UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

COLONIAL GHOSTS LLC, d/b/a/ SALEM GHOSTS AND ZAAL VENTURES CORP.,

Plaintiffs

v.

CHARLES D. BAKER, in his Official Capacity as) Governor of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and in his Individual Capacity, MONICA BHAREL MD, MPH, in her Official Capacity as Commissioner of the Massachusetts Department of Public Health and in her Individual Capacity and) MICHAEL KENNEALY, in his Official Capacity as Secretary of Massachusetts Housing and Economic Development and in his Individual Capacity,

CIVIL ACTION NO. 1:20-CV-12054

PRELIMINARY EQUITABLE **RELIEF REQUESTED**

Defendants

COMPLAINT

Plaintiffs Colonial Ghosts, LLC DBA Salem Ghosts and Zaal Ventures Corp. as and for

)

)

)

)

their Complaint against Defendants allege as follows:

1. This lawsuit challenges the Defendants' acts of unreasonably and arbitrarily restricting speech in public areas that historically have been open for public speech and expression of ideas by limiting the number of people allowed to be on an outdoor walking tour to 10 to 12 people while allowing other outdoor gatherings on public property to be anywhere from 25 to 100 people or unlimited.

- 2. The Plaintiffs are licensed to provide outdoor guided walking tours on public streets and public sidewalks through historical locations in Salem, Massachusetts which is an activity that involves speech and/or expressive conduct.
- 3. While the Plaintiffs bringing the instant action recognizes the circumstances caused by the severity of the Covid-19 Pandemic (*hereinafter*, "Pandemic") which may justify restrictions on businesses, the restrictions and emergency orders imposed by the Commonwealth are subject to limitations enshrined in the United State Constitution.
- 4. The instant civil action challenges the constitutionality of restrictions implemented by the Commonwealth which infringe upon the Plaintiffs' First Amendment right to free speech and Fourteenth Amendment. Specifically, the Commonwealth has unreasonably and arbitrarily placed limitations on speech in traditional fora, public ways and sidewalks.

Such areas occupy a "special position in terms of First Amendment protection" because of their historic role as sites for discussion and debate. These places—which we have labeled "traditional public fora"—" 'have immemorially been held in trust for the use of the public and, time out of mind, have been used for purposes of assembly, communicating thoughts between citizens, and discussing public questions."

[T]he guiding First Amendment principle that the 'government has no power to restrict expression because of its message, its ideas, its subject matter, or its content' applies with full force [on public ways and sidewalks].

McCullen v. Coakley, 573 U.S. 464, 476-77 (2014) (quoting *United States v. Grace*, 461 U.S. 171 (1983); *Pleasant Grove City v. Summum*, 555 U.S. 460, 469 (2009) (quoting *Perry Ed. Assn. v. Perry Local Educators' Assn.*, 460 U.S. 37 (1983); Police Dept. of Chicago v. Mosley, 408 U.S. 92, 95 (1972)).

5. The Plaintiffs seek declaratory and injunctive relief necessary to uphold bedrock principles of the First Amendment and the rule of law. Even in the face of the Pandemic, the Commonwealth of Massachusetts cannot set unreasonably restrict and arbitrarily ignore the First Amendment rights of its citizens.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 6. Jurisdiction of this Court is invoked pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343(3) in that the controversy arises under the United States Constitution and 42 U.S.C. §1983.
- Jurisdiction of this Court is appropriate where the Plaintiffs seek injunctive relief under the United State Constitution and 42 U.S.C. §1983. *Will v. Michigan Dep't of State Police*, 491 U.S. 58 (1989); *Dirrane v. Brookline Police Dep't*, 315 F.3d 65, 71 (1st Cir. 2002).
- 8. This Court has authority to award attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. §1988.
- Venue is proper in this district pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) because a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claims took place in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts.

PARTIES

- 10. Plaintiff Colonial Ghosts LLC, d/b/a Salem Ghosts, is a business corporation incorporated under the laws of the Commonwealth of Virginia operating in Salem, Massachusetts with a local office located at 221 Essex Street, Suite 41, Salem, MA.
- Plaintiff Zaal Ventures Corp. is a foreign corporation with its principal office located at 1006 Richmond Road, Suite 300 Williamsburg, VA 23185
- 12. Defendant Charles D. Baker, in his official capacity, is the Governor for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. The Governor has the authority to issue and enforce executive orders.
- 13. Defendant Michael Kennealy, in his official capacity, is the Secretary of Housing and Economic Development for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts. The Governor has delegated authority to the Secretary to issue guidance for executive orders related to the Pandemic.

14. Defendant Monica Bharel, MD, MPH, in her official capacity, is the Commissioner of Massachusetts Department of Public Health. The Governor has delegated authority to the Commissioner to issue guidance for executive orders related to the Pandemic.

GOVERNOR BAKER'S EXECUTIVE ORDERS

- 15. Since March 10, 2010, the Pandemic has and continues to spread through community transfer in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and the United States.
- 16. The Pandemic has caused exigent circumstances which required emergency responses from the executive, legislative, and judicial branches of the Commonwealth.
- 17. On March 10, 2020, Gov. Baker declared a state of emergency in the Commonwealth of Massachusetts due to the outbreak of the 2019 Novel Coronavirus pursuant to Massachusetts Executive Order No. 591.
- 18. On March 23, 2020 Gov. Baker issued COVID-19 Order No. 13 that "[a]ll businesses and other organizations that do not provide COVID-19 Essential Services shall close their physical workplaces and facilities..." Places of worship were not "required to close their brick and mortar premises to workers or the public; provided, however, that such institution shall be required to comply with all limitations on gatherings established in [COVID-19 Order No. 13]."
- 19. COVID-19 Order No. 13 prohibited the "[g]athering of more than 10 people...throughout the Commonwealth."
- 20. Pursuant to COVID-19 Order No. 13, the Department of Public Health is charged with enforcing COVID-19 Order No. 13 pursuant to G.L. c. 111, § 30.
- 21. On March 31, 2020, the limitations on gatherings and enforcement authority established by COVID-19 Order No. 13 were extended by COVID-19 Order No. 21.

- 22. On April 28, 2020, the limitations on gatherings and enforcement authority established by COVID-19 Order Nos. 13 and 21 were extended by COVID-19 Order No. 30.
- 23. On June 6, 2020, the limitations on gatherings and enforcement authority established by COVID-19 Order Nos. 13, 21, and 30 were extended by COVID-19 Order No. 38.
- 24. On June 1, 2020, Gov. Baker issued COVID-19 Order No. 35 identifying certain businesses as "Phase III" businesses. COVID Order No. 35 permitted Phase III businesses to prepare for reopening.
- 25. COVID-19 Order No. 35 included "[s]ightseeing and other organized tours (bus tours, duck tours, harbor cruises, whale watching)" in the category of Phase III businesses.
- 26. COVID-19 Order No. 35 expressly stated in Phase II that "no guided tours" were permitted on outdoor historical spaces.
- 27. On July 2, 2020, Gov. Baker issued COVID-19 Order No. 43 which divided Phase III into two separate steps. Phase III businesses providing "Sightseeing and other organized tours (bus tours, duck tours, harbor cruises, whale watching)" were incorporated into Phase III, Step 1 of COVID-19 Order No. 43.
- 28. COVID-19 Order No. 43 permitted Phase III businesses to reopen beginning July 6, 2020.
- 29. On July 2, 2020 Gov. Baker issued COVID-19 Order No. 44 stating "[o]utdoor gatherings in enclosed, permitted, or leased spaces are limited to 25% of the maximum permitted occupancy of the facility as documented in the facility's occupancy permit on record with the municipal building department or other municipal record holder, if there is a capacity limitation on record, or 8 person per 1,000 square feet where no occupancy limitation is on record, and never more than 100 persons in a single outdoor space that is enclosed, permitted, or leased."

- 30. COVID-19 Order No. 44 defined gatherings in a "permitted space" as "any gathering that is required to receive a permit from a state or municipal authority. Gatherings that are subject to the limitations of this Section include, without limitation, community, civic, public, leisure, sporting events, concerts, conferences, fundraisers, fairs, festivals, and other similar events or activities."
- 31. COVID-19 Order No. 44 prohibited certain gatherings including "[s]treet festivals, walka-thons, road races and bike races, and other outdoor, organized athletic or recreational events that gather large numbers of participants or spectators outdoors are prohibited until further Order; provided, however, that outdoor gatherings for the purposes of political expression are not subject to this Order."
- 32. COVID-19 Order No. 44 allowed outdoor gatherings of up to 100 people while exempting public gatherings for political expression from the 100-person cap.
- 33. Governor Baker delegated authority to Defendant Keneally, as the Secretary of Housing and Economic Development for the Commonwealth of Massachusetts, allowing Secretary Keneally to issue safety standards for "museums and cultural and historical facilities and guided tours."
- 34. On July 2, 2020, Defendant Keneally issued Sector Specific Workplace Safety Standards for Museums and Cultural and Historical Facilities and Guided Tours to Address COVID-19 (*hereinafter*, "Workplace Safety Standards No. 1") which "limit[ed] group size in walking tours to groups of no more than 10 persons (including guides)."
- 35. However, Workplace Safety Standards No. 1 also stated "[e]ach tour operator using a bus or other vehicle (such as a trolley, harbor cruise vessel, or duck boat) must limit occupancy at all times to 50% of the tour."

- 36. Upon information and belief, 50% capacity would allow bus or other vehicles to carry more than 10 to 12 people including driver and tour guide.
- 37. COVID-19 Order No. 44 issued by Gov. Baker exempted outdoor gatherings for "political expression" from any restrictions but continued to limit walking tours on public properties to 10 people.
- 38. COVID-19 Order No. 44 issued by Gov. Baker allowed outdoor gatherings in "permitted space" to be up to 100 people but continued to limit licensed walking tours on public properties to 10 people.
- 39. On August 7, 2020 Gov. Baker issued COVID-19 Order No. 46 stating "[o]utdoor gatherings for the purpose of political expression and gatherings for religious activities shall not be subject to the limitations in Section 3 of this Order...never more than 50 persons in a gathering in a single outdoor space...[e]xcept as provided for in Sections 1 and 2, these restrictions apply to gatherings in all public and private venues and locations in the Commonwealth, including private homes, backyards, parks, athletic fields, and parking lots...[t]his Order does not impose a general capacity limitation on large, unenclosed public spaces such as beaches, parks and recreation areas."
- 40. On September 29, 2020 Gov. Baker issued COVID-19 Order No. 52 allowing exceptions for outdoor religious gatherings and outdoor political gatherings.
- 41. On or about October 2, 2020, Secretary Keneally updated the Sector Specific Workplace Safety Standards for Museums and Cultural and Historical Facilities and Guided Tours to Address COVID-19 (*hereinafter*, "Workplace Safety Standards No. 2").

- 42. Workplace Safety Standards No. 2 did not alter the restriction on walking tours in Workplace Safety Standards No. 1 which "limit[ed] group size in walking tours to groups of no more than 10 persons, excluding guides..."
- 43. On November 2, 2020 Gov. Baker issued COVID-19 Order No. 54 established that outdoor gatherings in public settings are limited to 50 people in "Lower Risk Communities, as defined in COVID-19 Order No. 51 or in any successor order, gathering at event venues pr in public settings are limited to a maximum of 100 persons in a single venue or space. In communities that do not qualify as Lower Risk Communities, gatherings at events venues or in public settings are limited to a maximum of 50 persons in a single venue or space."
- 44. COVID-19 Order No. 54 expressly states, "Outdoor gatherings for the purpose of political expression shall also not be subject to the limitations of Section 3."
- 45. On or about November 2, 2020. Defendant Keneally issued Sector Specific Workplace Safety Standards for Museums and Cultural and Historical Facilities and Guided Tours to Address COVID-19 (*hereinafter*, Workplace Safety Standards No. 3) by limiting guided walking tours "to groups of no more than 12 persons, including guides."
- 46. Without any warning, justification or explanation, after July 2, 2020, the Defendants, through COVID-19 Orders and Standards, favored political gatherings over walking tours, based on the content of speech.
- 47. Without any warning, justification or explanation, after August 7, 2020, the Defendants, through COVID-19 Orders and Standards, favored religious gatherings over walking tours, based on the content of the speech.

- 48. Without any justification or explanation, after July 2, 2020, the Defendants, through COVID-19 Orders and Standards, arbitrarily limited outdoor walking tours to 10 people while allowing other outdoor gatherings up to 100 people.
- 49. The outdoor walking tours Plaintiffs provide depend on the expression of speech that is connected to the historical location where the walking tour is performed.
- 50. An outdoor guided walking tour on public streets and public sidewalks is a public gathering.
- 51. Walking tours inherently involve speech protected under the Free Speech Clause of the First Amendment as applied to the states and their political subdivision under the Fourteenth Amendment.
- 52. Plaintiffs provide walking tours for customers in Salem, Massachusetts.
- 53. On multiple occasions, Plaintiffs have had to turn away customers due to limitations on the size of walking tours.
- 54. Walking tours, such as the walking tours provided by Plaintiffs, are provided on public sidewalks, public streets and other outdoor public properties.
- 55. Limitations on the amount of people who may participate in the walking tour to 10 or 12 people constitutes a restriction on Plaintiffs' speech.
- 56. While the Defendants have chosen to limit the Plaintiffs' audience to 10 to 12 people, previously 10 people, on public sidewalks, public streets and other outdoor public properties due to the Plaintiffs' classification as a walking tour, the Defendants have allowed outdoor public gatherings of 25 to 100 people or unlimited in the same location as the walking tour public sidewalks, streets, and other outdoor public properties if the gathering is classified as political speech and religious gatherings.

- 57. On or about June 16, 2020 Gov. Baker stated in a press conference that in Massachusetts "[t]housands of people have been congregating in large groups over the past several weeks to exercise their First Amendment rights" to protest a murder involving law enforcement.
- 58. Other guided tours that use buses or some form of a motorized vehicle on public streets are permitted to operate at a 50% capacity. Therefore, a guided tour such as a Duck Tour which regularly hold 32 people at a time, is permitted to provide a guided tour to more than 10 to 12 people.
- 59. On July 4, 2020 over 100 people gathered outside in Nubian Square in Roxbury, Massachusetts and marched on public streets and public sidewalks to the Boston Common in a demonstration against systemic racism.
- 60. On or about September 9, 2020 over 100 people gathered outside in Boston, Massachusetts to protest calling for cases to be reopened involving people who were killed by police officers.
- 61. Prior to the restrictions created by Gov. Baker's COVID-19 Order No. 54 and the previous COVID-19 Orders that limited outdoor guided walking tours to 10 to 12 people, in Salem, Massachusetts Plaintiffs were allowed to have up to 50 people participate in an outdoor guided walking tour on public streets and public sidewalks.
- 62. The restrictions Defendants put on the amount of people permitted at an outdoor gathering on public streets and public sidewalks varies depending on the subject matter and/or contents of the speech.
- 63. Although the governmental restrictions reduced Plaintiffs right to have 50 people participate in an outdoor guided walking tour on public streets and public sidewalks to only 10 to 12 people, an outdoor gathering on the same public streets and public sidewalks for

Case 1:20-cv-12054-LTS Document 1 Filed 11/18/20 Page 11 of 15

political expression is not subject to any limitation while other outdoor gatherings on public streets and sidewalks allow more than 10 to 12 people at a time.

- 64. The Commonwealth's restrictions issued by Governor Baker and Secretary Keneally burden a substantial category of protected speech based on the content of the speech walking tours.
- 65. The Defendants failed to attempt or consider less-speech-restrictive alternatives before implementing the COVID-19 Orders which burdened protected speech by limiting the amount of people allowed to participate in an outdoor walking tour to 10 to 12 people.
- 66. The government's restriction on Plaintiff's speech is not narrowly tailored to serve the governmental interest.
- 67. There are alternative measures that would burden less speech that are available for the government to successfully serve its governmental interest.
- 68. It is unclear how long the exigency of the Pandemic will last.
- 69. As a result of the government's restriction on Plaintiffs' speech, Plaintiffs suffered damages.

CAUSES OF ACTION

<u>Count I</u>

Violation of 42 U.S.C. 1983, Deprivation of Plaintiffs' Right to Free Speech Guaranteed by the First Amendment of the United States Constitution (Substantive Due Process)

- 70. The Plaintiffs hereby repeats and realleges the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if stated herein.
- 71. The speech restrictions on public streets and sidewalks by COVID-19 Order No. 54 and its prior COVID-19 Orders, were adopted and enforced by the Defendants while acting under the color of state law.

Case 1:20-cv-12054-LTS Document 1 Filed 11/18/20 Page 12 of 15

- 72. While acting under the color of state law, Defendants have deprived Plaintiffs of its right to engage in speech in violation of the Free Speech Clause of the First Amendment applied to the states and their political subdivisions under the Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution and 42 U.S.C. § 1983.
- 73. There is no compelling government interest to restrict guided walking tours to 10 to 12 people that are performed on public streets and sidewalks while allowing other outdoor gatherings on public streets and sidewalks to either be exempt from any capacity limitations or outdoor gatherings be allowed to have anywhere from 25 to 100 people.
- 74. The restrictions imposed by the Defendants are not narrowly tailored to serve a significant governmental interest and do not leave open ample alternative channels for communication of the information.
- 75. The restrictions imposed by the Defendants on guided walking tours are not the least restrictive means of achieving a compelling state interest.
- 76. The different restrictions on gatherings in public streets and public sidewalks is applied based on the contents of your speech.
- 77. There is no difference between a gathering on public streets and public sidewalks to provide a guided walking tour through a historical area and a gathering on public streets and sidewalks for expression of religious or political views.
- 78. Defendants restrictions specifically targets guided walking tours based on the contents of its speech and/or prioritizes other forms of speech such as religion and political speech over guided walking tours.

- 79. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' violation of the Free Speech Clause of the First Amendment, Plaintiff has suffered irreparable harm, including the loss of its constitutional rights, entitling Plaintiffs to declaratory and injunctive relief.
- 80. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' violation of the Free Speech Clause of the First Amendment, Plaintiff has suffered economic damages.

<u>COUNT II</u>

Violation of 42 U.S.C. 1983, Deprivation of Plaintiffs' Right to Equal Protection of the Law Guaranteed by the Fourteenth Amendment of the United States Constitution (Substantive Due Process)

- 81. The Plaintiffs hereby repeats and realleges the preceding paragraphs of this Complaint as if stated herein.
- 82. By the reason of the aforementioned speech restriction, including COVID-19 Order No. 54 and its previous COVID-19 Orders, created, adopted and enforced under color of state law, Defendants has deprived Plaintiff of the equal protection of law guaranteed under the Fourteenth Amendment to the U.S. Constitution and 42U.S.C. § 1983, in that Defendants limited Plaintiffs' ability to express its messages to its audience based on its content, subject and viewpoint by limiting the amount of people to 10 to 12 people on a guided walking tour while other gatherings on public streets and public sidewalks are permitted to have 25 to 100 people or in some circumstances, no limitation at all.
- 83. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's violation of the Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment, Plaintiff has suffered irreparable harm, including the loss of its constitutional rights, entitling Plaintiff to declaratory and injunctive relief.
- 84. As a direct and proximate result of Defendants' violation of the Free Speech Clause of the First Amendment, Plaintiff has suffered economic damages.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiffs ask this Court for the following relief:

- i. A declaratory judgment that COVID-19 Executive Order No. 54 along with the prior COVID-19 Executive Orders listed in this Complaint, and Defendants policies individually and/or collectively violate Plaintiffs' First and Fourteenth Amendment rights;
- ii. A preliminary and/or permanent injunction restraining Defendants and their officers, servants, employees, agents and all person in concert or participation with them who receive notice of the injunction from enforcing COVID-19 Executive Order No. 54 and the previous COVID-19 Executive Orders that specifically targeted guided walking tours limiting the amount of people permitted on an outdoor guided walking tour on public streets and public sidewalks to 10 to 12 people;
- Such other and further relief, including injunctive relief, against all Defendants, as may be necessary to effectuate the Court's judgment, or as the Court otherwise deems just and equitable;
- Attorney's fees and costs (including incidental costs) pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988
 and any other applicable law

Dated: November 18, 2020

Respectfully submitted, THE PLAINTIFFS By Their attorneys

/s/ Daryl Abbas

Daryl Abbas, Esq. B.B.O. # 676080 John Koury, Esq. B.B.O. # 694088 Upper Charles Law Group, LLC

10 Kearney Road, Suite 101 Needham, MA 02494 Tel: 617.600.7140 Fax: 603.328.5976 dabbas@uclawgroup.com jkoury@uclawgroup.com

Case 1:20-cv-12054-LTS Document 1-1 Filed 11/18/20 Page 1 of 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

- 1. Title of case (name of first party on each side only) Colonial Ghosts, LLC d/b/a/ Salem Ghosts v. Governor Charles D. Baker, in his Official Capacity as Governor of the Commonwealth of Massachusetts and in his Individual Capacity
- 2. Category in which the case belongs based upon the numbered nature of suit code listed on the civil cover sheet. (See local rule 40.1(a)(1)).
 - I.
 160, 400, 410, 441, 535, 830*, 835*, 850, 891, 893, R.23, REGARDLESS OF NATURE OF SUIT.

 II.
 110, 130, 190, 196, 370, 375, 376, 440, 442, 443, 445, 446, 448, 470, 751, 820*, 840*, 895, 896, 899.

III.

 $120,\ 140,\ 150,\ 151,\ 152,\ 153,\ 195,\ 210,\ 220,\ 230,\ 240,\ 245,\ 290,\ 310,\ 315,\ 320,\ 330,\ 340,\ 345,\ 350,\ 355,\ 360,\ 362,\ 365,\ 367,\ 368,\ 371,\ 380,\ 385,\ 422,\ 423,\ 430,\ 450,\ 460,\ 462,\ 463,\ 465,\ 480,\ 490,\ 510,\ 530,\ 540,\ 550,\ 555,\ 560,\ 625,\ 690,\ 710,\ 720,\ 740,\ 790,\ 791,\ 861-865,\ 870,\ 871,\ 890,\ 950.$

*Also complete AO 120 or AO 121. for patent, trademark or copyright cases.

3. Title and number, if any, of related cases. (See local rule 40.1(g)). If more than one prior related case has been filed in this district please indicate the title and number of the first filed case in this court.

	n/a									
4.	Has a prior action between the same parties and based on the same claim ever been filed in this court?									
					YES		NO			
5.	Does the complair §2403)	nt in this case question	the constituti	onality of an act of o	congress	affecting th	ne publ	lic interest?	(See 28 USC	
					YES		NO	~		
	If so, is the U.S.A.	or an officer, agent or	employee of th	ne U.S. a party?	-					
					YES		NO			
6.	6. Is this case required to be heard and determined by a district court of three judges pursuant to title 28 USC §									
					YES		NO			
7.		es in this action, exclue governmental agencies								
	Α.	If yes, in which divisio	on do all of the	non-governmental	parties re	eside?				
		Eastern Division		Central Division			Weste	ern Division		
	В.	If no, in which division residing in Massachu		ity of the plaintiffs o	or the only	y parties, e	cludin	ng governmer	ntal agencies,	
		Eastern Division	~	Central Division			Weste	ern Division		
8.		f Removal - are there are sheet identifying the n		nding in the state co	ourt requi YES	ring the att	ention NO	of this Court	? (If yes,	
•	EASE TYPE OR PR									
	ORNEY'S NAME									
		arles Law Group, LLC	210 Kearney	Road, suite 101 N	Veedham	n, MA 024	94			
TEL	EPHONE NO. (617	7) 600 -7140								

(CategoryForm1-2019.wpd)

JS 44 (Rev. 06/17)

Case 1:20-cv-12054-LTS_Document 1-2_Filed 11/18/20_Page 1 of 2 CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. *(SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)*

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Colonial Ghosts, LLC d/b	o/a/ Salem Ghosts and	Zaal Ventures Cor	p.	DEFENDANTS Charles D. Baker, in his official capacity as Gov. of Comm of Mass.; Monica Bharel MD, MPH, in her official capacity as Comm. of the				
(b) County of Residence of	of First Listed Plaintiff F	ssex		Mass. Dep. of Public Health and Michael Kennealy, in his official County of Residence of First Listed Defendant				
•	XCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CA			(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)				
				NOTE: IN LAND CC THE TRACT	NDEMNATION CASES, USE T OF LAND INVOLVED.	THE LOCATION OF		
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, A	Address, and Telephone Numbe	r)		Attorneys (If Known)				
Daryl Abbas, Esq.,Upper 10 Kearney Road, Suite								
II. BASIS OF JURISDI	CTION (Place an "X" in C	ne Box Only)	III. CI	TIZENSHIP OF P	RINCIPAL PARTIES	(Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintifj		
□ 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff ∠ 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)			(For Diversity Cases Only) and One Box for Defendant) PTF DEF Citizen of This State □ 1 □ 1 Incorporated or Principal Place □ 4 □ 4 of Business In This State					
2 U.S. Government Defendant □ 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)			Citizen of Another State					
				en or Subject of a reign Country	3 🗖 3 Foreign Nation			
IV. NATURE OF SUIT		aly) DRTS	F	DRFEITURE/PENALTY	Click here for: Nature BANKRUPTCY	of Suit Code Descriptions. OTHER STATUTES		
□ 110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJUR		25 Drug Related Seizure	□ 422 Appeal 28 USC 158	□ 375 False Claims Act		
 120 Marine 130 Miller Act 140 Negotiable Instrument 	 310 Airplane 315 Airplane Product Liability 	 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability 367 Health Care/ 	□ 69	of Property 21 USC 881 00 Other	423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) 400 State Reapportionment 		
150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment	very of Overpayment 🛛 320 Assault, Libel & Pharmaceutical				PROPERTY RIGHTS 820 Copyrights	 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 		
□ 151 Medicare Act	Medicare Act 🛛 330 Federal Employers' Product Liability				 830 Patent 835 Patent - Abbreviated 	 450 Commerce 460 Deportation 		
Student Loans	22 Recovery of Defaulted Liability 368 Asbestos Per Student Loans 340 Marine Injury Produ				New Drug Application	470 Racketeer Influenced and		
(Excludes Veterans) □ 153 Recovery of Overpayment	345 Marine Product Liability	Liability PERSONAL PROPER	RTY	LABOR	840 Trademark SOCIAL SECURITY	Corrupt Organizations 480 Consumer Credit		
of Veteran's Benefits 160 Stockholders' Suits	 350 Motor Vehicle 355 Motor Vehicle 	370 Other Fraud371 Truth in Lending	071	0 Fair Labor Standards Act	 861 HIA (1395ff) 862 Black Lung (923) 	 490 Cable/Sat TV 850 Securities/Commodities/ 		
190 Other Contract	Product Liability	□ 380 Other Personal	1 72	20 Labor/Management	□ 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	Exchange		
 195 Contract Product Liability 196 Franchise 	□ 360 Other Personal Injury □ 385 Property Damage		D 74	Relations O Railway Labor Act	 864 SSID Title XVI 865 RSI (405(g)) 	 890 Other Statutory Actions 891 Agricultural Acts 		
	362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	Product Liability		1 Family and Medical Leave Act		 893 Environmental Matters 895 Freedom of Information 		
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITION	NS 🗆 79	00 Other Labor Litigation	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	Act		
 210 Land Condemnation 220 Foreclosure 	★ 440 Other Civil Rights 441 Voting	Habeas Corpus: 463 Alien Detainee	1 79	1 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant)	 896 Arbitration 899 Administrative Procedure 		
230 Rent Lease & Ejectment	 □ 442 Employment □ 443 Housing/ Accommodations □ 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment □ 510 Motions to Vacation Sentence □ 510 Motions to Vacation □ 510 Motions to Vacation		e		871 IRS—Third Party	Act/Review or Appeal of		
 240 Torts to Land 245 Tort Product Liability 					26 USC 7609	Agency Decision 950 Constitutionality of		
290 All Other Real Property			□ 46	IMMIGRATION 52 Naturalization Application		State Statutes		
	446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other	 540 Mandamus & Oth 550 Civil Rights 		55 Other Immigration Actions				
	□ 448 Education	555 Prison Condition		Actions				
		560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of						
		Confinement						
		Remanded from [Appellate Court	□ 4 Rein Reoj	interiore	r District Litigation	n - Litigation -		
	Cite the U.S. Civil Sta	tute under which you a	re filing (1	(specify) Do not cite jurisdictional stat		Direct File		
VI. CAUSE OF ACTION	281150 81083	and 28 U.S.C. § 1			······································			
	Brief description of ca		Rights	to Freedom of Spee	ch.			
VII. REQUESTED IN	CHECK IF THIS	IS A CLASS ACTION		EMAND \$	CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:			
COMPLAINT:	UNDER RULE 2	3, F.R.Cv.P.			JURY DEMAND	: 🗇 Yes 🕱 No		
VIII. RELATED CASI IF ANY	E(S) (See instructions):	JUDGE			DOCKET NUMBER			
DATE		SIGNATURE OF AT		OF RECORD				
11/18/2020 FOR OFFICE USE ONLY		/s/ Daryl Abbas	6					
	MOUNT	APPLYING IFP		JUDGE	MAG. JUI	DGE		
Print	Save As					Reset		

INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- **I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
 - (b) County of Residence. For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
 - (c) Attorneys. Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".

II. Jurisdiction. The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below. United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.

Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.

Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)

- **III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- **IV.** Nature of Suit. Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: <u>Nature of Suit Code Descriptions</u>.
- V. Origin. Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.

Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.

Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441. When the petition for removal is granted, check this box.

Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.

Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date. Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.

Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.

Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket. **PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statue.

- VI. Cause of Action. Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity. Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service
- VII. Requested in Complaint. Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P. Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction. Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases. This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

Date and Attorney Signature. Date and sign the civil cover sheet.