	Case 3:18-cr-00203-EMC Document 663	Filed 05/13/20	Page 1 of 39
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS LLP ELLIOT R. PETERS - # 158708 epeters@keker.com CHRISTOPHER C. KEARNEY - # 154101 ckearney@keker.com ELIZABETH K. MCCLOSKEY - # 268184 emccloskey@keker.com NICHOLAS S. GOLDBERG - # 273614 ngoldberg@keker.com 633 Battery Street San Francisco, CA 94111-1809 Telephone: 415 391 5400 Facsimile: 415 397 7188 Attorneys for Defendant CHRISTOPHER LISCHEWSKI		
10	UNITED STATES I		
11	NORTHERN DISTRIC		NIA
12	SAN FRANCIS	CO DIVISION	
13	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	Case No. 3:18	-cr-00203-EMC
14	Plaintiff,	LISCHEWSF	Γ CHRISTOPHER XI'S SENTENCING
15	V.		DUM AND MOTION FOR D DEPARTURE
16	CHRISTOPHER LISCHEWSKI,		2, 2020
17 18	Defendant.	Time: 2:	ne 3, 2020 30 p.m. ourtroom 5 – 17th Floor
19		Judge: H	on. Edward M. Chen
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	CHRISTOPHER LISCHEWSKI'S SENTE		NDUM AND MOTION
		RD DEPARTURE -cr-00203-EMC	

	Case 3:18-cr-00203-EMC Document 663 Filed 05/13/20 Page 2 of 39				
1					
1		TABLE OF CONTENTS			
2	-	Page			
3	I.	INTRODUCTION			
4	II.	CHRISTOPHER LISCHEWSKI'S PERSONAL BACKGROUND			
5		A. Mr. Lischewski's Childhood and Family Background			
6		B. Mr. Lischewski's Hard Work and Dedication to the Tuna Industry, including Sustainability			
7		C. Mr. Lischewski as a Husband and Father			
8		D. Mr. Lischewski's Compassion and Generosity			
9		E. Mr. Lischewski's Unwavering Commitment to his Mother			
10 11	III.	THE COURT SHOULD SENTENCE MR. LISCHEWSKI TO A SENTENCE OF NO MORE THAN 12 MONTHS' HOME CONFINEMENT			
12		A. Legal Standard			
13		B. The 3553(a) Factors Warrant a Sentence Well Below the Advisory Guidelines			
14		1. History and Characteristics of the Defendant			
15		2. Just Punishment			
16		3. Circumstances of the Offense			
17		4. Need to Avoid Unwarranted Sentence Disparities			
18		5. Deterrence			
19		6. Protection of the Public			
20 21		C. Mr. Lischewski Should Not Be Required to Serve a Custodial Sentence in a Federal Prison in the Midst of the Deadly Coronavirus Pandemic			
22	IV.	CONCLUSION			
23					
24					
25					
26					
27					
28					
	1383723	i CHRISTOPHER LISCHEWSKI'S SENTENCING MEMORANDUM AND MOTION FOR DOWNWARD DEPARTURE Case No. 3:18-cr-00203-EMC			

	Case 3:18-cr-00203-EMC Document 663 Filed 05/13/20 Page 3 of 39
1	TABLE OF AUTHORITIES
2	Page(s)
3	Federal Cases
4	Jimenez v. Wolf,
5	No. 18-cv-10225-MLW, Dkt. No. 507-1 (D. Mass. Mar. 26, 2020)
6	<i>Kimbrough v. United States</i> , 552 U.S. 85 (2007)
7	Koon v. United States,
8	518 U.S. 81 (1996)
9	<i>Nelson v. United States</i> , 555 U.S. 350 (2009)
10	Pepper v. United States,
11	562 U.S. 476 (2011)
12	United States v. Adelson,
13	441 F. Supp. 2d 506 (S.D.N.Y. 2006)
14	United States v. Ameline, 409 F.3d 1073 (9th Cir. 2005)
15	United States v. AU Optronics Corp.,
16	No. 3:09-cr-00110-SI (N.D. Cal.)
17	United States v. Autery, 555 F.3d 864 (9th Cir. 2009)
18 19	United States v. Capriola,
20	537 F.2d 319 (9th Cir. 1976)
20	United States v. Carmona-Rodriguez,
22	No. 04-cr-667-RWS, 2005 WL 840464 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 11, 2005)
23	United States v. Carpenter, 781 F.3d 599 (1st Cir. 2015) 31
24	United States v. Carty,
25	520 F.3d 984 (9th Cir. 2008) (en banc)15, 16
26	United States v. Chandler, No. 2:11-cr-00511-WBS (E.D. Cal.)
27	United States v. Corona-Verbera,
28	509 F.3d 1105 (9th Cir. 2007)
	ii CHRISTOPHER LISCHEWSKI'S SENTENCING MEMORANDUM AND MOTION
	FOR DOWNWARD DEPARTURE Case No. 3:18-cr-00203-EMC 1383723

	Case 3:18-cr-00203-EMC Document 663 Filed 05/13/20 Page 4 of 39
1 2	United States v. Edwards, 595 F.3d 1005 (9th Cir. 2010)
3	United States v. Florida, No. 4:14-cr-00582-JD (N.D. Cal.)
4	United States v. Garlock, No. 18-cr-00418-VC
6	United States v. Gupta, 904 F. Supp. 2d 349 (S.D.N.Y. 2012)
7 8	<i>United States v. Harris</i> , No. 19-cr-00356 RDM, Dkt. No. 35 (D.D.C. Mar. 26, 2020)
9 10	United States v. Huneeus, No. 19-cr-10117-IT, Dkt. No. 642 (D. Mass. Mar. 17, 2020)
11 12	United States v. Marr, 4:14-cr-00580-PJH (N.D. Cal.)24, 25
12	United States v. Medina-Cervantes, 690 F.2d 715 (9th Cir. 1982)
14 15	United States v. Perez, No. 19-cr-297-PAE, 2020 WL 1329225 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 19, 2020)
16 17	United States v. Ray, 930 F.2d 1368 (9th Cir. 1990)
17	United States v. Saeteurn, 504 F.3d 1175 (9th Cir. 2007)
19 20	United States v. Stephens, No. 15-cr-95-AJN, 2020 WL 1295155 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 19, 2020)
21	United States v. Stockwell, 472 F.2d 1186 (9th Cir. 1973)
22 23	United States v. Vigil, 476 F. Supp. 2d 1231 (D.N.M. 2007)
24 25	<i>Xochihua-James v. Barr</i> , 798 F. App'x 52 (9th Cir. 2020)
26	Federal Statutes
27	18 U.S.C. § 3553 passim
28	28 U.S.C. § 994
	iii CHRISTOPHER LISCHEWSKI'S SENTENCING MEMORANDUM AND MOTION FOR DOWNWARD DEPARTURE
	Case No. 3:18-cr-00203-EMC

	Case 3:18-cr-00203-EMC Document 663 Filed 05/13/20 Page 5 of 39	
1	Rules	
2	Crim. L.R. 32-5(b)	1
3	Fed. R. Crim. Proc. 32(b)	31
4	Other Authorities	
5	"Achieving A Fair And Effective COVID-19 Response: An Open Letter to Vice-	
6	President Mike Pence, and Other Federal, State, and Local Leaders from Public Health and Legal Experts in the United States," Yale.edu (Mar. 2,	
7	2020), available at https:/bit.ly/2W9V6OS	27
8	" <i>COVID-19 Cases</i> ," BOP.gov (last accessed May 12, 2020), available at https://www.bop.gov/coronavirus/	30
9	<i>"COVID-19 Home Confinement Information,"</i> BOP.gov (last accessed May 12,	
10	2020), available at <u>https://www.bop.gov/coronavirus/</u>	29
11	"COVID 19 Public Health Expert Letter to President Trump," Scribd.com (Mar.	
12 13	27, 2020), available at <u>https://www.scribd.com/document/454182211/COVID-</u> <u>19-Public-Health-Expert-Letter-to-Trump</u>	27
13 14 15	"70% of Texas Prisoners Tested Have the Coronavirus. Experts Say it's Time for More Testing and Fewer Inmates," The Texas Tribune (May 4, 2020), available at https://www.texastribune.org/2020/05/04/coronavirus-texas-	
16 17 18	<u>prisons-testing/</u> "Calif. Man Imprisoned For Tax Fraud Dies Of COVID-19," Law 360 (May 11, 2020), available at <u>https://www.law360.com/tax-</u> <u>authority/articles/1272365/calif-man-imprisoned-for-tax-fraud-dies-of-covid-19</u>	
19 20	<i>"First Federal Inmate Tests Positive for Coronavirus,"</i> AP Reports, Bloomberg (Mar. 21, 2020), available at <u>https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2020-</u> <u>03-21/ap-exclusive-1st-fed-inmate-tests-positive-for-coronavirus</u>	30
212223	"Frequently Asked Questions Regarding Potential Inmate Home Confinement in Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic," Bureau of Prisons (May 2, 2020), available at <u>https://www.bop.gov/coronavirus/faq.jsp</u>	28
24	"Interim Guidance on Mgmt. of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Correctional and Detention Facilities," Ctrs. for Disease Control and	
25	Prevention 2 (Mar. 23, 2020), available at	
26	https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/downloads/guidance- correctional-detention.pdf	27
27	"Memorandum for Director of Bureau of Prisons," Office of the Attorney General	
28	(Apr. 3, 2020), available at <u>https://www.justice.gov/file/1266661/download</u>	
	iv CHRISTOPHER LISCHEWSKI'S SENTENCING MEMORANDUM AND MOTION FOR DOWNWARD DEPARTURE Case No. 3:18-cr-00203-EMC	
	1383723	

	Case 3:18-cr-00203-EMC Document 663 Filed 05/13/20 Page 6 of 39
1 2	<i>"Memorandum for Director of Bureau of Prisons,"</i> Office of the Attorney General (Mar. 26, 2020), available at <u>https://www.justice.gov/file/1262731/download</u>
3 4	Ned Parker, Linda So, Brad Heath, & Grant Smith, "Spread of Coronavirus Accelerates in U.S. Jails and Prisons," Reuters (Mar. 28, 2020), available at <u>https://reut.rs/2UudMyw</u>
5 6 7	Noah Higgins-Dunn, "White House Advisor Fauci Says Coronavirus Vaccine Trial is on Target and Will Be 'Ultimate Game Changer," CNBC (Apr. 1, 2020), available at <u>https://www.cnbc.com/2020/04/01/white-house-advisor-fauci- says-coronavirus-vaccine-trial-is-on-target-and-will-be-ultimate-game- changer.html</u>
8 9	Premal Dharia, " <i>The Coronavirus Could Spark a Humanitarian Disaster in Jails</i> <i>and Prisons</i> ," Slate.com (Mar. 11, 2020), available at <u>https://bit.ly/3921Jwi</u>
10 11	"Prisoner Assessment Toll Targeting Estimated Risk and Needs (PATTERN) Interactive Tool," Urban.org (Sept. 4, 2019), available at <u>https://apps.urban.org/features/risk-assessment/</u>
12 13	"Release Ohio Jail Inmates Vulnerable to Coronavirus, Chief Justice Urges," WLWT (Mar. 19, 2020), available at <u>https://www.wlwt.com/article/release-ohio-jail-inmates-vulnerable-to-coronavirus-chief-justice-urges/31788560</u>
14 15 16	Sam Whitehead "CDC Director on Models for the Months to Come: 'This Virus Is Going to be with Us," NPR (Mar. 31, 2020), available at <u>https://www.npr.org/sections/healthshots/2020/03/31/824155179/cdc-director-on-models-for-the-months-to-come-this-virus-isgoing-to-be-with-us</u>
17 18	Sarah Lynch, "U.S. Attorney General Seeks to Expand Home Confinement Option as Coronavirus Spreads in Prisons," Reuters (Mar. 26, 2020), available at <u>https://reut.rs/2xzF7pQ</u>
19 20	United States Sentencing Commission, Measuring Recidivism: The Criminal History Computation Of The Federal Sentencing Guidelines (2004)
21 22	United States v. AU Optronics Corp., Case No. 3:09-cr-00110-SI-10, ECF 948, Gov't Sentencing Mem. (N.D. Cal. Sept. 11, 2012)
23 24	
25	
26	
27 28	
-	V CHRISTOPHER LISCHEWSKI'S SENTENCING MEMORANDUM AND MOTION
	FOR DOWNWARD DEPARTURE Case No. 3:18-cr-00203-EMC 1383723

In accordance with Criminal Local Rule 32-5(b) and the Joint Stipulation and Order to 2 Continue Sentencing (ECF 662), Defendant Christopher Lischewski hereby respectfully submits 3 his sentencing memorandum to provide the Court with additional information about Mr. 4 Lischewski not contained in the Final Presentence Investigation Report (PSR), to discuss the 5 factors set forth in 18 U.S.C. § 3553, and respectfully to suggest a sentence of 12 months of home 6 confinement, which is "sufficient but not greater than necessary" to effectuate the objectives 7 codified in that statute.¹

8

1

I. **INTRODUCTION**

Christopher Lischewski stands before this Court for sentencing. Having presided over this 9 case since its inception, including a 13-day trial, the Court is familiar with the facts. The advisory 10 Guidelines range is bloated by an artificial "volume of commerce" number and increased 11 12 incorrectly by several adjustments to which Mr. Lischewski has objected in a separate, contemporaneously filed brief. It will be decidedly up to the judgment of this Court to apply the 13 Section 3553 factors and to determine a sentence tailored to Mr. Lischewski that is "sufficient but 14 not greater than necessary" to fulfill the objectives of a federal criminal sentence. Courts 15 sentencing antitrust defendants in this District, elsewhere in California and in other Districts 16 17 across the country have uniformly imposed sentences substantially below the advisory Guidelines range, by applying the jurisprudence of Section 3553. The totality of the facts described in detail 18 below demonstrate that Mr. Lischewski is a good man who has led an exemplary life. He is a 19 loyal, loving, and dedicated family man who has cared generously for his mother, his son, his 20 wife, his brother-in-law, and his niece and nephew. He is a generous friend and a responsible, 21 philanthropic member of his community. He is a conscientious businessman who as a CEO 22 provided employment, leadership, and economic security to persons around the globe. 23 Regardless of the advisory Guidelines range this Court settles on after considering Mr. 24 Lischewski's separately filed objections to the PSR, Mr. Lischewski's personal circumstances and 25 the actual characteristics of this offense, in particular in the midst of a global pandemic, 26

- 27
- ¹ Mr. Lischewski is concurrently filing a separate brief setting forth his objections to the PSR. 28

countenance mercy, and a sentence of 12 months of home confinement.

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

1

II. CHRISTOPHER LISCHEWSKI'S PERSONAL BACKGROUND

While this Court is familiar with the evidence both sides presented during trial, it is not yet fully familiar with Chris Lischewski, his background, his present circumstances, and his character. Nearly 50 of Chris's friends, colleagues, family members, and peers have sent letters of support for Chris to this Court. Their words, memories, and stories paint a full and vibrant picture of Chris Lischewski, a man who is "honest, hard-working, with a strong moral code and sense of integrity."² These letters bear scant resemblance to the government's unfair and inaccurate attempts to portray Mr. Lischewski as a greedy villain.

In letter after letter, Chris Lischewski is described as "a trustworthy friend, loving and 10 considerate husband, strong and principled father and 'Uncle Chris' to his friend's daughters."³ 11 He is "consistently honorable."⁴ He has a "strong moral character,"⁵ a "loving heart, and [has 12 made] outstanding contributions to his community."⁶ Chris has been "a very earnest mentor, a 13 straightforward manager, and an advisor with exceptional vision."⁷ "Chris's ability to connect 14 15 with people, along with his humble empathetic nature, has earned him great respect as a trusted community leader and mentor."⁸ "Chris shows up in times of crisis or need."⁹ He is "the best 16 friend a person could ask for."¹⁰ He is "a builder and motivator."¹¹ "[A] leader and contributor 17 in everything he does."¹² A "man of character."¹³ "[U]niquely warm, supportive, positive, 18

19

- ² Exh. 2-5 (Melvin).
- 3 Exh. 2-8 (Foster).
- 21 4 Exh. 2-35 (Humphreys).
- $22 ||^{5}$ Exh. 2-23 (Horn).
- $23 \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ -8 \end{bmatrix}$ Exh. 2-9 (Pane).
- ²⁵ ⁷ Exh. 2-44 (Cronk). ⁸ Exh. 2-16 (Krahn)
- 24 ***** Exh. 2-16 (Krahn).
- 25 9 Exh. 2-5 (Melvin).
- $26 \begin{bmatrix} 10 \\ 10 \end{bmatrix}$ Exh. 2-13 (Valencia).
- 11^{11} Exh. 2-7 (McIvor).
- 27 1^{2} Exh. 2-13 (Valencia).
- $28 \begin{bmatrix} 13 \text{ Exh. 2-11 (Vaktskiold).} \end{bmatrix}$

energetic, and highly engaged."¹⁴ Chris is "selfless"¹⁵ and "incredibly thoughtful and 1 generous."¹⁶ Chris "exemplifies [] personal selflessness."¹⁷ He is "the most inspirational person 2 I have ever worked with"¹⁸ and "a transformational leader."¹⁹ "Chris has always been generous 3 4 and supportive, and a proponent of equality irrespective of background, creed or sex. He rewarded honesty and hard work."²⁰ He is "a good and fair man."²¹ "I've never met a guy that 5 works harder and more honestly than Chris."²² He is "honest, direct, reliable, honorable, 6 transparent, and responsible."²³ By all accounts, the conduct for which Chris has been convicted 7 is a dramatic outlier, and not at all in line with the Chris that is well-known to his family, friends, 8 9 colleagues, and members of his community.

10

A. Mr. Lischewski's Childhood and Family Background

"Chris came from a very modest beginning."²⁴ His parents were born in the small town
of Neidenburg in East Prussia, a region of Germany, in 1930. During World War II, Chris's
parents were both forced to flee their homes with whatever they could carry as the Russians
approached. After the war, Chris's father emigrated to Canada, where he learned that he could
become an American citizen by joining the U.S. military. So, he joined the U.S. Air Force,
trained as an electrician, and eventually became a U.S. citizen.²⁵

While Chris's father was visiting family in Germany, he ran into Chris's mother, who hehad known from grade school. They had a whirlwind romance and Chris's father convinced

- 19
- ¹⁴ Exh. 2-26 (Breitner).
- $\begin{array}{c|c} 20 \\ 15 \\ \text{Exh. 2-27 (Gray).} \end{array}$
- 21 16 Exh. 2-16 (Krahn).
- 22 17 Exh. 2-9 (Pane).
- $23 \begin{bmatrix} 18 \\ 18 \end{bmatrix}$ Exh. 2-7 (McIvor).
- 19 Exh. 2-16 (Krahn).
- 24 || ²⁰ Exh. 2-11 (Vaktskiold).
- $25 ||^{21}$ Exh. 2-8 (Foster).
- $26 \int_{22}^{22} \text{Exh. 2-9 (Pane).}$
- 20 || 23 Exh. 2-32 (Sylvester).
- 27 || ²⁴ Exh. 2-9 (Pane).
- $28 ||^{25}$ Exh. 1 (Lischewski).

Chris's mother to move to the U.S. When they arrived in the U.S. in the 1950s, neither had
completed high school and they didn't have "a penny to their names." ²⁶ Shortly thereafter,
Chris's sister was born in Dover, Delaware, and in 1960, Chris was born at the Air Force hospital
in Bitburg, Germany. Because Chris's mother was not yet an American citizen, Chris's birth
certificate lists his nationality as "stateless." Upon returning to the United States, Chris and his
mother became naturalized American citizens in 1966. Chris's parents "worked hard to become
excellent citizens and to raise their family in the traditions of their new home country." ²⁷

8 With Chris's father in the Air Force, the family moved frequently. Chris spent 12 of his 9 first 14 years on military bases in Germany. They lived in military housing, and "while [they] 10 didn't have much, [they] had enough." Chris describes their household as "tightknit but strict," 11 with an emphasis on "school over everything else." Chris's parents wanted to make sure he and 12 his sister Cornelia "received an education that they never had the opportunity to obtain." Chris 13 and Cornelia both described their parents as hard workers, instilling in their children a strong 14 work ethic and stressing "honestly, responsibility and accountability." ²⁸

Chris's father retired from the Air Force in 1975 after 20 years of service. The family
moved to Las Vegas, and Chris's father began to study to become a high school shop teacher.
Then, tragically, just a year later, Chris's father had a heart attack and suddenly died. He was 45years-old. Chris was 15. At the time, Chris's "maternal grandparents also resided in their home,
and over an 18-month period, his grandfather, father and grandmother all passed away."²⁹

Chris's family members and friends described how hard it was for Chris to lose his father,
at such a formative stage, just as Chris was preparing to enter high school. "[It] was a painful loss
for Chris. He was forced to grow up quickly and to become self-sufficient."³⁰ Chris "took over
the role of being the man of the house, caring for his Mother and Sister."³¹ In Chris's own words,

- 24 || ²⁶ Exh. 2-2 (C. Lischewski).
- $25 ||^{27}$ Exh. 2-5 (Melvin).
 - ²⁸ Exh. 1 (Lischewski); Exh. 2-2 (C. Lischewski).
- 26 ²⁹ Exh. 2-5 (Melvin).
- 27 30 Exh. 2-8 (Foster).
- $28 ||^{31}$ Exh. 2-43 (Mody).

CHRISTOPHER LISCHEWSKI'S SENTENCING MEMORANDUM AND MOTION FOR DOWNWARD DEPARTURE Case No. 3:18-cr-00203-EMC "[m]y father's death was a traumatic experience for our family. My mom, who was working as a nurse, continued on but her heart was broken and she never remarried."³²

- 3 In spite of this loss, and perhaps because Chris's father had placed such emphasis on "the importance of education and hard work,"³³ Chris thrived in high school—both as a student and an 4 5 athlete. His high school English instructor Dell Montoya described Chris as "curious, sensitive, open-minded, [and] highly-intelligent. ... His engaging social skill-set and outgoing personality 6 allowed him to thrive and distinguish himself quickly and proficiently."³⁴ Similarly, Chris's 7 childhood friend Brad Foster described Chris as "hard-working, athletic, smart and respected."³⁵ 8 9 Brad wrote, "for me and Chris, growing up in a working class family, taking on summer jobs and 10 playing sports in our neighborhood were some of the most important influences shaping our 11 growth and development. Our experience with and appreciation for hard work, our parents' focus and drive and our love of sports also shaped our sense of responsibility, competitiveness, and 12 fairness. These were the foundations of our lives."³⁶ 13
- 14 In 1978, Chris was in the first graduating class of Bonanza High School in Las Vegas. 15 After graduation, Chris decided to explore the world outside of Las Vegas, so he enrolled at 16 Tulane University in New Orleans. As Chris explained, "[a]t 17-years-old, I hugged my mom 17 and got in my car for the 2,000-mile drive from Las Vegas to New Orleans." At Tulane, Chris 18 discovered that he had to work hard to keep up with students who had attended rigorous prep 19 schools. He also discovered his interest in business, and in particular, finance and accounting. As a junior, Chris transferred to the University of Southern California, where he completed his 20 21 undergraduate degree.
- 22

23

1

2

Throughout college, Chris struggled to pay for his education. His mother helped as much as she could, but Chris worked hard to support himself. As Chris explained, "[b]eginning after

24

25

- ³² Exh. 1 (Lischewski)
- ³³ Exh. 1 (Lischewski)
- $26 \begin{bmatrix} 34 \\ \text{Exh. 2-10 (Montoya).} \end{bmatrix}$
- 27 3^{5} Exh. 2-8 (Foster).
- $28 ||^{36} Id.$

my sophomore year of high school, and all through college, I spent my summers working in construction in Las Vegas. I got my first construction job by sitting down with the yellow pages and calling one construction company after another until I was granted an interview. That interview resulted in a job that started the same day. . . . Although my days were long, often starting at 4:00 am and ending at 4:00 pm, the money I earned paid for most of my college education."³⁷

7

8

1

2

3

4

5

6

В. Mr. Lischewski's Hard Work and Dedication to the Tuna Industry, including **Sustainability**

After graduating from college, Chris worked for several years as an accountant and 9 consultant, before joining StarKist in 1991. At StarKist, Chris led fish procurement and global 10 operations, where he traveled around the world setting up tuna factories. To this day, Chris 11 remains proud that those factories "provided more than 3,000 jobs in economies that desperately 12 needed the employment."³⁸ 13

In 1999, Chris joined Bumble Bee—which was then the only American-owned packaged 14 seafood company—as President and CEO. It was a job that "defined [his] life," and he was very 15 good at it. He came to be known as "the guiding force in the world tuna industry."³⁹ Under 16 Chris's leadership, Bumble Bee grew from a company on the brink of collapse into the nation's 17 largest branded seafood company. As Bumble Bee's CEO, Chris had a wide range of 18 responsibilities: government relations; sustainability efforts; trade policy; and factory operations. 19 He effectively guided the company through several changes in ownership. Chris thrived in his 20 job at Bumble Bee; as he describes it, he valued being "able to run a business, build a team, 21 generate significant employment, create value for [] investors and provide a healthy, nutritious 22 and low-cost food for millions of consumers." 23

- 24
- Through his work at Bumble Bee, Chris became interested in sustainability. He was dedicated to "establishing global policies to ensure the long-term sustainability of tuna resources 25

- ³⁸ *Id*. 27
- ³⁹ Exh. 2-46 (LaRosh). 28

²⁶ 37 Exh. 1 (Lischewski)

Case 3:18-cr-00203-EMC Document 663 Filed 05/13/20 Page 13 of 39

1 while also generating significant employment and improved social conditions in the developing 2 economies where we operated." In 2009, Chris and others founded the International Seafood 3 Sustainability Foundation ("ISSF"). "ISSF was built on the core belief that the private market should play a leading role in promoting sustainable fishing by ensuring that the world's tuna 4 processors only source tuna that is harvested sustainably."⁴⁰ Chris also made significant 5 commitments to other sustainability initiatives, including through the National Fisheries Institute, 6 7 the Global Seafood Sustainability Initiative, and the Oceans Caucus Foundation, among many 8 others.

9 By all accounts, Chris was respected as a hard-working, thoughtful, and considerate manager. He "[c]ared deeply about his people and his team," "was inclusive and supportive,"⁴¹ 10 "took great pride in [his employees'] individual achievements,"⁴² and was an "articulate, friendly, 11 and an excellent manager."⁴³ "He was always willing to roll up his sleeves and give his best 12 personal effort."⁴⁴ Although the seafood industry can be male-dominated, Chris went out of his 13 way to encourage and support female leaders.⁴⁵ And when Chris visited Bumble Bee's factories, 14 15 he "walked up and down the lines, he engaged with the hourly laborers, asking them about their 16 work and treating them with kindness, dignity, and honor. He made everyone he interacted with feel they were a part of a family, not just an employee."⁴⁶ 17

Former Bumble Bee employee David McIvor described Chris as "the most amazing
manager I have worked for in my 35 years working as a professional. . . . He always exhibited the
highest ethical standards and his moral compass points true north. I have never worked with any
one more talented or hardworking."⁴⁷ Bumble Bee employee Bryan Hutcheson wrote, "I have

- 22
- ⁴⁰ Exh. 2-30 (Pike).
- 23 41 Exh. 2-37 (Stites).
- ²⁴ Exh. 2-26 (Breitner).
- $25 ||^{43}$ Exh. 2-31 (Perekslis).
- 26
- $\frac{45}{10}$ Exh. 2-37 (Stites).
- 27 46 Exh. 2-31 (Perekslis).
- $28 ||^{47}$ Exh. 2-7 (McIvor).

7

⁴⁴ *Id*.

Case 3:18-cr-00203-EMC Document 663 Filed 05/13/20 Page 14 of 39

always known Chris to be trustworthy and dependable. ... He is fair in his professional approach, he listens and allows for different opinions to be expressed."⁴⁸ Bumble Bee employee Teresa Karp noted that the "employee turnover under Chris was extremely low, which was a direct reflection of his leadership, knowledge, and kind heart."⁴⁹ Chris's longtime assistant Patty Chavez observed firsthand how much Chris cared about his employees; once, "we had a tragedy 6 at one of our plants in Georgia where one of our employees passed away. She was a single mom and her family had very limited funds. Chris paid for the employee's funeral and offered to help her family with whatever they needed."⁵⁰

9 Many of Chris's supporters noted Chris's dedication to mentorship. Cameron Breitner 10 wrote about meeting Chris when Cameron was a junior employee at a firm that invested in 11 Bumble Bee. "Despite my youth and junior title, Chris recognized me as someone he believed in. 12 ... It can be a life-changing experience to find a person who inspires you to have confidence in 13 yourself and to strive to accomplish great things. Chris was this person for me My 14 experience with Chris made me a better person and a better mentor. I try to emulate his example 15 of generosity by investing my time in talented people, regardless of their age or title, or whether 16 there is anything 'in it for me." Another friend, David McIvor, wrote, "One of the [] things that 17 impressed me is that [Chris] has a policy of offering internships to any college kids who have an 18 interest in advancing themselves. One of the students that interned with Bumble Bee . . . went on 19 to graduate from Oxford with a degree in sustainability and currently works with an organization that helps companies implement and comply with best sustainability practices."⁵¹ And Chris's 20 21 assistant Patty noted, "I can't tell you how many Bumble Bee employees and industry partners 22 benefitted from Chris's generosity. Many of our employee's children did their required college internships at Bumble Bee."52 23

24

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

- ⁴⁸ Exh. 2-24 (Hutcheson). 25
 - ⁴⁹ Exh. 2-25 (Karp).
- 26 ⁵⁰ Exh. 2-22 (Chavez).
- 27 ⁵¹ Exh. 2-7 (McIvor).
- ⁵² Exh. 2-22 (Chavez). 28

Chris's enthusiasm as a mentor extends to his family members, as well. His nephew Tim de Vrijer described Chris as a "supportive, loving mentor and guide."⁵³ Tim wrote, "Uncle Chris taught me the importance of good values and hard work. He gave me positive, purposeful direction about how to be a responsible citizen, employee, and adult."⁵⁴ Tim also talked about Chris's mentorship in business. "During every lesson, Uncle Chris emphasized ethics and morals. He told me that business relationships are based on trust. He told me to treat other people with respect and never cut corners."⁵⁵

8

7

1

2

3

4

5

6

C. Mr. Lischewski as a Husband and Father

9 While working at Bumble Bee, Chris met his wife Louise, who was also working in the 10 seafood industry. In 2005, Louise moved from Vancouver to San Diego to be with Chris, and in 11 2007, when Chris was 47, their son Raithe was born. Chris and Louise have a kind, loving and respectful marriage, and he is a tremendously dedicated husband and father-including his 13-12 year-old son Raithe in nearly all of his activities. "Chris has always been clear that his family is 13 his number one priority"⁵⁶; it is obvious to all that Raithe has "captured Chris's heart."⁵⁷ Indeed, 14 15 dozens of Chris's family and friends wrote about Chris's dedication to Louise and Raithe. One 16 friend wrote, "I can confirm what I'm sure you will hear from many others; Chris is a great, caring and loving husband/father to Louise and their young son Raithe. Chris relishes his time 17 with his family."⁵⁸ Another noted, "Chris'[s] love for, and dedication to his son Raithe is obvious 18 to all of his friends."⁵⁹ And a friend told this story: "Once, during Chris's National Sales 19 20 Meeting presentation, Raithe's babysitter called. Chris answered his cell phone from the podium, knowing it was regarding Raithe.... This was a beautiful example to all the young parents in the 21

- 22
- 23
- 24
- $25 \int \frac{55}{1} Id.$

⁵⁴ *Id*.

- $\begin{bmatrix} 56 \\ \text{Exh. 2-41} \text{ (Travers).} \end{bmatrix}$
- 26 || 57

⁵³ Exh. 2-3 (T. DeVrijer).

- 57 Exh. 2-25 (Karp).
- 27 || 58 Exh. 2-12 (Altman).
- $28 ||^{59}$ Exh. 2-23 (Horn).

Case 3:18-cr-00203-EMC Document 663 Filed 05/13/20 Page 16 of 39

audience."⁶⁰ Another friend, with whom Chris plays soccer, wrote about Raithe joining their adult soccer games. "It is very refreshing to witness the father-son competition within the game and the special bond they enjoy."⁶¹

Chris's sister Cornelia observed that Chris "raised Raithe to be loving and kind to his 4 grandmother who during her last years, after having a stroke, could be quite difficult."⁶² Chris's 5 friend Brad Foster shared how Chris and Louise have "taught Raithe to stand up for those who 6 could not do so for themselves."⁶³ Brad recalled an afternoon during which his daughter Halle 7 and Raithe were playing outside on a beach. "When one of the adult bar patrons tried to force 8 9 Halle away and take over the beach game, it was Raithe, at 4 feet, 2 inches tall, that jumped in 10 between the man and my daughter and told him to 'Go away, we're playing this game!' Raithe might have been the underdog, but he was not going to back down."⁶⁴ 11

12 Louise described Chris's devotion to setting a good example for Raithe, including by 13 teaching him "that hard work and resilience are needed to make communities stronger and united; 14 the power of kindness can change lives; and that being a team player is more important than individual victory."⁶⁵ She noted how hard this criminal case has been for Chris because he hasn't 15 16 been able to protect Raithe from the pain that it's caused: "Raithe wanted to write a letter to you in defense of his Dad. Chris asked him to leave the challenge of that to others. He didn't want 17 his son to bear such a burden on his young shoulders."⁶⁶ 18

19

1

2

3

D. Mr. Lischewski's Compassion and Generosity

20 The letters submitted on Chris's behalf paint a picture of a man who is dedicated to his 21 community and always ready to help a friend in need. Several friends and family members wrote

- 22
- 23
- ⁶⁰ Exh. 2-25 (Karp). 24 ⁶¹ Exh. 2-40 (Travino).
- ⁶² Exh. 2-2 (C. Lischewski). 25
- ⁶³ Exh. 2-8 (Foster).
- 26 64 *Id.*
- 27 ⁶⁵ Exh. 2-1. (L. Lischewski)
- ⁶⁶ Id. 28

of conversations with Chris that changed the course of their lives.⁶⁷

2 Chris's brother-in-law, Steven Reid, wrote a touching handwritten letter explaining that Chris was there to support him in Steven's darkest days when he "needed it most."⁶⁸ Because of 3 4 Chris's love and support, Steven's "whole life changed completely." In Steven's own words, 5 "[Chris] managed to give me the reason to want to live and he has never asked any questions 6 about my past. His only desire was to show me enough mercy that I could see I had a place in 7 this world and that I was needed." Longtime friend David McIvor wrote about one memorable call with Chris: "When I was at the lowest point in my life in late 2006, losing my business, 8 9 getting divorced, having had a daughter assaulted, I was considering ending my life. Chris 10 coincidentally called to ask my advice about fatherhood [and] asked me to be the Godfather to his 11 soon to be son, Raithe. ... From that call, I decided to seek help. During counseling I was asked to list what it was I valued most. My reply: family and my friendship with Chris and Louise."69 12 13 Chris's niece Lillian described a visit with her Uncle Chris, soon after she had graduated from 14 college: "I was confused and scared about what to do next with my life.... Chris patiently 15 listened . . . to the things I was confused about and what I was scared of. After I finished, I 16 remember he took a moment to consider what I had said and then went on to say that he was not 17 worried about me. He said that what he had seen in me as a child and as a young adult gave him the faith to know that I would be fine. . . . This conversation . . . has always stayed with me and I 18 19 still refer back to it when I am feeling confused about next steps. . . . Uncle Chris's faith in me allowed me to have even more faith in myself."⁷⁰ And Chris's friend Jen Long expressed that 20 Chris had "singlehandedly transformed [her] life" by encouraging her to advocate for herself and 21 to believe in her abilities.⁷¹ 22

23

1

 $26 \begin{bmatrix} 68 \\ 68 \end{bmatrix}$ Exh. 2-6 (Reid).

 69 Exh. 2-7 (McIvor).

²⁷ ⁷⁰ Exh. 2-4 (L. DeVrijer).

 $28 ||^{71}$ Exh. 2-28 (Long).

 ⁶⁷ Exh. 2-42 (Vaden) ("Chris's generosity has helped individuals regain optimism in their lives that previously felt extinguished. He doesn't do it for attention or the pat on the back. He generally cares.").

Case 3:18-cr-00203-EMC Document 663 Filed 05/13/20 Page 18 of 39

Other friends wrote of Chris's generosity and kindness, in particular during trying times.
Jake Williams wrote about Chris's support when Jake was leaving the Navy. Chris recommended
that Jake consider the University of Southern California's Master of Business for Veteran's
program, and then Chris went above and beyond by offering to cover the cost of tuition beyond
what the Navy would pay. ⁷² Jake wrote, "No one had ever made me such a generous offer, with
no agenda or strings attached." ⁷³ When Jake later asked Chris how to repay him, Chris
responded, "Pay it forward, when you make it big one day you'll do the same."" ⁷⁴ Another
friend, Jeff Strauss, talked about the stress he felt when a fire broke out at his restaurant the day
before Thanksgiving. "Chris was the first to arrive to offer his support. He showed up for me
and for the staff in a moment of crisis. We all remember [] how much that meant to us." ⁷⁵ Many
others chimed in with similar stories—Chris stepping in with a caring word, a much-needed
donation or a helping hand. ⁷⁶
Chris has also worked hard for the greater San Diego community, and in particular he has
made significant contributions to support the youth of San Diego—by helping to develop a youth
soccer club ⁷⁷ , by volunteering for Big Brothers Big Sisters of San Diego (BBBS), and by
⁷² Exh. 2-15 (Williams).
⁷³ Id.
⁷⁴ Id.
 ⁷⁵ Exh. 2-18 (Strauss). ⁷⁶ See, e.g., Exh. 2-19 (Svoboda); Exh. 2-30 (Pike) ("When my wife had a terrible fall and brain
injury, Chris was one of the first to call and offer aid."); Exh. 2-39 (Howell) ("I could always count on Chris to help me analyze the situation or give me support and encouragement."); Exh. 2-
41 (Travers) ("Some of our players are also coaches of young children and Chris is always willing to assist financially when their team needs help with new uniforms or league fees."); Exh.
2-42 (Vaden) ("[Chris's] willingness to lend an ear listening to my plans, ideas and even struggles always followed with sound advice and support. It is something I'm eternally grateful for and I
an a better man because of it."); Exh. 2-46 (LaRosh) (Chris "was a major sponsor of [a] tournament which [] supported abused women and children.").
⁷⁷ Exh. 2-20 (Maurer); see also Exh. 2-21 (Marin); Exh. 2-40 (Travino).
12 CHRISTOPHER LISCHEWSKI'S SENTENCING MEMORANDUM AND MOTION
FOR DOWNWARD DEPARTURE Case No. 3:18-cr-00203-EMC
1383723

Case 3:18-cr-00203-EMC Document 663 Filed 05/13/20 Page 19 of 39

working on behalf of La Jolla Country Day School, among others.⁷⁸ "Chris's passion for ... 1 2 mentoring San Diego youth" is recognized as an example of his "extraordinary humanitarian values."⁷⁹ Rick Valencia, a BBBS board member, wrote, "What initially impressed me the most 3 4 about Chris was how he rolled up his sleeves and got involved in the organizational details.... 5 It is rare that a major CEO would join a local chapter of a non-profit organization instead of delegating it to someone on his team. It is even more rare for a [] CEO to dig in and do the heavy 6 lifting of an average board member the way Chris did."⁸⁰ Tina Rose described the workplace-7 mentoring program which Chris developed, "Beyond School Walls," which allows students to 8 build relationships with mentors in professional environments.⁸¹ And longtime friend Steve 9 Altman wrote, "Chris is a philanthropic leader in our community and was instrumental in the 10 success of [BBBS]. For many years, Chris has set an example in San Diego ... by contributing 11 his personal time and effort."⁸² Chris's dedication, both personally and on behalf of Bumble Bee, 12 has been a motivator to others, setting an example for other San Diego companies.⁸³ 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 ⁷⁸ Numerous friends wrote of Chris's willingness to support others' passions and organizations. Chris's high school English instructor Dell Montoya told how Chris helped him to "form a 21 consortium to develop and finance a secondary school based on my educational premise and theory that all things are interrelated." Exh. 2-10 (Montoya). Michael Horn talked about Chris's 22 support of Mama's Kitchen, a community organization in San Diego to support people with serious illnesses who are in need of food and nutrition. Exh. 2-23 (Horn). Jiri Svoboda, a 23 professor of music at San Diego Mesa College, wrote of Chris's contributions to the college's Recital Hours, a free venue for students and community members to experience live music on a 24 weekly basis. Exh. 2-19 (Svoboda). ⁷⁹ Exh. 2-25 (Karp). 25 ⁸⁰ Exh. 2-13 (Valencia) 26 Exh. 2-14 (Rose). ⁸² Exh. 2-12 (Altman). 27 ⁸³ Exh. 2-14 (Rose). 28 13 CHRISTOPHER LISCHEWSKI'S SENTENCING MEMORANDUM AND MOTION FOR DOWNWARD DEPARTURE Case No. 3:18-cr-00203-EMC

1

Е.

Mr. Lischewski's Unwavering Commitment to his Mother⁸⁴

2 Finally, it is worth pausing on Chris's close relationship with and dedication to his 3 mother. Through the hardship of being newcomers to the United States, struggling to support 4 themselves financially, and losing Chris's father so suddenly, Chris and his mother formed a 5 particularly strong bond, which continued until the day she died, in November 2018. Chris's 6 sister Cornelia described Chris as "the kind of son that any parent could ever want." Cornelia 7 wrote: "He not only cared for our mother financially, but also with his time, patience and his 8 loving attentions.... His care of our mother was not just a duty, but it was based on the love and the sense of care he felt towards her."⁸⁵ As Chris's mother grew older, Chris traveled to Las 9 Vegas, helped his mother pack up her belongings, and moved her to a house near Chris's in San 10 11 Diego. "Every Sunday [Chris took] our mother, plus his family, out to dinner at her favorite 12 restaurant. He and Louise, his wife, always made sure our mother had the care and medical treatment she needed.⁸⁶ As a result, "[o]ur mother trusted and totally relied, and was able to 13 totally rely, on Chris."⁸⁷ "Chris's patience and the love he felt for our mother was evident to all. . 14 ... Even towards the end of her life, his care and love for her never wavered."⁸⁸ 15 16 In the letters submitted to this Court, numerous commented on Chris's obvious dedication to his mother. Chris's cousin noted, "[t]o his Canadian family, [Chris] is described as the 'son 17 18 that every mother wishes she had' and he earned that title by spending countless nights in hospital 19 ⁸⁴ While this section focuses on Chris's relationship with his mother, Chris has a close 20 relationship with many of his relatives. Indeed, Louise wrote of Chris's dedication to members of Louise's family: "When my mother was diagnosed with stage four cancer at the age of 60, Chris 21 worked tirelessly to ensure that she had access to quality health care that was not available in Canada. When my mother passed away, Chris showered her funeral in flowers. He knew she 22 loved flowers, and that her family could not afford them." Similarly, Louise commented on the support Chris had showed to her brother Steven, expressing that "Chris is personally responsible 23 for the life that Steven breathes today." When Chris met Steven, he was "homeless, country-less, addicted to drugs and suicidal all of his adult life. ... Many in society step over people like my 24 brother. Chris reached out to Steven to raise him up. Chris continues to counsel my brother so that he can feel accomplished as a contributing member of society." Exh. 2-1 (L. Lischewski). 25 ⁸⁵ Exh. 2-2 (C. Lischewski). 26 ⁸⁶ Id.

- 27 ⁸⁷ Id.
- ⁸⁸ Id.. 28

at his mother's bedside while she recovered from a serious illness."⁸⁹ Chris's longtime friend 1 2 Bob Pane wrote, "Chris and I are the same type of son. We both loved spending time with our 3 mothers and were there when they needed us. The way a son treats his mother says a lot about a man. Chris was a devoted son who deeply cherished his mother."⁹⁰ Friend Steve 4 5 Altman shared how much he personally learned "observing Chris as he helped support and provide for his elderly Mother over the years. . . . [Chris] not only made sure that she had the 6 7 necessary help, but he visited her often and called her frequently.... Having talked with Chris 8 and having observed him care for his Mother (and also do the difficult but necessary things to 9 help her be comfortable) until her fairly recent passing has helped me immensely and has made me a better son to my parents."⁹¹ And Chris's wife Louise recalled that "[w]hen [Chris's mother] 10 11 took her final breath in November 2018, [Chris] was there holding her hand. Chris stayed there with her alone in his thoughts for several hours after she passed away, not wanting to leave her 12 alone until she was taken to her final resting place."⁹² For Chris, losing his mother in the midst 13 14 of this criminal case caused him significant anguish and regret.

THE COURT SHOULD SENTENCE MR. LISCHEWSKI TO A SENTENCE OF

NO MORE THAN 12 MONTHS' HOME CONFINEMENT

- 15 16

III.

17

A. Legal Standard

Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3553, a district court must strive to "impose a sentence sufficient, 18 but not greater than necessary" to reflect the seriousness of the offense, promote respect for the 19 law, and provide just punishment. See United States v. Carty, 520 F.3d 984, 991 (9th Cir. 2008) 20 (en banc) (citing 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)). The Supreme Court has described this parsimony 21 provision as the statute's "overarching" principle. Kimbrough v. United States, 552 U.S. 85, 101 22 (2007).23 The Supreme Court has further directed that a sentencing judge should consider "every 24 25 Exh. 2-5 (Melvin); see also Exh. 2-43 (Mody) ("Chris was always the most loving and dedicated son to his Mother.... Every parent would want a son like Chris."). 26 Exh. 2-9 (Pane). 27 ⁹¹ Exh. 2-12 (Altman). ⁹² Exh. 2-1 (L. Lischewski). 28 15

> CHRISTOPHER LISCHEWSKI'S SENTENCING MEMORANDUM AND MOTION FOR DOWNWARD DEPARTURE Case No. 3:18-cr-00203-EMC

Case 3:18-cr-00203-EMC Document 663 Filed 05/13/20 Page 22 of 39

convicted person as an individual and every case as a unique study in the human failings that
 sometimes mitigate, sometimes magnify, the . . . punishment to ensue." *Pepper v. United States*,
 562 U.S. 476, 487 (2011). In this individualized context, the Guidelines are but one sentencing
 factor among many that courts must consider, and the court may not "presume that a sentence
 within the applicable Guidelines range is reasonable." *Nelson v. United States*, 555 U.S. 350, 352
 (2009).

In particular, a court must look to: (1) the history and characteristics of the defendant,
including any criminal record (or lack thereof); (2) the need to provide just punishment for the
offense; (3) the nature and circumstances of the offense; (4) the need to avoid unwarranted
sentencing disparities; (5) the importance of affording adequate deterrence to criminal conduct;
and (6) the need to protect the public from further crimes. *Pepper*, 562 U.S. at 491; *Carty*, 520
F.3d at 991. Here, these factors all support the imposition of a sentence of no more than 12
months of home confinement.

14

В.

15

The 3553(a) Factors Warrant a Sentence Well Below the Advisory Guidelines History and Characteristics of the Defendant

16 In directing courts to consider the personal history and characteristics of a defendant as a 17 necessary sentencing factor,⁹³ Congress plainly intended the "elementary principle of weighing 18 the good with the bad, which is basic to all the great religions, moral philosophies, and systems of 19 justice." United States v. Adelson, 441 F. Supp. 2d 506, 514 (S.D.N.Y. 2006), aff'd, 301 F. 20 App'x 93 (2nd Cir. 2008). The letters to the Court submitted by Mr. Lischewski's family, 21 friends, colleagues, and fellow community members reveal a nearly universal theme: Mr. 22 Lischewski is a hardworking, thoughtful, and compassionate man.⁹⁴ Mr. Lischewski gives 23 24 ⁹³ The Probation Department refused to give any weight to Mr. Lischewski's personal history and characteristics. PSR ¶ 85. 25 ⁹⁴ The sentiments expressed in the letters submitted to this Court echo the trial testimony of Wayne Kay, the former Chief Executive Officer of Big Brothers Big Sisters of San Diego 26 County, who spoke of Mr. Lischewski's dedication to that organization. Mr. Kay described Mr. Lischewski as having "an impeccable reputation" and "[h]onest in every way." Trial Tr. at 27

- 2623:13-25. Even the government's first witness, Jeffrey Chang, testified about Mr.
- 28 Lischewski's good character and reputation. Trial Tr. at 406:23-410:13.

Case 3:18-cr-00203-EMC Document 663 Filed 05/13/20 Page 23 of 39

generously—to family members, friends, employees, and charities who need support, time, and assistance. In spite of his professional commitments, Chris has long been active in his community and has demonstrated a true dedication to giving back. As Chris's niece Lillian described, Chris has "unwavering focus, an amazing work ethic and he [is] always a fair and honest person."⁹⁵

6 Mr. Lischewski's deep devotion to his family—including to his wife, son, brother-in-law, 7 and mother—also bears on the Court's determination of a sentence. See, e.g., United States v. 8 Ameline, 409 F.3d 1073, 1112, n.21 (9th Cir. 2005). And Mr. Lischewski's lack of any prior 9 criminal history highlights the fact that this conviction is completely out of character for Mr. 10 Lischewski. The letters before this Court universally attest to that. Mr. Lischewski has never 11 before been arrested for any crime, let alone convicted of one. As the son an immigrant mother 12 widowed at a young age, Chris worked construction jobs in sweltering heat to put himself through 13 college and graduate school, and has since been consistently and honestly employed in positions 14 of increasing responsibility and stature throughout his entire life. Due to his lack of criminal 15 history, Mr. Lischewski is classified with a Criminal History Category of I. But when a 16 defendant has no criminal record whatsoever, even this low Criminal History Category can lead 17 to an overly high guideline range since defendants with minor criminal histories can receive 18 identical categorizations. Accordingly, the Ninth Circuit has held that where, as here, a defendant 19 has a "complete lack of criminal history," the court may consider that history "as a mitigating 20 factor" in support of a downward variance. United States v. Autery, 555 F.3d 864, 874 (9th Cir. 2009). 21

If the letters from Mr. Lischewski's family, friends, and colleagues demonstrate anything,
it is that his "personal history and characteristics starkly contrast with the nature and
circumstances of" this crime. *United States v. Gupta*, 904 F. Supp. 2d 349, 353 (S.D.N.Y. 2012), *aff*'d, 747 F.3d 111 (2nd Cir. 2014). Mr. Lischewski's strong family and community support, his
unflagging work ethic, and the admirable trajectory of his life all counsel in favor of a sentence of

27

28

1

2

3

4

5

⁹⁵ Exh. 2-4 (L. DeVrijer).

no more than 12 months' home confinement.

2

1

2. **Just Punishment**

3 Section 3553(a) also instructs courts to consider "the need for the sentence . . . to provide just punishment." 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(2)(A). In deciding upon a sentence that is sufficient but 4 5 not greater than necessary, the Court should consider the extent to which Mr. Lischewski has 6 already suffered as a result of the indictment, trial, and verdict, as well as the extent to which Mr. 7 Lischewski faces additional punishment in other proceedings.

8 First, the very fact of Mr. Lischewski's indictment and the jury's verdict have been deeply 9 humiliating and damaging for Mr. Lischewski and have imposed on him, his family, and his 10 friends a public shaming. Although many of Mr. Lischewski's friends and family have 11 steadfastly remained by his side and lent him support during this challenging time—as 12 demonstrated by the dozens of letters of support submitted to this Court—this investigation and 13 trial have caused Mr. Lischewski great anguish and emotional pain. This case has been followed 14 closely by the media, and Mr. Lischewski has been the subject of numerous unflattering news 15 stories in major news publications, including the Los Angeles Times, CNN, and Bloomberg, as 16 well as trade publications that are followed and read by Mr. Lischewski's colleagues. For 17 someone deeply proud of his professional accomplishments, seeing his face on CNN under a 18 headline about the jury's verdict has been punishment in itself. See United States v. Vigil, 476 F. 19 Supp. 2d 1231, 1315 (D.N.M. 2007) (accounting for the "incalculable damage to [the 20 defendant's] personal and professional reputation as a result of tremendous media coverage of his 21 case and the case against his co-conspirators" as a collateral consequence for the purposes of 22 "fashioning a just sentence").

23

Second, Mr. Lischewski has suffered severe and lasting professional consequences as a 24 result of this investigation and case. He was forced to step down from his position as President 25 and CEO of Bumble Bee, a company that he believed in and cared about, and he was ultimately 26 terminated. He has been completely shunned by many of his colleagues, both inside and outside 27 of Bumble Bee. Mr. Lischewski was a highly respected industry leader and a champion of global 28 sustainability. His nearly twenty years at the helm of Bumble Bee was his life's work and one of 18

Case 3:18-cr-00203-EMC Document 663 Filed 05/13/20 Page 25 of 39

his greatest accomplishments. To have all of that evaporate as a result of this case has been shattering. Further, Mr. Lischewski will likely never be able to return to the packaged seafood industry, and to the sustainability efforts that were a focus of his life. He is unlikely to ever again have the opportunity to run a company anything like Bumble Bee, even though it was a job in which he thrived and excelled, and one that he loved.

Third, Mr. Lischewski remains a defendant in a massive civil multi-district litigation
(MDL) currently pending in the Southern District of California, before Judge Sammartino, in
which plaintiffs are seeking millions of dollars in damages from Mr. Lischewski and Bumble
Bee, among others. A civil plaintiff has already filed a motion to lift the stay of discovery against
Mr. Lischewski, so that it can proceed with its claims against him. Mr. Lischewski is the only
individual defendant in that lawsuit (and in the MDL, generally).

12 Fourth, the carnage caused by this criminal investigation has had devastating financial 13 effects on Mr. Lischewski and his family. Bumble Bee filed for bankruptcy and has sold its key 14 assets to a Taiwanese company. It has wrongfully abandoned all of its advancement and 15 indemnity obligations to Mr. Lischewski, including its duty to advance funds for his defense in 16 the civil and criminal cases. Mr. Lischewski's personal investment in the company of over \$8 17 million, is now worthless. He has sold his home. He has liquidated many of his personal assets. 18 He no longer has an income and fears he may never again be able to be gainfully employed or to 19 serve his community.

The impact of this case on Mr. Lischewski and his family already has been far-reaching
and severe. These significant additional forms of punishment counsel in favor of a humane
sentence.

23

1

2

3

4

5

3. Circumstances of the Offense

Under Section 3553(a), a sentencing judge must also consider "the seriousness of the
 offense," as well as the "nature and circumstances" surrounding that offense. 18 U.S.C.
 § 3553(a)(1)-(2)(A). The Guidelines are meant to advise courts on sentencing for only a
 "heartland" of cases: the "set of typical cases embodying the conduct that each guideline
 describes." *Koon v. United States*, 518 U.S. 81, 93 (1996). Where, as here, a court is faced with 19
 CHRISTOPHER LISCHEWSKI'S SENTENCING MEMORANDUM AND MOTION FOR DOWNWARD DEPARTURE Case No. 3:18-cr-00203-EMC

an atypical case outside of that "heartland," it should consider an appropriate variance from the
 Guidelines.

3 The evidence introduced at trial showed that this was not the typical price-fixing case. 4 Indeed, throughout the course of the month-long trial, the government offered no evidence 5 establishing that Bumble Bee's prices were ever affected by any agreements with competitors. 6 Indeed, the prosecutors specifically eschewed offering any proof on that topic, repeatedly 7 claiming it was not necessary. During the conspiracy period, Bumble Bee was operating in a 8 highly competitive industry, in an era of unprecedented cost inflation, and where one of the three 9 major tuna companies was engaged in predatory, below-cost pricing that posed a threat to the 10 entire industry. Despite these circumstances, the government presented no evidence that a single 11 sale of canned tuna occurred at a fixed price. It presented no evidence that the price-fixing 12 conspiracy had any impact on the sale of canned tuna. And it presented no evidence that any 13 customer was harmed by the price-fixing.

14 Mr. Cameron and Mr. Worsham testified that they agreed with competitors to fix list prices, but the evidence incontrovertibly showed that customers do not pay list prices.⁹⁶ The 15 16 government also alleged that Mr. Cameron and Mr. Worsham reached an agreement to 17 discontinue 10/\$10 promotions on albacore, but the documentary evidence presented at trial made 18 clear that 10/\$10 promotions continued throughout the conspiracy period, including after the companies purportedly agreed to end them.⁹⁷ Moreover, to the extent Mr. Lischewski's 19 20 subordinates reached any agreements with competitors, those agreements simply confirmed 21 pricing actions that Mr. Worsham and Mr. Hodge—two of the government's key cooperating witnesses—described as "inevitable" and that the companies had "no choice" but to take.⁹⁸ 22 23 These circumstances are far outside the "heartland" of price-fixing cases and the Court should 24 consider a downward variance from the Guidelines accordingly. Indeed, as the Probation Officer 25 ⁹⁶ See TX 2665. 26 ⁹⁷ See TX 2732, 2736, 2740; Trial Tr. 2527:23-2529:1 (Levinsohn). 27

²⁷ | ⁹⁸ Trial Tr. at 1840:10–12 (Worsham); *id.* at 1467:14–1468:4 (Hodge); *see also id.* at 1422:15–23 (Hodge).

agreed, the advisory Guidelines range is distorted by the artificial "volume of commerce" number and needs to be adjusted substantially on that ground alone.⁹⁹

3

1

2

4. Need to Avoid Unwarranted Sentence Disparities

Section 3553(a)(6) directs sentencing courts to consider "the need to avoid unwarranted 4 5 sentence disparities among defendants with similar records who have been found guilty of similar 6 conduct." 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(6); United States v. Saeteurn, 504 F.3d 1175, 1181 (9th Cir. 2007) 7 ("Congress's primary goal in enacting § 3553(a)(6) was to promote national uniformity in 8 sentencing.") (citations omitted). This factor relates to both the sentences imposed on Mr. 9 Lischewski's purported co-conspirators as well as others convicted of price fixing in the past. 10 Accordingly, the Court should consider the fact that, although the government alleged a multi-11 year conspiracy involving at least sixteen co-conspirators, Mr. Lischewski is the only person who 12 faced trial, and one of only four individual co-conspirators who were indicted.

13 The Court must avoid any significant disparity in the sentences between Mr. Lischewski 14 and the defendants who pled guilty-Mr. Cameron, Mr. Hodge, and Mr. Worsham-that would 15 unconstitutionally punish Mr. Lischewski for exercising his right to trial. The Ninth Circuit has 16 long recognized that a court may not punish a defendant for exercising this constitutional right. 17 See United States v. Stockwell, 472 F.2d 1186, 1187 (9th Cir. 1973). A disparity in the sentences 18 of co-conspirators, with those pleading guilty receiving more lenient sentences, can amount to the 19 unconstitutional punishment of a defendant who exercises his right to a trial. United States v. 20 *Capriola*, 537 F.2d 319, 320-21 (9th Cir. 1976) (a disparity in the sentences of co-conspirators, 21 with those pleading guilty receiving more lenient sentences, may amount to the unconstitutional 22 punishment of a defendant who insists on a trial); see also United States v. Medina-Cervantes, 23 690 F.2d 715, 716 (9th Cir. 1982) (vacating sentence where trial record gave "rise to the inference 24 that [defendant] was punished more severely because of his assertion of the right to trial by 25 jury"); United States v. Corona-Verbera, 509 F.3d 1105, 1120 (9th Cir. 2007) (noting that the 26 district court had imposed a sentence 76 months below the Guidelines range to account for the 27

²⁷
 ⁹⁹ See Mr. Lischewski's concurrently filed Objections to the Final Presentence Investigation Report at 3-11.

Case 3:18-cr-00203-EMC Document 663 Filed 05/13/20 Page 28 of 39

1

2

3

4

5

6

23

24

25

26

27

28

///

///

///

///

sentences given to co-conspirators, even though they, unlike the defendant, had pleaded guilty, accepted responsibility, and cooperated with the government). Indeed, as the Ninth Circuit has held, "[t]he need to avoid unwarranted sentencing disparities among codefendants involved in the same criminal activity has long been considered a legitimate sentencing concern." *United States v. Ray*, 930 F.2d 1368, 1373 (9th Cir. 1990). This sort of disparity is "one of the most important evils the guidelines were intended to cure." *Id.*

7 Although Mr. Cameron, Mr. Hodge, and Mr. Worsham have not yet been sentenced, the 8 Government has stipulated to substantially lower Guidelines ranges for each of these individuals 9 and they will likely seek non-custodial sentences. Additionally, Chicken of the Sea and its 10 current and former employees, including Shue Wing Chan, have received total amnesty from the 11 government and will not be prosecuted for the same conduct underlying the charges the brought 12 against Mr. Lischewski. Given the fact that many other individuals in the packaged seafood 13 industry engaged in price-fixing, including individuals who were much more culpable, and have 14 never been-and never will be-prosecuted, let alone imprisoned, Mr. Lischewski should be 15 given a non-custodial sentence to avoid unwarranted sentencing disparities.

16The Court also must consider sentences imposed in other price-fixing cases. As the PSR17itself recognized, this is *particularly* true in price-fixing cases where, as here, the Guidelines18recommendations are skewed dramatically by the volume of commerce calculation.¹⁰⁰ A review19of sentences in cases in which defendants were convicted at trial of Sherman Act violations, in20this District and elsewhere, reveals that courts routinely vary significantly downward from the21Guidelines in such cases. The following table collects representative sentences from these22Sherman Act cases:

¹⁰⁰ PSR ¶ 87. 22 CHRISTOPHER LISCHEWSKI'S SENTENCING MEMORANDUM AND MOTION FOR DOWNWARD DEPARTURE Case No. 3:18-cr-00203-EMC 1383723 1

Sentences in N.D. Cal/E.D. Cal. Sherman Act Cases

2	~			
3	Case	Defendant	Guidelines Range	Custodial Sentence
4	United States v. Marr, 4:14-cr-	Gregory Casorso	Offense Level of 19 (30 to 37 months)	18 months ¹⁰¹
5	00580-PJH (N.D.	Javier Sanchez	Offense Level of 20	21 months ¹⁰²
6	Cal.)		(33 to 41 months)	
7		Michael Marr	Offense Level of 23	20 1 103
8			(46 to 57 months)	30 months ¹⁰³
9		Shiu Lung Leung	Offense Level of 31	24 months ¹⁰⁴
10	United States v.	Sind Lung Loung	(108-135 months)	24 monuis
11	AU Optronics,	Hui Hsiung	Offense Level 32	36 months ¹⁰⁵
12	3:09-cr-00110-SI (N.D. Cal.)		(121-151 months)	
13		Hsuan Bin Chen	Offense Level 32 (121-151 months)	36 months ¹⁰⁶
14				
15		John Lee Berry, IIII	Offense level of 15 (8-24 months)	10 months ¹⁰⁷
16 17	United States v.	Robert Rasheed	Offense level of 17 (24-30 months)	14 months ¹⁰⁸
	<i>Florida</i> , 4:14-cr- 00582-JD (N.D.		· · ·	100
18 19	Cal.)	Refugio Diaz	Offense level of 15, with criminal history (24-30 months)	18 months ¹⁰⁹
20			· · · ·	
21				
22	¹⁰¹ Dkt. No. 377.			
23	¹⁰² Dkt. No. 379.			
	¹⁰³ Dkt. No. 437.			
24	¹⁰⁴ Dkt. No. 1147.			
25	¹⁰⁵ Dkt. No. 969. ¹⁰⁶ <i>Id</i> .			
26	¹⁰⁷ Dkt. No. 456.			
27	¹⁰⁸ Dkt. No. 457.			
28	¹⁰⁹ Dkt. No. 458.			
	CIDICT	ODLED I ICCHEWCEPPE C	23 ENTENCING MEMORANDUM	
	CHRISTO	FOR DOW	ENTENCING MEMORANDUM NWARD DEPARTURE	
	1383723	Case No	. 3:18-cr-00203-EMC	

Case 3:18-cr-00203-EMC Document 663 Filed 05/13/20 Page 30 of 39

	Case	Defendant	Guidelines Range	Custodial Sentence
		Alvin Florida, Jr.	Offense level of 21 (37-46 months)	21 months ¹¹⁰
	United States v.	Donald M. Parker	Offense Level 20 (33-41 months)	6 months ¹¹¹
	<i>Chandler</i> , 2:11- cr-00511-WBS (E.D. Cal.)	Andrew B. Katakis	Offense Level 23 (46-57 months)	10 months ¹¹²

7

In all of these price-fixing and bid-rigging cases, the court imposed a significantly below-8 guidelines sentence. In fact, the average custodial sentence was less than half of the low-end of 9 the Guidelines range, and for the price-fixing sentences, that number drops to roughly a quarter of 10 the low-end of the Guidelines. For example, in connection with the sentencing of top officials of 11 AU Optronics Corp. ("AUO") following guilty verdicts at trial, Judge Illston accepted the PSR's 12 volume-of-commerce calculation of \$2.34 billion.¹¹³ That figure is more than 2.3 times larger 13 than what the government even alleges here (and as set forth in Mr. Lischewski's concurrently-14 filed Objections to the PSR, he vigorously contests the government's volume of commerce 15 figure). In AUO, primarily as a result of this large volume of commerce, the Guidelines 16 17 recommended a prison sentence of 121 to 150 months for both AUO's CEO (Hsuan Bin Chen) and president of its American division (Hui Hsiung). Because even the low-end of that range 18 exceeded the statutory-maximum punishment under the Sherman Act, both the government and 19 PSR recommended these two defendants receive 120-month sentences (i.e., the statutory 20maximum). The government described that case as "the largest, most egregious antitrust 21 conspiracy that the Department of Justice has ever prosecuted." United States v. AU Optronics 22 Corp., No. 09-cr-00110-SI, Dkt. No. 948 at 61 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 11, 2012). Despite the Guidelines 23 24 ¹¹⁰ Dkt. No. 458. 25 ¹¹¹ Dkt. No. 689.

26 || ¹¹² Dkt. No. 664.

¹¹³ Notably, in the AU Optronics case, the government introduced substantial evidence, including the testimony of an expert witness, to substantiate its volume of commerce calculation. As this
 Court is aware, no such evidence was provided here.

Case 3:18-cr-00203-EMC Document 663 Filed 05/13/20 Page 31 of 39

and the 120-month sentencing recommendations, Judge Illston sentenced both defendants to 36
 months in prison.

Similarly, in *Marr, Florida*, and *Chandler*, three significant multi-defendant Sherman Act
cases, Judges Hamilton, Donato and Shubb, respectively, sentenced every defendant significantly
below the Guidelines range, including to sentences of 12 months and less.

6 Section 3553(a)(6) requires that Mr. Lischewski's sentence be significantly below the
7 range recommended by the Guidelines, like the Sherman Act defendants who have come before
8 him. Moreover, Mr. Lischewski's sentence must be far shorter than the ones imposed on the
9 defendants in the AU Optronics case, whose conduct inarguably affected vastly more commerce.

10

5. Deterrence

11 The Court must also weigh the potential deterrent effect of any sentence as to Mr. 12 Lischewski. Here, there is zero risk that Mr. Lischewski—a first-time offender—will be in this 13 position again, and that he will reoffend. As a practical matter, he will never again be in a 14 position to do so: he has been terminated by the company he ran for nearly two decades and is a 15 convicted felon. Cf. Gupta, 904 F. Supp. 2d at 355 ("As to specific deterrence, it seems obvious 16 that, having suffered such a blow to his reputation, [the defendant] is unlikely to repeat his 17 transgressions, and no further punishment is needed to achieve this result."). Even more 18 significantly, this case has exacted a significant emotional and physical toll, not only on Mr. 19 Lischewski, but on his family, as well. Mr. Lischewski has already felt the acute pain and 20 embarrassment of prosecution. He stands before this Court and his community with the stain of a 21 felony conviction. As courts in this circuit have recognized, a "felony conviction and the 22 conditions of probation constitute[] sufficient specific deterrence to prevent [defendants like Mr. 23 Lischewski] from engaging in similar conduct in the future." See United States v. Edwards, 595 24 F.3d 1005, 1011 (9th Cir. 2010). 25 Accordingly, a sentence of no more than 12 months of home confinement would

26 adequately serve as a deterrent to Mr. Lischewski.

- 27 28
- CHRISTOPHER LISCHEWSKI'S SENTENCING MEMORANDUM AND MOTION FOR DOWNWARD DEPARTURE Case No. 3:18-cr-00203-EMC

25

1

6. **Protection of the Public**

Finally, in determining the sentence to be imposed, the Court must consider the need to 2 "protect the public from further crimes of the defendant." 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(2)(C). As the 3 letters submitted in support of Mr. Lischewski show, he is not a criminal from whom the public 4 needs protection. But the Court need not rely on the letters written in support of Mr. Lischewski 5 on this issue. The PSR itself recognizes that "it is unlikely that he would be a risk to reoffend." 6 PSR, Sentencing Recommendation at 2. Indeed, he is a 59-year-old first-time offender who has 7 suffered significant shame and had his life turned upside down as a result of his trial and 8 conviction. As other courts have recognized, these facts make Mr. Lischewski far less likely to 9 ever again pose any sort of threat to society. See, e.g., United States v. Carmona-Rodriguez, No. 10 04-cr-667-RWS, 2005 WL 840464, at *4 (S.D.N.Y. Apr. 11, 2005) (imposing a below-Guidelines 11 sentence on a 55-year-old, first-time offender based on the fact that "defendants who [are] over 12 the age of forty . . . exhibit markedly lower rates of recidivism"); see also United States 13 Sentencing Commission, Measuring Recidivism: The Criminal History Computation Of The 14 Federal Sentencing Guidelines, at p. 28 (2004). 15

16

17

C. Mr. Lischewski Should Not Be Required to Serve a Custodial Sentence in a Federal Prison in the Midst of the Deadly Coronavirus Pandemic

18 Finally, though not a factor explicitly mentioned in Section 3553(a), Mr. Lischewski turns 19 to the global pandemic sweeping the globe and the impact it should have on his sentence. As the 20 PSR correctly recognizes, "a custodial sentence would be more punitive on [Mr. Lischewski] than 21 someone younger."¹¹⁴ COVID-19 has exponentially compounded this concern. In light of the 22 ongoing public health emergency, it would be inconsistent with Section 3553(a) for the Court to 23 sentence Mr. Lischewski, a 59-year old, first-time, non-violent offender, to a term of 24 incarceration in a setting where social distancing is impossible, and where Mr. Lischewski will be 25 exposed daily to a real and tangible threat to his life, when home detention is readily available as 26 an alternative.

- 27
- $28 || ^{114} PSR \P 87.$

CHRISTOPHER LISCHEWSKI'S SENTENCING MEMORANDUM AND MOTION FOR DOWNWARD DEPARTURE Case No. 3:18-cr-00203-EMC

26

Case 3:18-cr-00203-EMC Document 663 Filed 05/13/20 Page 33 of 39

1	Public health experts uniformly warn that prisons are particularly vulnerable to outbreaks
2	of COVID-19. ¹¹⁵ Incarcerated individuals "are at special risk of infection, given their living
3	situations," and "may also be less able to participate in proactive measures to keep themselves
4	safe;" "infection control is challenging in these settings." ¹¹⁶ Healthcare experts emphasized such
5	concerns recently in a joint letter to President Trump:
6	More than 221,000 people are incarcerated in federal prisons These people are housed cheek-by-jowl, in tightly-packed and poorly-ventilated dormitories; they
7	share toilets, showers, and sinks; they wash their bedsheets and clothes infrequently;
8	and they often lack access to basic personal hygiene items. These facilities lack the ability to separate sick people from well people and to quarantine those who have
9	been exposed. They are tinderboxes, ready to explode and endanger our entire country. Adequate medical care is hard to provide, even without COVID-19. ¹¹⁷
10	country. Adequate incurear care is hard to provide, even without COVID-17.
11	"Jails and prisons, which are generally 'congregate settings,' simply do not have the infrastructure
12	to 'contain'" the spread of COVID-19." ¹¹⁸
13	As a result, authorities in the United States have begun to embrace alternatives to
14	incarceration to help stem the spread of the virus among inmates and prison personnel. On March
15	26, 2020, Attorney General William Barr issued a memorandum to the Director of the Bureau of
16	Prisons (BOP) to express that "at-risk inmates who are non-violent and pose minimal likelihood
17	of recidivism" would be "safer serving their sentences in home confinement rather than in BOP
18	
19	
20	¹¹⁵ For example, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention ("CDC") have warned COVID-
21	19 poses a heightened risk to those incarcerated in jails and prisons. "Interim Guidance on Mgmt. of Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) in Correctional and Detention Facilities," Ctrs. for
22	Disease Control and Prevention 2 (Mar. 23, 2020), available at <u>https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/downloads/guidance-correctional-detention.pdf</u> .
23	
24	¹¹⁶ "Achieving A Fair And Effective COVID-19 Response: An Open Letter to Vice-President Mike Pence, and Other Federal, State, and Local Leaders from Public Health and Legal Experts in the
25	United States," Yale.edu (Mar. 2, 2020), available at https://bit.ly/2W9V6oS.
26	¹¹⁷ "COVID 19 Public Health Expert Letter to President Trump," Scribd.com (Mar. 27, 2020), available at <u>https://www.scribd.com/document/454182211/COVID-19-Public-Health-Expert-</u> Letter to Trump
27	Letter-to-Trump. ¹¹⁸ Premal Dharia, "The Coronavirus Could Spark a Humanitarian Disaster in Jails and Prisons,"
28	Slate.com (Mar. 11, 2020), available at <u>https://bit.ly/3921Jwi</u> . 27
	CHRISTOPHER LISCHEWSKI'S SENTENCING MEMORANDUM AND MOTION FOR DOWNWARD DEPARTURE
	Case No. 3:18-cr-00203-EMC
1	

1	facilities." ¹¹⁹ He advised that the BOP should "utilize home confinement, where appropriate, to
2	protect the health and safety of BOP personnel and the people in our custody," and he provided
3	criteria for the BOP to use in making release determinations. Those criteria included, "[t]he age
4	and vulnerability of the inmate to COVID-19"; "[t]he security level of the facility currently
5	holding the inmate, with priority given to inmates residing in low and minimum security
6	facilities"; "[t]he inmate's score under [the BOP's risk assessment tool used to predict
7	recidivism]" ¹²⁰ ; "[w]hether the inmate has a demonstrated and verifiable re-entry plan," including
8	whether he would face a lower risk of contracting COVID-19 upon release than he would face in
9	his BOP facility; and "[t]he inmate's crime of conviction, and assessment of the danger posed by
10	the inmate to the community." ¹²¹
11	On April 3, 2020, Attorney General Barr released a second memorandum to the BOP, in
12	which he advised that "emergency conditions [due to COVID-19] are materially affecting the
13	functioning of the [BOP]" and ordered the BOP to "move with dispatch" to expand the group of
14	prisoners eligible for release into home confinement. ¹²² The BOP has since "began immediately
15	reviewing all inmates who have COVID-19 risk factors, as described by the CDC, to determine
16	which inmates are suitable for home confinement." ¹²³ As of May 12, 2020, the BOP had released
17	
18	
19	
20	¹¹⁹ " <i>Memorandum for Director of Bureau Prisons</i> ," Office of the Attorney General (Mar. 26, 2020), available at <u>https://www.justice.gov/file/1262731/download</u> ; <i>see also</i> Sarah Lynch, "U.S.
21	Attorney General Seeks to Expand Home Confinement Option as Coronavirus Spreads in Prisons," Reuters (Mar. 26, 2020), available at <u>https://reut.rs/2xzF7pQ</u> .
22	¹²⁰ Indeed, under the BOP's risk assessment tool, Mr. Lischewski falls into the "minimum" risk
23	category and would be eligible for early release. <i>See "Prisoner Assessment Toll Targeting Estimated Risk and Needs (PATTERN) Interactive Tool,"</i> Urban.org (Sept. 4, 2019), available at https://apps.urban.org/features/risk-assessment/.
24	¹²¹ "Memorandum for Director of Bureau Prisons," Office of the Attorney General (Mar. 26,
25	2020), available at <u>https://www.justice.gov/file/1262731/download</u> . ¹²² " <i>Memorandum for Director of Bureau of Prisons</i> ," Office of the Attorney General (Apr. 3,
26	2020), available at <u>https://www.justice.gov/file/1266661/download</u> .
27	¹²³ "Frequently Asked Questions Regarding Potential Inmate Home Confinement in Response to the COVID-19 Pandemic," Bureau of Prisons (May 2, 2020), available at
28	https://www.bop.gov/coronavirus/faq.jsp.
	28 CHRISTOPHER LISCHEWSKI'S SENTENCING MEMORANDUM AND MOTION
	FOR DOWNWARD DEPARTURE Case No. 3:18-cr-00203-EMC

2,431 prisoners from BOP custody pursuant to these directives.¹²⁴

1

2	Federal courts across the country have recognized the need to protect inmates from these
3	dangerous prison conditions, and thus have started to release defendants who, for example, meet
4	the BOP criteria. See, e.g., United States v. Harris, No. 19-cr-00356-RDM, Dkt. No. 35 (D.D.C.
5	Mar. 26, 2020) (observing that "incarcerating Defendant while the current COVID-19 crisis
6	continues to expand poses a far greater risk to community safety than the risk posed by
7	Defendant's release to home confinement"); Jimenez v. Wolf, No. 18-cv-10225-MLW, Dkt. No.
8	507-1 (D. Mass. Mar. 26, 2020) (ordering release of immigrant detainee in the midst of
9	the COVID-19 pandemic and noting that "being in a jail enhances risk" and that in jail "social
10	distancing is difficult or impossible"); United States v. Stephens, No. 15-cr-95-AJN, 2020 WL
11	1295155, at *2 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 19, 2020) (releasing defendant in light of "the unprecedented and
12	extraordinarily dangerous nature of the COVID-19 pandemic"); Xochihua-James v. Barr, 798 F.
13	App'x 52 (9th Cir. 2020) (sua sponte releasing detainee from immigration detention "in light of
14	the rapidly escalating public health crisis"); United States v. Perez, No. 19-cr-297-PAE, 2020 WL
15	1329225 at *1 (S.D.N.Y. Mar. 19, 2020) (releasing defendant due to the "heightened risk of
16	dangerous complications should he contract COVID-19"); United States v. Huneeus, No. 19-cr-
17	10117-IT, Dkt. No. 642 (D. Mass. Mar. 17, 2020) (granting release based on "extraordinary and
18	compelling reasons").
19	
20	
21	
22	¹²⁴ See "COVID-19 Home Confinement Information," BOP.gov (last accessed May 12, 2020), available at <u>https://www.bop.gov/coronavirus/</u> . Several states have taken even more aggressive
23	approaches. For instance, the Chief Justice of Ohio's Supreme Court, along with the state's governor, recommended that judges across the state release at-risk inmates and pursue
24	alternatives to confinement at sentencing. "Release Ohio Jail Inmates Vulnerable to Coronavirus, Chief Justice Urges," WLWT (Mar. 19, 2020), available at
25	https://www.wlwt.com/article/release-ohio-jail-inmates-vulnerable-to-coronavirus-chief-justice- urges/31788560. New Jersey has released more than 1,000 inmates statewide as part of a similar
26	effort, and three of the largest counties in the nation have followed suit, with Los Angeles County, Santa Clara County, and Harris County (Houston) taking unprecedented steps to reduce
27	their jail populations. Ned Parker, Linda So, Brad Heath, & Grant Smith, "Spread of Coronavirus Accelerates in U.S. Jails and Prisons," Reuters (Mar. 28, 2020), available at
28	https://reut.rs/2UudMyw.
	29 CHRISTOPHER LISCHEWSKI'S SENTENCING MEMORANDUM AND MOTION FOR DOWNWARD DEPARTURE
	Case No. 3:18-cr-00203-EMC

Yet, in spite of these efforts, the BOP is experiencing a COVID-19 outbreak. On March 21, 2020, the BOP was reporting only three confirmed cases of COVID-19.¹²⁵ As of May 12, the BOP reported that it had 3,379 prisoners and 250 staff members with confirmed positive test results for COVID-19, and 49 inmate deaths due to the disease.¹²⁶ The percentage increase of confirmed COVID-19 cases within BOP facilities has continued to rise at an alarming rate. And the cases reported by the BOP certainly underestimate the true number of infections due to limited testing, delays in reporting, and the presence of asymptomatic inmates and staff.¹²⁷

8 Mr. Lischewski is *exactly* the type of individual that the BOP is releasing to home 9 detention. Indeed, Mr. Lischewski meets every one of the Attorney General's criteria for release: 10 he will be 60-years-old in September and thus in the category of "more vulnerable" individuals; 11 he has no risk of recidivism; he would be released to his home, where he would be at a significantly lower risk of contracting COVID-19 than in a prison; and he poses no danger to any 12 other person or the community.¹²⁸ To compel Mr. Lischewski to serve a sentence in a federal 13 14 prison in the midst of this pandemic—during a time when the Attorney General, the BOP, and 15 courts across the country are working to release prisoners with similar risk profiles—would not 16 only unnecessarily endanger Mr. Lischewski's life, but also undermine these efforts to reduce the 17 prison population. As another judge in this District recently observed, "[b]y now it almost goes 18 ¹²⁵ "First Federal Inmate Tests Positive for Coronavirus," AP Reports, Bloomberg (Mar. 21, 19 2020), available at https://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2020-03-21/ap-exclusive-1st-fedinmate-tests-positive-for-coronavirus 20 ¹²⁶ See "COVID-19 Cases," BOP.gov (last accessed May 12, 2020), available at https://www.bop.gov/coronavirus/. To give just one example, on May 9,2020, inmate Scott 21 Douglas Cutting, Sr., who was sentenced to a 26-month sentence for Aiding and Assisting in the Preparation of False Tax Returns, died as a result of complications from COVID-19. He had 22 been imprisoned at the Federal Correctional Institution Terminal Island, in San Pedro, California. See "Calif. Man Imprisoned For Tax Fraud Dies Of COVID-19," Law 360 (May 11, 2020), 23 available at https://www.law360.com/tax-authority/articles/1272365/calif-man-imprisoned-fortax-fraud-dies-of-covid-19. 24 Indeed, non-BOP correctional facilities have seen significantly higher numbers of COVID-19 25 cases, as well as inmate deaths. See, e.g., "70% of Texas Prisoners Tested Have the Coronavirus. Experts Say it's Time for More Testing and Fewer Inmates," The Texas Tribune (May 4, 2020), 26 available at https://www.texastribune.org/2020/05/04/coronavirus-texas-prisons-testing/. ¹²⁸ Congress, too, has recognized the "general appropriateness" of permitting a defendant to serve 27 "a sentence other than imprisonment in cases in which the defendant is a first offender who has not been convicted of a crime of violence or an otherwise serious offense." 28 U.S.C. § 994(j). 28 30 CHRISTOPHER LISCHEWSKI'S SENTENCING MEMORANDUM AND MOTION FOR DOWNWARD DEPARTURE

Case No. 3:18-cr-00203-EMC

Case 3:18-cr-00203-EMC Document 663 Filed 05/13/20 Page 37 of 39

without saying that we should not be adding to the prison population during the COVID-19 pandemic if it can be avoided." *See United States v. Garlock*, No. 18-cr-00418-VC, 2020 WL 1439980, at *1 (N.D. Cal. Mar. 25, 2020).

Further, the risk to Mr. Lischewski cannot be resolved by postponing a custodial sentence. Public health experts confirm that the risks posed by COVID-19 will not subside soon. CDC director Dr. Robert Redfield has stated that the CDC is preparing "most likely, for another wave that we would anticipate in the late fall, early winter where there will still be a substantial portion of Americans that are susceptible."¹²⁹ Even without a second wave, the virus will persist as a threat to public health until a vaccine is made available, which could take 12-18 months, if not significantly longer.¹³⁰

11 To prevent Mr. Lischewski from moving forward with his life for an indefinite period of 12 time, while forcing him to live with the burden of his looming imprisonment, would impose a 13 punishment separate and apart from any sentence. Mr. Lischewski wants to begin serving his 14 sentence, so that he can begin rebuilding his life and working to support his family. Just as Mr. 15 Lischewski had the right to be sentenced "without unnecessary delay" pursuant to Federal Rule of 16 Criminal Procedure 32(b), so too should he be able to begin serving his sentence within a 17 reasonable period of time and putting the experience of this criminal case behind him. Cf. United 18 States v. Carpenter, 781 F.3d 599, 610 (1st Cir. 2015) ("[W]e see no reason to depart from the 19 majority view that assumes that the Sixth Amendment also protects against post-trial delay."). 20 While COVID-19 spreads through the country's prisons, any term of incarceration would 21 be inconsistent with Section 3553. Indeed, Section 3553(a)(3) requires consideration of the "kinds of sentences available," including alternatives to imprisonment. Taking into account the 22 23 need for just punishment, 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(2)(A), the need to protect the public, 18 U.S.C. § 24 ¹²⁹ See Sam Whitehead "CDC Director on Models for the Months to Come: 'This Virus Is Going to be with Us," NPR (Mar. 31, 2020), available at 25 https://www.npr.org/sections/healthshots/2020/03/31/824155179/cdc-director-on-models-for-themonths-to-come-this-virus-isgoing-to-be-with-us. 26 See Noah Higgins-Dunn, "White House Advisor Fauci Says Coronavirus Vaccine Trial is on Target and Will Be 'Ultimate Game Changer," CNBC (Apr. 1, 2020), available at 27 https://www.cnbc.com/2020/04/01/white-house-advisor-fauci-says-coronavirus-vaccine-trial-ison-target-and-will-be-ultimate-game-changer.html. 28 31 CHRISTOPHER LISCHEWSKI'S SENTENCING MEMORANDUM AND MOTION FOR DOWNWARD DEPARTURE Case No. 3:18-cr-00203-EMC

1

2

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

3553(a)(2)(C), and the need to provide necessary medical care, 18 U.S.C. § 3553(a)(2)(D), Mr. Lischewski respectfully requests that this Court sentence him to a period of 12 months of home confinement.

IV. CONCLUSION

For the foregoing reasons, Mr. Lischewski respectfully requests that the Court impose a sentence of no more than 12 months' home confinement. Such a sentence would be sufficient, but not greater than necessary, given the facts of this case and Mr. Lischewski's character. It would appropriately reflect the seriousness of the offense, take into account Mr. Lischewski's complete lack of criminal history and provide just punishment and deterrence.

If the Court determines that, in spite of the present health crisis, a term of imprisonment is
necessary, Mr. Lischewski requests that the Court make the following recommendation for BOP
designation and include this language in the Judgment and Commitment Order:

The Court strongly recommends to the Bureau of Prisons that Defendant Christopher Lischewski be designated to the satellite minimum-security prison camps at USP Lompoc in California, provided the COVID-19 virus has not infected the camp locations. Alternatively, if the camps at USP Lompoc are at capacity or infected with COVID-19, Mr. Lischewski should be designated to the satellite minimumsecurity prison camp at FCI Mendota in California, provided the COVID-19 virus has not infected the camp location.

22 Mr. Lischewski further requests that the Court permit him to self-surrender if he is to be incarcerated. Mr. Lischewski is a "good candidate for voluntary surrender," having "kept all 23 24 /// 25 /// 26 /// 27 /// 28 /// 32 CHRISTOPHER LISCHEWSKI'S SENTENCING MEMORANDUM AND MOTION FOR DOWNWARD DEPARTURE Case No. 3:18-cr-00203-EMC 1383723

	Case 3:18-cr-00203-EMC Document 663 Filed 05/13/20 Page 39 of 39
1	court appearances, complied with conditions of pretrial release, and is not viewed as a flight risk
2	or a danger to the community." PSR, Sentencing Recommendation at 2.
3	Respectfully submitted,
4	Dated: May 13, 2020KEKER, VAN NEST & PETERS LLP
5	
6	By: <u>/s/ Elliot R. Peters</u> ELLIOT R. PETERS
7 8	CHRISTOPHER C. KEARNEY ELIZABETH K. MCCLOSKEY NICHOLAS S. GOLDBERG
9	Attorneys for Defendant
10	Attorneys for Defendant CHRISTOPHER LISCHEWSKI
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	33
	CHRISTOPHER LISCHEWSKI'S SENTENCING MEMORANDUM AND MOTION FOR DOWNWARD DEPARTURE
	1383723 Case No. 3:18-cr-00203-EMC