### CIVIL DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH OF ORLEANS

#### STATE OF LOUISIANA

NO. 2020-02558

**DIVISION M** 

**SECTION 13** 

# CAJUN CONTI LLC, CAJUN CUISINE 1 LLC, AND CAJUN CUISINE LLC D/B/A OCEANA GRILL

#### **VERSUS**

#### CERTAIN UNDERWRITERS AT LLOYD'S LONDON

FILED:		
10.000	DEPUTY CLERK	

#### **JUDGMENT**

The original hearing took place on October 29, 2020. Appearing at the hearing for the respective parties were the following:

James Williams, Rico Alvendia, Bernard Charbonnet, Desiree Charbonnet, and Jennifer Perez, Attorneys for Plaintiffs;
Allen Miller, Virginia Dodd, and Kevin Welsh, Attorneys for Defendant

Certain Underwriters at Lloyd's London ("Underwriters") urged a motion for summary judgment.

The Court, after hearing testimony and considering applicable law as well as the entire record, renders the following judgment:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED, AND DECREED that Underwriters' motion for summary judgment is hereby DENIED.

November, 2020 in New Orleans, Louisiana.

JUDGMENT READ, RENDERED AND SIGNED on this 4th day of

Judge Paulette R. Irons

## CIVIL DISTRICT COURT FOR THE PARISH OF ORLEANS

#### STATE OF LOUISIANA

NO. 2020-02558

#### **DIVISION M**

**SECTION 13** 

# CAJUN CONTI LLC, CAJUN CUISINE 1 LLC, AND CAJUN CUISINE LLC D/B/A OCEANA GRILL

#### **VERSUS**

### CERTAIN UNDERWRITERS AT LLOYD'S LONDON

FILED:	
	DEPUTY CLERK

## **REASONS FOR JUDGMENT**

Whether COVID constitutes direct physical loss or damage appears to be an issue of first impression. While Underwriters distinguishes the *Chinese Drywall* case by noting that the policy interest being protected in that case necessitated a more lenient definition of "direct physical loss," the Court is not convinced that similar interests are not at play here. It is a genuine issue of material fact whether the policy interests here necessitate a more liberal reading of "direct physical loss or damage" in keeping with the *Chinese Drywall* cases.

It is also a genuine issue of material fact whether the suspension of Oceana Grill's operations was caused by physical loss or damage to its property. If COVID constitutes a physical loss or damage, it is clear that the question of how COVID impacts the environment is a genuine issue of material fact. While Underwriters argues that the harms can be abated with simple household cleaning supplies, Oceana Grill's citation to studies that offer a contrary interpretation presents a genuine issue of material fact.

With respect to the period of restoration, if whether COVID constitutes a physical loss or damage is a genuine issue of material fact and if the question of how COVID impacts the environment is a genuine issue of material fact, then here is a

genuine issue of material fact as to whether the physical loss or damage caused a

suspension of Oceana Grill's operation beginning 72 hours after the time of direct

physical loss.

Turning to the Civil Authority Endorsement, for the same reasons stated

above, there is a genuine issue of material fact that a "Covered Cause of Loss"

caused damage to property at another location within one mile of the insured

premises. The question of whether COVID is a Covered Cause of Loss is a genuine

issue of material fact, and Plaintiffs have alleged its presence at locations

surrounding its insured premises, including a hospital.

Whether the Orders prohibited access to the insured premises is also a genuine

issue of material fact. The restaurant had to drastically change its operations to

exclude sit-down patrons, which was previously the heart of its business, because

the Orders restricted their presence in the building.

Finally, there is a genuine issue of material fact whether the orders were taken

in response to dangerous physical conditions resulting from the damage to other

property within one mile of the insured premises or continuation of the Covered

Cause of Loss that caused the damage. The Orders cited damage to property in the

city and state.

SIGNED on this 4th day of November, 2020 in New Orleans, Louisiana.

Judge Paulette R. Irons

2020-2558

Cajun Conti LLC, et al v. Certain Underwriters At Lloyd's London Reasons for Judgment