

THE HONORABLE BARBARA J. ROTHSTEIN

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

WADE K. MARLER, DDS, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

ASPEN AMERICAN INSURANCE
COMPANY,

Defendant.

No. 2:20-cv-00616-BJR

**PLAINTIFFS' MOTION TO CERTIFY
QUESTIONS TO THE WASHINGTON
STATE SUPREME COURT**

ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED

KARA MCCULLOCH DMD MSD PLLC, *et al.*,

Plaintiffs,

v.

VALLEY FORGE INSURANCE
COMPANY, *et al.*,

Defendants.

No. 2:20-cv-00809-BJR

CABALLERO,

Plaintiff,

v.

MASSACHUSETTS BAY INSURANCE
COMPANY,

Defendant.

No. 3:20-cv-05437-BJR

PLS.' MOTION TO CERTIFY QUESTIONS
(Case Nos. 2:20-cv-00616-BJR; 2:20-cv-00809-BJR; 3:20-cv-05437-
BJR; 2:20-cv-00627-BJR; 2:20-cv-00620-BJR; 2:20-cv-00597-BJR;
2:20-cv-01176-BJR; 2:20-cv-00661-BJR)- 1

KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.

1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200
Seattle, WA 98101-3052
TELEPHONE: (206) 623-1900
FACSIMILE: (206) 623-3384

1 CHORAK, *et al.*,

2 Plaintiffs,

3 v.

4 HARTFORD CASUALTY INSURANCE
5 COMPANY, *et al.*,

6 Defendants.

No. 2:20-cv-00627-BJR

7
8 PACIFIC ENDODONTICS, P.S., *et al.*,

9 Plaintiffs,

10 v.

11 OHIO CASUALTY INSURANCE
12 COMPANY, *et al.*,

13 Defendants.

No. 2:20-cv-00620-BJR

14 NGUYEN, *et al.*,

15 Plaintiffs,

16 v.

17 TRAVELERS CASUALTY INSURANCE
18 COMPANY OF AMERICA, *et al.*,

19 Defendants.

No. 2:20-cv-00597-BJR

20
21
22
23
24
25
26 PLS.' MOTION TO CERTIFY QUESTIONS

(Case Nos. 2:20-cv-00616-BJR; 2:20-cv-00809-BJR; 3:20-cv-05437-
BJR; 2:20-cv-00627-BJR; 2:20-cv-00620-BJR; 2:20-cv-00597-BJR;
2:20-cv-01176-BJR; 2:20-cv-00661-BJR)- 2

KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.

1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200
Seattle, WA 98101-3052
TELEPHONE: (206) 623-1900
FACSIMILE: (206) 623-3384

1 LA COCINA DE OAXACA LLC,

No. 2:20-cv-01176-BJR

2 Plaintiff,

3 v.

4 TRI-STATE INSURANCE COMPANY OF
5 MINNESOTA,

6 Defendant.

7
8 MARK GERMACK DDS, individually and on
9 behalf of all others similarly situated,

No. 2:20-cv-00661-BJR

10 Plaintiff,

11 v.

12 THE DENTISTS INSURANCE COMPANY,

13 Defendant.
14

15 **PLAINTIFFS’ MOTION TO CERTIFY QUESTIONS**
16 **TO THE WASHINGTON STATE SUPREME COURT**

17 In the Plaintiffs’ Omnibus Opposition to Defendants’ Motions to Dismiss (“Omnibus
18 Opposition”) filed February 12, 2020,¹ Plaintiffs note this Court’s discretion to certify questions
19 of state law to the Washington Supreme Court when the questions are not “clearly determined”
20 under existing law, and doing so would “save time, energy, and resources and help[] build a
21 cooperative judicial federalism.” *Durant v. State Farm Mut. Auto. Ins. Co.*, No. C15-1710 RAJ,
22
23

24
25 ¹ In addition to the actions consolidated for omnibus briefing, this Motion is further made in one action not
consolidated in the omnibus briefing, *Mark Germack DDS v. Dentists Ins. Co.*, No. 2:20-cv-0661-BJR (W.D.
26 Wash.).

1 2017 WL 2930512, at *2 (W.D. Wash. July 10, 2017) (alteration in original) (quoting *Lehman*
2 *Bros. v. Schein*, 416 U.S. 386, 391 (1974)).

3 There is a robust history in this District and Circuit of certifying important questions of
4 state insurance law. *See Peoples v. United Servs. Auto. Ass’n*, 194 Wn.2d 771, 774, 452 P.3d
5 1218 (2019) (certification from this District regarding application of Washington Consumer
6 Protection Act to insurance claim denials); *T-Mobile USA Inc. v. Selective Ins. Co. of Am.*, 194
7 Wn.2d 413, 416, 450 P.3d 150 (2019) (certification from the Ninth Circuit regarding whether an
8 insurance company is bound by its agent’s written representation); *Durant v. State Farm Mut.*
9 *Auto. Ins. Co.*, 191 Wn.2d 1, 5, 419 P.3d 400 (2018) (certification from this District regarding
10 insurer’s compliance with regulations on automobile coverages); *Ohio Sec. Ins. Co. v. Axis Ins.*
11 *Co.*, 190 Wn.2d 348, 349, 413 P.3d 1028 (2018) (certification from this District regarding
12 service of process on insurers).

13
14
15 Moreover, this tradition of looking to the Washington Supreme Court includes certifying
16 questions on the meaning ascribed to terms in insurance policies under Washington law—the
17 core of the issues now before this Court. In *Boeing Co. v. Aetna Cas. & Sur. Co.*, 113 Wn.2d
18 869, 873, 784 P.2d 507 (1990), the Hon. William H. Dwyer certified the question of whether
19 environmental response costs constitute “damages” within the meaning of liability policies, and
20 the resulting opinion from the Washington Supreme Court created a hallmark opinion for
21 deciding policy interpretation issues in the state of Washington. *See also Queen Anne Park*
22 *Homeowners Ass’n v. State Farm Fire & Cas. Co.*, 183 Wn.2d 485, 487, 352 P.3d 790 (2015)
23 (certification from the Ninth Circuit on the meaning of “collapse” under Washington law in an
24 insurance policy); *Kitsap County v. Allstate Ins. Co.*, 136 Wn.2d 567, 571, 964 P.2d 1173 (1998)

25
26 PLS.’ MOTION TO CERTIFY QUESTIONS
(Case Nos. 2:20-cv-00616-BJR; 2:20-cv-00809-BJR; 3:20-cv-05437-
BJR; 2:20-cv-00627-BJR; 2:20-cv-00620-BJR; 2:20-cv-00597-BJR;
2:20-cv-01176-BJR; 2:20-cv-00661-BJR)- 4

KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.

1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200
Seattle, WA 98101-3052
TELEPHONE: (206) 623-1900
FACSIMILE: (206) 623-3384

1 (certification from this District regarding the scope of coverage for “personal injury” under
2 liability insurance policies);

3 Plaintiffs will not repeat the discussion from their Omnibus Opposition addressing the
4 current state of Washington law on the key coverage questions presented in these actions. Two
5 circumstances developed over the course of their preparation of the Omnibus Opposition which
6 informed their ultimate conclusion to seek certification.

7
8 First, two federal trial courts in Ohio have certified COVID-19 coverage cases for
9 immediate review. In *Neuro-Communication Services, Inc. et al. v. The Cincinnati Insurance Co.*
10 *et al.*, No. 4:20-cv-01275 (N.D. Ohio), the court certified similar coverage questions to the Ohio
11 Supreme Court, noting the importance to the public of the state-law insurance issues. *See* Order
12 of Certification to the Supreme Court of Ohio, *Neuro-Comm’n Servs.*, No. 4:20-cv-01275 (N.D.
13 Ohio Jan. 19, 2021), Dkt. # 43 (attached as Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of Ian Birk in Support of
14 Plaintiffs’ Motion to Certify Questions to the Washington Supreme Court (“Birk Declaration”),
15 filed herewith).

16
17 At the same time, in *Henderson Road Restaurant Systems, Inc. v. Zurich American*
18 *Insurance Co.*, No. 1:20 CV 1239, 2021 WL 168422 (N.D. Ohio Jan. 19, 2021), the court
19 granted summary judgment to the policyholders finding coverage for a COVID-19 shutdown
20 under a policy covering “direct physical loss,” ruling that, “Plaintiffs have shown that the state
21 orders leading to the restaurants’ closings were caused by a fortuitous event. As argued by
22 Plaintiffs, no one could have anticipated that state governments would issue orders shutting
23 down or greatly restricting Plaintiffs’ restaurants – this was an ‘occurrence of chance.’” *Id.* at

24 *13. The court certified its ruling for immediate appeal under 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b). This was

25
26 PLS.’ MOTION TO CERTIFY QUESTIONS

(Case Nos. 2:20-cv-00616-BJR; 2:20-cv-00809-BJR; 3:20-cv-05437-
BJR; 2:20-cv-00627-BJR; 2:20-cv-00620-BJR; 2:20-cv-00597-BJR;
2:20-cv-01176-BJR; 2:20-cv-00661-BJR)- 5

KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.

1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200
Seattle, WA 98101-3052
TELEPHONE: (206) 623-1900
FACSIMILE: (206) 623-3384

1 because the coverage claim “involve[d] a controlling question of law as to which there is
 2 substantial ground for difference of opinion” and that “an immediate appeal of the legal issues in
 3 this case would accelerate the final disposition of this case and, if affirmed, provide the most
 4 expedient path to the economic relief sought by Plaintiffs.” *Id.* at *17. Certification to the state
 5 court of last resort would be a more direct means of obtaining a binding answer on state law.
 6

7 Second, exemplifying the above point, in the briefs submitted to the Ninth Circuit in the
 8 appeal of the dismissal order in *Mudpie, Inc. v. Travelers Casualty Insurance Co. of America*, ---
 9 F. Supp. 3d ----, No. 20-CV-03213-JST, 2020 WL 5525171 (N.D. Cal. Sept. 14, 2020), the
 10 policyholder has sought certification to the California Supreme Court and the insurer has
 11 opposed this relief. *Id.* at *1. Appearing as an *amicus curiae*, the American Association for
 12 Justice has supported certification. Copies of the appellate briefs and the *amicus curiae* brief,
 13 which were filed in January and February 2021, are attached as Exhibits 2–4 to the Birk
 14 Declaration. The *Mudpie* case exemplifies the fact that the Ninth Circuit, no less than this Court,
 15 is bound by controlling decisions of the Washington Supreme Court on Washington law.
 16 Accordingly, the desirousness of finality articulated by the *Henderson Road* court in certifying
 17 the coverage issue for immediate appeal under 28 U.S.C. § 1292(b) would be best served by
 18 certification to the Washington Supreme Court under RCW 2.60.020.
 19
 20

21 Therefore, by this Motion, Plaintiffs:

22 (1) certify that they have conferred with counsel for the Defendant insurers on the
 23 substantive issue presented in this Motion, without agreed resolution;² and
 24

25 ² In addition to conferring in the above-captioned actions, counsel have conferred in the recently filed action entitled
 26 *Cadecus LLC d/b/a Cafe Racer v. Scottsdale Insurance Co.*, No. 2:21-cv-00050-BJR (W.D. Wash.). Counsel will
 PLS.’ MOTION TO CERTIFY QUESTIONS **KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.**
 (Case Nos. 2:20-cv-00616-BJR; 2:20-cv-00809-BJR; 3:20-cv-05437-
 BJR; 2:20-cv-00627-BJR; 2:20-cv-00620-BJR; 2:20-cv-00597-BJR;
 2:20-cv-01176-BJR; 2:20-cv-00661-BJR)- 6
 1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200
 Seattle, WA 98101-3052
 TELEPHONE: (206) 623-1900
 FACSIMILE: (206) 623-3384

1 (2) move that the Court certify to the Washington Supreme Court the following questions
2 of state law:

3 (a) Does being physically deprived of the ability to use covered property directly as a
4 result of the Governor's shut-down orders constitute a "direct physical loss of" such property?
5

6 (b) Does Washington's efficient proximate cause rule require a factual determination
7 of the predominant cause of an individual business's loss, before a virus (or other) exclusion may
8 be applied to bar coverage?
9

10 DATED this 18th day of February, 2021.

11 **KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.**

12 By: s/ Amy Williams-Derry

13 By: s/ Lynn L. Sarko

14 By: s/ Gretchen Freeman Cappio

15 By: s/ Ian S. Birk

16 By: s/ Irene M. Hecht

17 By: s/ Nathan L. Nanfelt

Amy Williams-Derry, WSBA #28711

Lynn Lincoln Sarko, WSBA #16569

Gretchen Freeman Cappio, WSBA #29576

Ian S. Birk, WSBA #31431

Irene M. Hecht, WSBA #11063

Nathan Nanfelt, WSBA #45273

1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200

Seattle, WA 98101

Telephone: (206) 623-1900

Fax: (206) 623-3384

Email: awilliams-derry@kellerrohrback.com

Email: lsarko@kellerrohrback.com

Email: gcappio@kellerrohrback.com

Email: ibirk@kellerrohrback.com

Email: ihecht@kellerrohrback.com

25 _____
further address whether to bring a certification motion in that action once the insurer has responded to the
complaint.

26 PLS.' MOTION TO CERTIFY QUESTIONS

(Case Nos. 2:20-cv-00616-BJR; 2:20-cv-00809-BJR; 3:20-cv-05437-
BJR; 2:20-cv-00627-BJR; 2:20-cv-00620-BJR; 2:20-cv-00597-BJR;
2:20-cv-01176-BJR; 2:20-cv-00661-BJR)- 7

KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.

1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200
Seattle, WA 98101-3052
TELEPHONE: (206) 623-1900
FACSIMILE: (206) 623-3384

Email: nnanfelt@kellerrohrback.com

By: s/ Alison Chase
Alison Chase, *pro hac vice* forthcoming
801 Garden Street, Suite 301
Santa Barbara, CA 93101
Telephone: (805) 456-1496
Fax: (805) 456-1497
Email: achase@kellerrohrback.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Nguyen et al., Pacific Endodontics, et al., Chorak et al., Marler et al., McCulloch, et al., Caballero, La Cocina de Oaxaca LLC, Owens Davies, P.S., Mark Germack DDS, and The Seattle Symphony Orchestra

OWENS DAVIES, P.S.

By: s/ Matthew B. Edwards
Matthew B. Edwards, WSBA #18332
1115 West Bay Drive, Suite 302
Olympia, WA 98502
Telephone: (206) 203-1900
Email: medwards@owensdavies.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff Owens Davies, P.S.

RUIZ & SMART PLLC

By: s/ William C. Smart
By: s/ Isaac Ruiz
By: s/ Kathryn M. Knudsen
William C. Smart, WSBA #8192
Isaac Ruiz, WSBA #35237
Kathryn M. Knudsen, WSBA #41075
1200 5th Avenue, Suite 1220
Seattle, WA 98101
Telephone: (206) 203-1900
Email: wsmart@plaintiffllit.com
Email: iruiz@plaintiffllit.com
Email: kknudsen@plaintiffllit.com

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Jennifer Strelow, DMD and Shokofeh Tabaraie DDS PLLC

HACKETT, BEECHER & HART

By: s/ Brent W. Beecher

PLS.' MOTION TO CERTIFY QUESTIONS
(Case Nos. 2:20-cv-00616-BJR; 2:20-cv-00809-BJR; 3:20-cv-05437-BJR; 2:20-cv-00627-BJR; 2:20-cv-00620-BJR; 2:20-cv-00597-BJR; 2:20-cv-01176-BJR; 2:20-cv-00661-BJR)- 8

KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200
Seattle, WA 98101-3052
TELEPHONE: (206) 623-1900
FACSIMILE: (206) 623-3384

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

Brent W. Beecher, WSBA #31095
601 Union Street, Suite 2600
Seattle, WA 98101
Telephone: (206) 787-1830
Email: bbeecher@hackettbeecher.com

*Attorneys for Seattle Bakery, LLC, CSQBKR2018,
LLC, Piroshky Piroshky Bakery, LLC,
Piroshky Baking Company, LLC, and
SCRBKR2017, LLC*

THE LOYD LAW FIRM, P.L.L.C.

By: s/ Shannon Loyd
Shannon Loyd
12703 Spectrum Drive, Suite 201
San Antonio, Texas 78249
Telephone:(210) 775-1424
Facsimile:(210) 775-1410
Email: shannon@theloydlawfirm.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff J Bells LLC

**GORDON TILDEN THOMAS &
CORDEL LLP**

By: s/ Mark A. Wilner
Mark A. Wilner, WSBA #31550
One Union Square
600 University Street, Suite 2915
Seattle, WA 98101
Telephone: (206) 467-6477
Fax: (206) 467-6292
Email: mwilner@gordontilden.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiffs Suneet Bath and
Noskenda Inc.*

**GORDON TILDEN THOMAS &
CORDEL LLP**

By: s/ Franklin D. Cordell
By: s/ Kasey D. Huebner
Franklin D. Cordell, WSBA #26392
Kasey D. Huebner, WSBA #32890
One Union Square

PLS.' MOTION TO CERTIFY QUESTIONS
(Case Nos. 2:20-cv-00616-BJR; 2:20-cv-00809-BJR; 3:20-cv-05437-
BJR; 2:20-cv-00627-BJR; 2:20-cv-00620-BJR; 2:20-cv-00597-BJR;
2:20-cv-01176-BJR; 2:20-cv-00661-BJR)- 9

KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200
Seattle, WA 98101-3052
TELEPHONE: (206) 623-1900
FACSIMILE: (206) 623-3384

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

600 University Street, Suite 2915
Seattle, WA 98101
Telephone: (206) 467-6477
Fax: (206) 467-6292
Email: fcordell@gordontilden.com
Email: khuebner@gordontilden.com

*Attorneys for Plaintiff The Seattle Symphony
Orchestra*

PLS.' MOTION TO CERTIFY QUESTIONS
(Case Nos. 2:20-cv-00616-BJR; 2:20-cv-00809-BJR; 3:20-cv-05437-
BJR; 2:20-cv-00627-BJR; 2:20-cv-00620-BJR; 2:20-cv-00597-BJR;
2:20-cv-01176-BJR; 2:20-cv-00661-BJR)- 10

KELLER ROHRBACK L.L.P.
1201 Third Avenue, Suite 3200
Seattle, WA 98101-3052
TELEPHONE: (206) 623-1900
FACSIMILE: (206) 623-3384