the Class are the following: (1) the Defendants, and any

25 parent, subsidiary or affiliate organizations, and the officers, directors, agents,

26 servants, or employees of same, and the members of the immediate family of any

27 such person; (2) all small businesses in the US who timely opt out of this

28 proceeding; (3) all small businesses that have been given valid releases releasing

Defendants from the claims asserted in this Class Action Complaint; (4) all persons 1 who, prior to the filing of this Complaint, have filed a non - class action claim 2 against the Defendants (or any of them) for the claims asserted in this Complaint; 3 and (5) the judge(s) to whom this case is assigned, their employees and clerks, and 4 immediate family members. 5 The Class is sufficiently numerous, and as a result, the joinder of all 51. 6 members of the Class in a single action is impracticable. There are over 32 Million 7 Small Businesses in the US, and a substantial majority of these small businesses 8 and any of their affiliated entities, have been, or will be affected financially, in the 9 immediate future by Defendants' wrongful conduct. 10 There are numerous common questions of law and fact that predominate 52. 11 over any questions affecting only individual members of the Class. Among these 12 common questions of law and fact are the following: 13 Whether Defendants' conduct was negligent and/or reckless; a. 14 Whether Defendants' conduct was clearly contrary to the precepts of b. 15 humanity; 16 Whether Defendants' conduct violated established laws within the PRC; c. 17 Whether the PRC's bio-weapons labs are ultra-hazardous activities, and d. 18 caused the release of the virus; 19 Whether the PRC violated the rules and regulations of the WHO; and e. 20 f. Whether the PRC engaged in an intentional cover-up in order for the 21 international community and the Plaintiff Class not to discover what was taking 22 place with the COVID-19 pandemic. 23 The claims of the Named Plaintiffs are typical of the claims of each member 53. 24 of the Class in that, among other issues: 25 The Named Plaintiffs' claims arise from the same course of conduct of a. 26 Defendants giving rise to the claims of other Class Members; 27 28 17

- b. The claims of the Named Plaintiffs and each member of the Class are based
 upon the same legal theories;
- 3 c. The Named Plaintiffs and each member of the Class have an interest in
 4 prevailing on the same legal claims;
- 5 d. The types of financial damages incurred by the Named Plaintiffs are similar
 6 to those incurred by the other Class Members; and
- 7 e. The defenses asserted by Defendants will be very similar, if not identical, as
 8 to all Named Plaintiffs and Class Members.
- 54. The Named Plaintiffs are adequate representatives of the Class in which they 9 participate because, together with their legal counsel, each will fairly and 10 adequately protect the interests of the Class. Named Plaintiffs and all Class 11 Members have a similar, if not identical interest in obtaining the relief sought. 12 Proof of the claims of the Named Plaintiffs will also establish the claims of the 13 Class. Named Plaintiffs are not subject to any unique defenses. Named Plaintiffs 14 have no known conflict with the Class and are committed to the vigorous 15 prosecution of this action. 16
- 17
 155. The undersigned counsel are competent counsel experienced in class action
 18
 litigation, mass torts, and complex litigation involving such widespread harm.
- 19 Counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class.
- 56. The various claims asserted in this action are certifiable under the provisions 20 of Federal Rules of Civil Procedure 23(b)(1) because prosecuting separate actions 21 by or against individual Class Members would create a risk of inconsistent or 22 varying adjudications with respect to individual Class Members that would 23 establish incompatible standards of conduct for the party opposing the Classes, or 24 adjudications with respect to individual Class Members that, as a practical matter, 25 would be dispositive of the interests of the other Class Members who are not 26 parties to the individual adjudications, or would substantially impair or impede 27 their ability to protect their interests. 28

57. Plaintiffs' legal claims are properly certified pursuant to Rule 23(b) (3) in 1 that: (1) a class action is superior in this case to other methods of dispute 2 resolution; (2) the Class Members have an interest in class adjudication rather than 3 individual adjudication because of their overlapping rights; (3) it is highly 4 desirable to concentrate the resolution of these claims in this single forum because 5 it would be difficult and highly unlikely that the affected Class Members would 6 protect their rights on their own without this class action case; (4) the disparity 7 between the resources of Defendants and Class Members would make prosecution 8 of individual actions a financial hardship on Class Members; (5) the prosecution of 9 separate actions by individual Class Members, or the individual joinder of all Class 10 Members is impractical and would create a massive and unnecessary burden on the 11 Court's resources; and (6) Management of the class will be efficient and far 12 superior to the management of individual lawsuits. Moreover, currently, the 13 undersigned counsel is unaware of any other pending litigation regarding this 14 controversy with respect to the specific small business claims asserted in this case. 15 The issues particularly common to the Class Members' claims, some of 58. 16 which are identified above, are alternatively certifiable pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 17 23(c) (4), as resolution of these issues would materially advance the litigation, and 18 class resolution of these issues is superior to repeated litigation of these issues in 19 separate trials. 20

59. The Named Plaintiffs have retained the below counsel to represent them in
this lawsuit, and are obligated to pay said counsel reasonable attorneys' fees
provided recovery is obtained.

- 24 /////
- 25 /////
- 26 /////
- 27 /////
- 28 /////

1	<u>COUNT I – NEGLIGENCE</u>
2	(Named Plaintiffs and each Class Member; Against all Defendants)
3	
4	The Named Plaintiffs adopt, incorporate by reference, and restate the foregoing
5	allegations in paragraphs 1 through 59, as if fully set forth herein, and further
6	allege:
7	60. Defendants owed a duty to small businesses in the United States and in
8	the of California, including the Named Plaintiffs and the Class Members, to not act
9	negligently in their management and handling of the COVID-19 outbreak, so that
10	COVID-19 would not unreasonably spread as it did to the United States, including
11	the States of California.
12	61. Defendants breached their duty to Plaintiffs and the Class Members, by,
13	among other things:
14	a. Failing to admit their knowledge of the dangers of the virus, its lethalness,
15	and the ease of human to human transmission;
16	b. Failing to contain the virus in its early stages when they knew, or should
17	have known, of its dangers and ease of transmission;
18	c. Failing to contain the virus more quickly when the spread was apparent;
19	d. Failing to restrict public gatherings of more than 40,000 Wuhan families
20	when they knew, or should have known, of the dangers of the virus and ease of
21	transmission;
22	e. Failure of the governmental entities to adequately and reasonably supervise
23	the outbreak and contain its effects after the medical community warned them
24	about these dangers;
25	f. Failing to provide adequate and reasonable warnings to Plaintiffs and the
26	Class Members when they knew or should have known of the dangers described
27	herein;
28	

g. Disseminating materials and statements that provided wrong information to
 people within and outside China;

h. Destroying scientific evidence and data, so the Plaintiffs and the Plaintiff
class members could not know or learn about what was really occurring in China;
i. Disseminating false information to the US, which made it impossible to
know or learn what was really taking place in China with COVID-19; and

j. Engaging in a cover-up regarding the severity of the COVID-19 pandemic,
which made it impossible for the US and the Plaintiffs to know, or learn, what was
really occurring in China, and how this could affect their businesses.

But for Defendants' wrongful and negligent duties owed to Plaintiffs and
Class Members, Plaintiffs' and Class Members' business would not have been
harmed.

13 63. There is a temporal and close causal connection between Defendants'
14 actions described herein and the harm suffered, or the risk of imminent harm
15 suffered by Plaintiffs and the Class.

Defendants knew or should have known that their actions, described herein, 64. 16 would cause global harm to businesses, including to Plaintiffs and Class Members 17 and that the risk of such harm was highly likely. Defendants acted in conscious 18 disregard of such foreseeable risk. Defendants' actions in engaging in the above-19 named unlawful practices and acts were negligent, knowing and willful, and/or 20 wanton and reckless with respect to the rights of Plaintiffs and Class Members 21 warranting the imposition of exemplary or punitive damages against Defendants. 22 65. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' breaches as described 23 herein, Plaintiffs and the Class Members sustained hundreds of Billions or 24 Trillions of dollars in financial damages and/or economic loses, and seek actual, 25 special, exemplary, punitive and compensatory damages. 26 ///// 27

28 /////

<u>COUNT II – STRICT LIABILITY FOR CONDUCTING</u> <u>ULTRAHAZARDOUS ACTIVITY</u>

(Named Plaintiffs and each Class Member; Against all Defendants)

Named Plaintiffs and Plaintiff Class Members adopt, incorporate by reference, and
restate the foregoing allegations in paragraphs 1 through 65, as if fully set forth
herein, and further allege:

66. Upon information and belief, the only two registered bio-weapons 8 laboratories in the PRC are located in the City of Wuhan, and one of them, the 9 National Biosafety Laboratory at the Wuhan Institute of Virology, is the only 10 declared site in China capable of working with deadly viruses, and handles, 11 according to various press accounts, covert military applications of viruses. 12 In February, 2020 after the PRC's President Xi Jinping finally began 67. 13 speaking openly about the outbreak and its spread, it was reported by the media 14 that the Chinese Ministry of Science and Technology released a new directive 15 titled: "Instructions on strengthening biosecurity management in microbiology labs 16 that handle advanced viruses like the novel coronavirus." Clearly, Defendants 17 knew or should have known about containment issues within their microbiology 18 labs, such as the ones operating in Wuhan, and that those labs handling viruses 19 such as COVID-19. 20

68. The Wuhan laboratories are in close proximity to the "wild animal"
marketplace where COVID-19 is alleged to have originated.

69. Furthermore, it has been reported in the media that some Chinese
researchers are in the habit of selling their laboratory animals to street vendors
after they have finished experimenting on them, instead of properly disposing of
infected animals by cremation, as the law requires.

27

1

2

3

4

70. The conduct of Defendants in connection with activities at the National 1 Biosafety Laboratory constitutes an ultra-hazardous activity under US and 2 California law because: 3 The conduct necessarily involves a risk of serious harm to the person, land, a. 4 property or chattels of others which cannot be eliminated by the exercise of the 5 utmost care; 6 7 b. The activities at the lab are not a matter of common usage; and The activity is not of substantial value to any community. c. 8 The harms alleged herein are the result of Defendants' ultra-hazardous 71. 9 activity. 10 The harms suffered by the Plaintiffs and the Class Members are within the 72. 11 abnormal risk of harm posed by Defendants' ultra-hazardous activity. 12 73. By conducting this ultra-hazardous activity, the Defendants' acts and 13 omissions demonstrate a conscious disregard or indifference to the rights, welfare, 14 safety, and property rights of Plaintiffs and the Class Members. 15 But for Defendants' wrongful and negligent duties owed to Plaintiffs and 74. 16 Class Members, Plaintiffs' and Class Members' business would not have been 17 harmed. 18 There is a temporal and close causal connection between Defendants' 75. 19 actions described herein and the harm suffered, or the risk of imminent harm 20 suffered by Plaintiffs and the Class. 21 76. Defendants knew or should have known that their actions, described herein, 22 would cause global harm to businesses, including to Plaintiffs and Class Members 23 and that the risk of such harm was highly likely. Defendants acted in conscious 24 disregard of such foreseeable risk. Defendants' actions in engaging in the above-25 named unlawful practices and acts were negligent, knowing and willful, and/or 26 wanton and reckless with respect to the rights of Plaintiffs and Class Members 27

28 warranting the imposition of exemplary or punitive damages against Defendants.

Ш

1	77. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' ultra-hazardous activity, as
2	described herein, the Plaintiffs and the Class Members have been injured and
3	harmed, and have suffered damages and economic loses, and seek actual, special,
4	exemplary, punitive and compensatory damages.
5	78. Because the Defendants engaged in ultra-hazardous activity that caused
6	hundreds of Billions or Trillions of dollars in damages to Plaintiffs and the Class
7	Members, Defendants are strictly liable to them for their damages.
8	
9	<u>COUNT III – PUBLIC NUISANCE</u>
10	(Named Plaintiffs and each Class Member Against all Defendants).
11	
12	The Named Plaintiffs adopt, incorporate by reference, and restate the foregoing
13	allegations in paragraphs 1 through 78, as if fully set forth herein, and further
14	allege:
15	79. Defendants, and more specifically the PRC, Hubei Province and the City of
16	Wuhan, had a duty to the public at large, including Named Plaintiffs and members
17	of the class, not to use the property where the Wuhan Institute of Virology is
18	located, and/or create a condition that harms public health.
19	80. Defendants, and more specifically the PRC, Hubei Province and the City of
20	Wuhan, had a duty to the public at large, including Named Plaintiffs and members
21	of the classes, not to use the city and province as, essentially a giant Petri dish,
22	continuing to conduct extraordinarily large public gatherings, knowing of the
23	dangers of the virus and the ease of transmission.
24	81. Defendants, and more specifically the PRC, Hubei Province and the City
25	of Wuhan, breached that duty through the conduct described herein, including by
26	allowing COVID-19 to escape into Wuhan and/or flourish in Wuhan and Hubei,
27	and thereby become a pandemic.
28	

82. Defendants' conduct has created a nuisance that violated rights, subverted
 public order in the United States and California, is indecent and immoral, and has
 caused annoyance, inconvenience and damage to the public, including the small
 businesses operated by the Named Plaintiffs and the members of the classes.
 83. The Defendants' conduct and created nuisance has resulted in unreasonable
 injury to Plaintiffs and the Class Members.

84. But for Defendants' wrongful and negligent duties owed to Plaintiffs and
Class Members, Plaintiffs' and Class Members' business would not have been
harmed.

10 85. There is a temporal and close causal connection between Defendants'
11 actions described herein and the harm suffered, or the risk of imminent harm
12 suffered by Plaintiffs and the Class.

86. Defendants knew or should have known that their actions, described herein, 13 would cause global harm to businesses, including to Plaintiffs and Class Members 14 and that the risk of such harm was highly likely. Defendants acted in conscious 15 disregard of such foreseeable risk. Defendants' actions in engaging in the above-16 named unlawful practices and acts were negligent, knowing and willful, and/or 17 wanton and reckless with respect to the rights of Plaintiffs and Class Members 18 warranting the imposition of exemplary or punitive damages against Defendants. 19 As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' nuisance, Plaintiffs and the 87. 20 Class Members will continue to suffer harms in the form of lost revenue and lost 21 profits, and such harms will require ongoing future abatement if Plaintiffs' and the 22 Class Members' businesses are to be operational, functional, and profitable. 23 88. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' nuisance, as described 24 herein, Plaintiffs and the Class Members have been injured and harmed, and have 25 sustained financial damages and economic loses, and seek actual, special, 26 exemplary, punitive and compensatory damages. 27

1

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

2		
3	WHEREFORE, the Named Plaintiffs, CARDIFF PRESTIGE PROPERTY,	
4	INCORPORATED, a California corporation, FIRST PREMIER X, a California	
5	limited liability company, LITTLE SAIGON CHAMBER OF COMMERCE,	
6	LLC, a California limited liability company, and VIETNAMESE AMERICAN	
7	CULTURE AND EDUCATION FOUNDATION ("VACEF"), a California	
8	corporation, on behalf of themselves and as class representatives for all those	
9	similarly situated, demand judgment against Defendants, and pray for the	
10	following relief :	
11	a. Certification of the Class under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 23 and	
12	appointment of Plaintiffs as representatives of the respective Class and their	
13	undersigned counsel as Class counsel;	
14	b. An order requiring that Defendants pay compensatory and other damages to	
15	Plaintiffs and the Class Members, for their economic and non-economic damages	
16	and losses identified herein, to the full extent permitted by the law, but no less than	
17	\$8,000,000,000,000.00 dollars;	
18	c. An order awarding all damages allowed by any governing statutes or other	
19	governing law;	
20	d. An order awarding exemplary or punitive damages related to Defendants'	
21	fraudulent, extreme, outrageous, malicious, oppressive conduct that was performed	
22	in conscious disregard of the health and safety of American citizens and California	
23	residents.	
24	d. Statutory pre-judgment and post-judgment interest on any amounts awarded;	
25	e. Costs and expenses in this litigation, including, but not limited to, expert	
26	fees, filing fees, and reasonable attorneys' fees; and	
27	f. Such other relief as this Court deems just and proper.	
28		
	36	

Ca	se 8:20-cv-00683 Document 1 Filed 04/08/20 Page 27 of 27 Page ID #:27
1	DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL
2	
3	The Named Plaintiffs, on their own behalf and on behalf the Class Members,
4	demand a trial by jury on all issues so triable.
5	
6	Dated this 6th day of April, 2020.
7	
8	
9	
10	/s/ HOANG HUY TU, ESQ.
11	The Tu Firms ADLC
12	The Tu Firm, APLC
13	10810 Warner Avenue, Ste. 12 Fountain Valley, CA, 92708
14	Fountain Valley, CA 92708 Tel.: (714) 636-6030
15 16	Fax.: (714) 636-6048
17	ttflawyers@gmail.com
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	27