1	TROUTMAN PEPPER	
2	HAMILTON SANDERS LLP Ben Lewis Wagner,* Bar No. 243594	
3	ben.wagner@troutman.com Christopher Franich, Bar No. 285720	
4	chris.franich@troutman.com 11682 El Camino Real, Suite 400	
5	San Diego, CA 92130-2092 Telephone: 858.509.6000	
6	Facsimile: 858.509.6040 *Lead Counsel	
7	TROUTMAN PEPPER	
8	Pro hac vice forthcoming	
9	robert.schaffer@troutman.com	
10	New York, NY 10022 Telephone: 212 704 6000	
11	Facsimile: 212.704.6288	
12	Attorneys for Plaintiff Allele Biotechnology and Pharmaceuti	cals. Inc.
13	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
14	SOUTHERN DIST	FRICT OF CALIFORNIA
15		
16	Allele Biotechnology and Pharmaceuticals, Inc., a California	Case No. <b>20CV1958 GPC AHG</b>
17	corporation	COMPLAINT FOR PATENT INFRINGEMENT
18	Plaintiff,	
19	V.	JURY TRIAL DEMAND
20	Pfizer, Inc., a Delaware corporation; BioNTech SE, a German company;	<u></u>
21	BioNTech US, Inc., a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1-30	
22	Defendants.	
23		
24	Plaintiff Allele Biotechnology a	and Pharmaceuticals, Inc. (hereafter "Allele")
25	brings this Complaint for monetary and	d declaratory relief against Defendants Pfizer,
26	Inc., a Delaware corporation ("Pfizer	"), BioNTech SE, a German company, and
27	BioNTech US, Inc., a Delaware con	npany (collectively "BioNTech") (and each
28		

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

Defendant collectively "Defendants") to address Defendants' infringement of
 Allele's patent related to Defendants' COVID-19 vaccine, BNT162.

1. This action arises under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C.
§ 1 *et seq.*, based on Defendants' infringement of United States Patent No.
10,221,221 ("the '221 Patent").

## **INTRODUCTION**

2. Prior to the current COVID-19 crisis, Allele had already developed the revolutionary mNeonGreen. mNeonGreen belongs to Allele, as does the '221 Patent covering the exclusive right to use mNeonGreen. mNeonGreen is an artificial fluorescent that Allele painstakingly developed over many years through the genius of its inventors. It is the world's brightest monomeric fluorescent protein, dubbed by third party industry veterans as the "King of fluorescent proteins." A prominent university used mNeonGreen to make the "gold standard" COVID-19 assay for effectively testing against vaccine candidates, which Pfizer and BioNTech readily took for their own unauthorized commercial testing and development.

3. The results included selection of their BNT162 MRNA-based COVID-16 19 vaccine candidate currently in a Phase 3 trial. What's more, mNeonGreen has 17 been used throughout Defendants' COVID-19 vaccine trials, right up to the present. 18 Only through use of mNeonGreen were Defendants able to develop and test the 19 20 BNT162 vaccine candidate at lightspeed making them first to market, earning them 21 an immediate \$445 million in grants and over \$4 billion in sales of the vaccine todate. All of this was simply the downstream benefit that Defendants enjoyed (and 22 presumably the world will enjoy from the vaccine) from their choice to use Allele's 23 mNeonGreen. 24

4. Allele's breakthrough in fluorescent protein technology is
mNeonGreen, the latest in its history of innovation. Since 1999, Allele has been a
leader in developing technology and research tools for clinical and therapeutic uses.
Among other achievements, Allele's advancements have been directed to RNA

interference, Fluorescent Proteins, Induced Pluripotent Stem Cells (iPSCs), Genome
 Editing, and camelid derived Single Domain Antibodies. More recently since
 January of 2020, Allele has been actively engaged in combating COVID-19,
 initiating impactful diagnostic and therapeutic platforms premised on speed,
 accuracy, and sensitivity.

5. This lawsuit follows because Defendants made the deliberate and calculated decision to infringe, rather than even so much as pick up the phone and seek to obtain the rights to use Allele's valuable intellectual property.

### JURISDICTION AND VENUE

6. This is an action for patent infringement arising under the patent laws of the United States, 35 U.S.C. § 271.

7. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over this action under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1332 and 1338(a).

8. This Court has personal jurisdiction over Defendants because 14 Defendants regularly conduct business within, and specifically direct their business 15 16 activities to, the State of California and the Southern District of California ("this District"). Defendants have purposefully availed themselves of the opportunity to 17 conduct business in this state through systematic and continuous dealings in this state. 18 Defendants' actions that give rise to personal jurisdiction include, but are not limited 19 to the following: making and using infringing products in this State and in this 20 21 District, knowing and intending that the infringing products would be used in this 22 District, deriving substantial revenue from the use of infringing products within this District, and expecting their infringing actions to have consequences in this District. 23

24 9. Venue is proper as to BioNTech SE in this judicial district pursuant to,
25 *inter alia*, 28 U.S.C. § 1391(c)(3).

26 10. Venue also is proper as to Defendants under 28 U.S.C. § 1400(b). Each
27 Defendant has committed, induced others to commit, or contributed to others
28 committing, acts of infringement in this District, including by conducting an

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

5 6 7 8 9 10 TROUTMAN PEPPER HAMILTON SANDERS LLP 11682 EL CAMINO REAL 11 3AN DIEGO, CA 92130-2092 12 13 14

15

1

2

3

4

international trial of the vaccine utilizing mNeonGreen with over 30,000 participants and study sites including in San Diego County, Clinical Study Identifier NCT04368728. Pfizer has a regular and established place of business in La Jolla, California which on information and belief is a 25-acre campus with over half a million square feet of buildings and "one of the largest concentrations of academic and biotechnology institutions in the world." **BioNTech** has a regular and established place of business at 11535 Sorrento Valley Rd #400, San Diego CA, namely its 15,000 square foot US laboratory, research and development facility, which it identified as of January 2020 as its U.S. research and development hub.

# **THE PARTIES**

11. Allele is a California corporation with its principal place of business being, 6404 Nancy Ridge Drive, San Diego, California 92121.

12. Allele was founded in 1999 and is recognized as a leading developer of technology for clinical and therapeutic use, such as research tools for drug candidates in vaccine trials as in the current race for the cure to COVID-19.

16 13. Defendant Pfizer is a company organized and existing under the laws of the State of Delaware with its principal place of business at 235 East 42nd Street, 17 New York, NY 10017. 18

14. Defendant BioNTech SE, is a company organized and existing under 19 20 the laws of Germany, traded in the United States on NASDAQ, with its principal place of business located in An der Goldgrube 12 Mainz, 55131 Germany. Defendant 21 BioNTech US, Inc. is a company organized and existing under the laws of the State 22 23 of Delaware with on information and belief its principal place of business located in Cambridge, Massachusetts. 24

The true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate, associate, 25 15. 26 or otherwise, of defendants DOES 1 through 30, inclusive, are unknown to Allele, 27 who therefore sues said defendants by such fictitious names. Allele will amend this Complaint to state their true names and capacities when the same is ascertained. 28

Allele is informed and believes that at all times herein mentioned, each defendant
named herein was the agent of each of the remaining defendants and, in doing the
things herein alleged, was acting within the course and scope of said agency. Any
reference in this Complaint to the actions or inactions of any defendant, whether such
reference is made to such defendant by specific name or otherwise, is also a reference
to the actions or inactions of DOES 1 through 30, inclusive.

16. Defendant Pfizer is, among other things, engaged with BioNTech in the development of their BNT162 MRNA-based vaccine candidate, which was developed using Allele's mNeonGreen. The vaccine candidate is currently part of an ongoing Phase 3 trial that, on information and belief, has already surpassed enrollment of 30,000 participants as of September 2020. Based on promising results (premised on Defendants' use of mNeonGreen, which itself does not require government approval for clinical use), the U.S. government and U.S. Department of Health and Human Services have ordered up to 600,000,000 doses of their vaccine candidate.

At all times mentioned herein, defendants, and each of them, were the 16 17. agents, servants, co-conspirators, or employees of one another, and the acts and 17 omissions herein alleged were done or suffered by them, acting individually and 18 through or by their alleged capacity, within the scope of their authority. Each of the 19 20 defendants aided and abetted and rendered substantial assistance in the accomplishment of the acts complained of herein. In taking the actions, as 21 particularized herein, to aid and abet and substantially assist in the commission of the 22 misconduct complained of, each defendant acted with an awareness of his, her or its 23 primary wrongdoing and realized that his, her or its conduct would substantially 24 assist in the accomplishment of that misconduct and was aware of his, her or its 25 26 overall contribution to, and furtherance of the conspiracy, common enterprise, and common course of conduct. Defendants' acts of aiding and abetting included, inter 27 alia, all of the acts each defendant is alleged to have committed in furtherance of the 28

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

conspiracy, common enterprise, and common course of conduct complained of herein.

# **FACTS**

#### Background

18. Messrs. Nathan C. Shaner, Gerard G. Lambert, Yuhui Ni, and Jiwu Wang are joint inventors (collectively "Inventors") of the '221 Patent, entitled "Monomeric yellow-green fluorescent protein from cephalochordate" and which issued on March 5, 2019. A true and correct copy of the '221 Patent is attached hereto as Exhibit 1.

19. Although the invention(s) set forth in the '221 Patent are best described by its claims, the '221 Patent is generally directed to isolated nucleic acid sequences encoding a monomeric green/yellow fluorescent proteins, and fragments and derivatives thereof.

14 20. On April 28, 2014, the Inventors assigned the yet-to-be-issued '221
15 Patent to Allele. A true and correct copy of the assignment is attached hereto as
16 Exhibit 2.

21. The claims of the '221 Patent encompass Allele's mNeonGreen product, 17 18 which is a fluorescent protein used as a biological tag in genetic engineering work. mNeonGreen is a monomeric protein that was derived from a tetrameric wild-type 19 20 yellow-green fluorescent protein isolated from the cephalochordate *Branchiostoma lanceolatum* (a "lanYFP"). In nature, two lanYFP monomers form a dimer and two 21 dimers form an "obligate" (mandatory) tetramer. When exposed to certain 22 wavelengths of light, the lanYFP tetramer will brightly fluoresce. However, the 23 24 tetramer is large and often unsuitable as a fluorescent tag. The engineered mNeonGreen monomer is among the brightest and most stable monomeric 25 26 fluorescent reporter proteins currently known.

27 22. The resulting mNeonGreen, synthetic lanYFP fluorescent protein
28 described and claimed in the '221 Patent is widely recognized as a breakthrough, is

1 used throughout the industry, and has been called the "King of fluorescent proteins" by Professor Amy Palmer, at the University of Colorado Boulder. Applications 2 involving infectious viruses, such as COVID-19 vaccine work, are high 3 concentration environments perfectly suited for mNeonGreen, as broadly recognized. 4 See, Xie, et al, Cell Host & Microbe 27, 841-848 (May 13, 2020) and Muruato, et al., 5 6 bioRxiv preprint: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.05.21.109546 (May 22, 2020), true and correct copies of each attached hereto as Exhibit 3 (hereafter "Cell Host Article") 7 and Exhibit 4, respectively. 8

9 23. The commercial protein of mNeonGreen corresponds to SEQ ID NO:1
10 of the patent (claims 1, 3, 4 and 5). Allele used the nucleic acid of SEQ ID NO:2
11 (claim 3) to express this protein.

24. In practice, mNeonGreen facilitates quick, targeted, and precise receptor 12 research, including for potential therapeutics to treat COVID-19. The fluorescent-13 tagged therapeutic proteins associated with mNeonGreen are constructed to 14 determine receptor expression and dynamics with therapeutic outcome for high-15 16 throughput systems, as in the present global race for a vaccine to COVID-19. A key hurdle in developing a vaccine for infectious diseases, such as the novel coronavirus 17 of COVID-19, is determining therapeutic outcome of potential drug candidates, 18 something which mNeonGreen readily solves. 19

20 25. Where there is a race against time, weaker fluorescent alternatives are 21 simply no option. mNeonGreen was the critical link in Defendants' COVID-19 22 vaccine development and its continued trial success. This research tool is even more 23 critical in a global pandemic where the need for a vaccine to save lives has never 24 been more crucial. While Defendants were required to obtain a commercial license 25 from Allele, Defendants never sought a license with Allele or even contacted them.

110102346

26

27

1	Accused Products
2	26. BioNTech adopted the technology protected by the '221 Patent in its
3	COVID-19 vaccine trial. See, SEC Form 6K, a true and correct copy attached hereto
4	as Exhibit 5.
5	27. At page 21 of Form 6K shown in Exhibit 5 Page 73, BioNTech states,
6	"[t]he SARS-CoV-2 neutralization assay used a previously described strain of SARS-
7	CoV-2 (USA_WA1/2020) that had been rescued by reverse genetics and engineered
8	by the <b>insertion of an mNeonGreen (mNG) gene</b> into open reading frame 7 of the
9	viral genome." Stated differently, the COVID-19 vaccine of Defendants' COVID-19
10	vaccine trial was developed by Defendants using a DNA construct with a monomeric
11	mNeonGreen protein inserted into the recombinant and infectious SARS-COV2-19
12	virus.
13	28. Form 6K includes a copy of Mulligan et al., Phase 1/2 Study to
14	Describe the Safety and Immunogenicity of a COVID-19 RNA Vaccine Candidate
15	(BNT162b1) in Adults 18 to 55 Years of Age: Interim Report ("Mulligan"), a
16	medRxiv preprint made available on July 1, 2020 at
17	https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.06.30.20142570.
18	29. Mulligan contains additional information about BioNTech's work. See
19	Exhibit 5 Page 62 (Exhibit 99.2, Mulligan p.1). For example, Mulligan reported
20	dose-dependent titers of neutralizing antibodies in human subjects with safe (mild to
21	moderate) adverse reactions:
22	The SARS-CoV-2 neutralization assay used a previously
23	had been rescued by reverse genetics and engineered by
24	reading frame 7 of the viral genome.[20] This reporter
25	indistinguishable growth curves from wild-type virus.
26	grown in Vero E6 cells as previously described.[20]
27	Exhibit 5 Page 73 (at Exhibit 99.2, page 12).
28	

30. In other words, BioNTech admits in Exhibit 5 that it used (and continues using in its trials) the DNA construct described in the Cell Host Article to develop and test its SARS-CoV2 vaccine.

31. Defendants have used and continue using the infringing DNA construct described in the Cell Host Article.

32. In addition, scientists from the University of Texas Medical Branch (UTMB), who provides the DNA construct to Defendants, reported an "urgently needed ... fluorescent-based SARS-CoV-2 neutralization assay" with "gold standard" results. *See* Exhibit 4 Page 40). The assay of Exhibit 4 "was built on a stable mNeonGreen SARS-CoV-2" reporter virus (*Id.*, at 41) (citing the Cell Host Article) and is "superior ... because it measures functional SARSCoV-2 neutralizing activity. Notably, the mNeonGreen reporter assay offers a rapid, high throughput platform to test COVID-19 patient sera not previously available." *Id.*, at 43-44.

33. Defendants have used and continue using this infringing assay.

34. The Cell Host Article also evidences that UTMB made a "reverse 15 16 genetics system" for SARS-CoV2 by assembling seven cDNA fragments into a fullgenome cDNA of the virus. Three silent mutations were made to the genome as 17 biological markers (A7486T, T7489A, and T18060C), to distinguish the recombinant 18 virus from wild-type SARS-CoV2. See Cell Host Article at Exhibit 3 at 29, 31 (842, 19 20 Fig. 2E). RNA transcribed from this cDNA produced a highly infectious virus that, 21 according to UTMB, "recapitulates the replication kinetics of the original clinical isolate." Id., at 29. 22

23

24

25

26

27

28

35. mNeonGreen was incorporated into this cDNA to make a reporter virus:

We generated a stable mNeonGreen SARS-CoV-2 (icSARS-CoV-2-mNG) by introducing this reporter gene into ORF7 of the viral genome. icSARS-CoV-2-mNG was successfully used to evaluate the antiviral activities of interferon (IFN). Collectively, the reverse genetic system and reporter virus provide key reagents to study SARS-CoV-2 and develop countermeasures.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Cell Host Article at Exhibit 3 at 28 (841 (Summary)), Exhibit 3 at 30, 32 (843, Fig. 3A).

36. The "countermeasures" referenced in the Cell Host Article by UTMB include "generation of live-attenuated vaccine candidates to respond to emerging virus outbreaks", including "**mNeonGreen virus** [] **be[ing] reliably used** to study viral replication and pathogenesis as well as to develop vaccines and antiviral drugs." *Id.* at 29, 30.

8 37. The Key Resources Table of the Cell Host Article lists "<u>synthesized</u>
9 <u>mNeonGreen gene (sequence optimized)</u>" and refers to a publication from 2013 by
10 the Inventors which corresponds to the '221 Patent. See, Cell Host Article at e1, e2.

38. mNeonGreen in UTMB's construct is identical to SEQ ID NO:1 of the '221 patent.

39. Mulligan of Exhibit 5 also states, "BioNTech is the Sponsor of the 13 study" and that "Pfizer was responsible for the design, data collection, data analysis, 14 data interpretation, and writing of the report," confirming Defendants' intimate 15 involvement in every aspect of the study. See Exhibits 6, 7, and 8 with true and 16 correct copies of each attached hereto which confirm mNeonGreen's continued use 17 by Defendants in their development of a COVID-19 vaccine. Defendants directly 18 used the invention patented in the '221 Patent, and for which Defendants have since 19 20 obtained hefty government grants and sales. Exhibit 5 Page 66 (at Exhibit 99.2 p. 5).

40. A protein made using the DNA construct used by Defendants has "at
least one" of the mutations in claim 1, at least three of the mutations in claim 4, at
least 95% sequence identity according to claims 1, 2, and 4; has at least 97% sequence
identity according to claim 5, and has a monomer according to claim 2.

41. Therefore, the mNeonGreen protein used by Defendants throughout
their COVID-19 vaccine trial literally infringes at least claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 of the
'221 Patent.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

11

12

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

42. At no time has Allele granted Defendants authorization, license, or
 permission to practice the inventions claimed in the '221 Patent.

3 43. Because of this continued infringement, Defendants were able to
4 identify their COVID-19 vaccine candidate, BNT162, as the most promising
5 candidate to commercialize.

## **Defendants' Willful Infringement**

44. The '221 Patent was issued by the United States Patent and Trademark Office. As an issued patent, the '221 Patent has a presumption of validity per 35 U.S.C. § 282.

45. At least claims 1, 2, 4 and 5 of the '221 Patent have all of their limitations met by the Accused Product, which thus infringes the '221 Patent.

46. Since at least as early as May 2020, Defendants have been aware of the '221 Patent, and have had actual knowledge of the '221 Patent and the obvious risk of infringement by continued use of mNeonGreen throughout their development of their COVID-19 vaccine candidate in the United States.

47. Despite their knowledge of the obvious risk of infringement of the '221
Patent, Defendants since at least as early as May of 2020 continued using Allele's
mNeonGreen throughout their ongoing COVID-19 trial.

48. Defendants' continued infringement was and is subjectively reckless
and intentional. Defendants have infringed the '221 Patent in a willful and egregious
manner, in wanton disregard of the '221 Patent.

## FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Infringement of the '221 Patent Against All Defendants)

49. Allele realleges and incorporates by reference all paragraphs in thisComplaint above as if fully set forth herein.

26 50. This is a claim for patent infringement and arises under the Patent Laws
27 of the United States and, in particular, under 35 U.S.C. §§ 271, *et seq*.

28

22

51. Defendants have in the past infringed and continue to infringe the '221 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(a) by making, using, offering to sell, and/or selling, in the United States, or importing into the United States, mNeonGreen with its SARS-CoV-2 neutralization assay and DNA construct that infringes at least claims 1, 2, 4, and 5, of the '221 Patent.

52. Allele is informed and believes that Defendants have infringed, and continue to infringe, the '221 patent by making, using, selling, offering for sale and/or licensing products covered by at least claims 1, 2, 4, and 5 of the '221 Patent without Allele's authorization or consent.

Defendants have in the past infringed and continue to infringe the '221 53. 10 Patent in violation of 35 U.S.C. § 271(f) because Defendants supply or cause to be supplied from the United States all or a substantial portion of the patented invention for combination outside the United States, including use of mNeonGreen with its SARS-CoV-2 neutralization assay and DNA construct throughout their COVID-19 vaccine trial in the United States and Europe, in a manner that would infringe at least claims 1, 2, 4, and 5 of the '221 Patent, if such combination occurred within the United States.

18

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

Section 287 of Chapter 35 of the U.S.C. has been satisfied. 54.

55. Defendants' infringing conduct will continue unless enjoined by this 19 20 Court.

Defendants' acts of direct infringement have been, and continue to be, 56. 21 willful and deliberate and Defendants' acts of indirect infringement were, and 22 23 continue to be, knowing and intentional.

24 57. Allele is entitled to an award of damages adequate to compensate Allele for patent infringement, as well as prejudgment interest from the date the 25 26 infringement began, but in no event less than a reasonable royalty as permitted by 35 27 U.S.C. § 284.

TROUTMAN PEPPER HAMILTON SANDERS LLP 11682 EL CAMINO REAL 5AN DIEGO, CA 92130-2092

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

58. 1 Allele is entitled to an award of treble damages for the period of any 2 willful infringement pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284.

3 59. Allele is entitled to a finding that this case is exceptional and an award of interest, costs and attorneys' fees incurred by Allele in prosecuting this action as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 285.

60. Allele is entitled to an award of pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as provided by law.

Allele is entitled to such other and further relief as this Court or a jury 61. 8 9 may deem just and proper.

## **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, in consideration of the foregoing, Allele respectfully prays for a judgment against Defendants:

Finding that the '221 Patent has been infringed by Defendants in A. violation of 35 U.S.C. §271;

B. Finding that Defendants' infringement of the '221 Patent is willful;

С. 16 An award of damages adequate to compensate Allele for patent infringement, as well as prejudgment interest from the date the infringement began, 17 but in no event less than a reasonable royalty as permitted by 35 U.S.C. § 284; 18

An award of treble damages for the period of any willful infringement 19 D. 20 pursuant to 35 U.S.C. § 284;

A finding that this case is exceptional and an award of interest, costs E. 21 22 and attorneys' fees incurred by Allele in prosecuting this action as provided by 35 U.S.C. § 285; 23

For an award of pre-judgment and post-judgment interest as provided 24 F. by law; and 25

G. For such other and further relief as this Court or a jury may deem just 26 and proper. 27

1	Deta 1	Ostober 5, 2020	Deers eather 11-2 Carlans itten 1	
2	Dated:	October 5, 2020	Respectfully Submitted,	
3			TROUTMAN PEPPER HAMILTON SANDERS LLP	
4			/a/ Dan Lauis Waanan	
5			Ben Lewis Wagner	—
6			Attorneys for Plaintiff	
7			Allele Biotechnology and Pharmaceuticals, Inc.	
8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
			14	

1		DEMAN	D FOR JURY TRIAL
2	Pla	aintiff Allele Biotechnolog	y and Pharmaceuticals, Inc. hereby demands a
3	trial by th	ne jury on its claims herein	and all issues and claims so triable in this
4	action.		
5	Dated:	October 5, 2020	Respectfully Submitted,
6			TROUTMAN PEPPER HAMILTON
7			SANDERS LLP
8			/s/ Ben Lewis Wagner
9			Ben Lewis Wagner
10			Attorneys for Plaintiff Allele Biotechnology and
11			Pharmaceuticals, Inc.
12			
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19 20			
20			
21			
22			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

Exhibit		
No.	Description	Page No.
1	'221 Patent	1
2	Assignment	23
3	Cell Host Article	27
4	"A high-throughput neutralizing antibody assay for COVID-	39
	19 diagnosis and vaccine evaluation," Muruato, et al.,	
	bioRxiv preprint	
5	SEC Form 6K	53
6	https://www.genengnews.com/news/pfizer-biontech-publish-	82
	encouraging-interim-phase-i-ii-data-for-covid-19-vaccine-	
	construct/	
7	Press Release: "Pfizer and BioNTech Granted FDA Fast	85
	Track Designation for Two Investigational mRNA-based	
	Vaccine Candidates Against SARS-CoV2"	
8	"RNA-Based COVID-19 Vaccine BNT162b2 Selected for a	90
	Pivotal Efficacy Study," Walsh, et al. medRxiv preprint	

Ι